



**THE REALITY CIRCLE
REPORT (2024)**

Table of Contents


Executive Summary	6
Introduction	8
1. Global Impact	9
1.1 Globalism	10
1.2 Socialism	21
1.3 Investment Companies	31
2. Intelligence Agencies	33
2.1 Introduction	33
2.2 Government Agencies	35
2.3 The Deep State	35
3. Covid	40
3.1 Background	40
3.2 Lockdowns	41
3.3 Governments	42
3.4 Vaccines	43
3.5 Vaccines Side Effects	43
3.6 Inflation	45
3.7 Interest Rates	45
3.8 Psychological Changes	46
3.9 Future Viruses from China	46

3.10 Covid Conclusions	47
4. Culture Wars	48
4.1 Cancel Culture	48
4.2 Victim Groups	56
4.3 Race	61
4.4 Gender	68
4.5 Culture Conclusions	81
5. Depravity	82
5.1 Hollywood	82
5.2 Drugs	84
5.3 The Liberal Effect	86
5.4 The Internet	88
5.5 Illegals	89
6. Election Fraud	108
6.1 The 2020 Presidential Election	108
6.2 The 2024 Presidential Election	113
6.3 The 2016 Presidential Election	114
6.4 Other Presidential Election Fraud	117
7. Environment	118
7.1 The Green Illusion	118
7.2 Climate Change	123
8. Wars	128
8.1 Wars	128

8.2 Middle East	130
8.3 Ukraine	137
9. History	161
9.1 Historical Reality	161
9.2 Online Encyclopaedias	163
9.3 Alternative History	164
10. Social Media	165
10.1 Free Speech	165
10.2 Manipulation	166
10.3 Civil War	167
10.4 Inciting Violence	167
10.5 Connected World	168
11. Media	170
11.1 Ten things the media say, and what they really mean	170
11.2 Rhetoric	171
11.3 Bias	172
11.4 Fact Checking	175
11.5 Woke	176
11.6 News Ownership	177
11.7 Coordinated News	179
11.8 Media Control	179
11.9 Final Media Thoughts	185
12. Conclusions	186

12.1 Behavioural Science	186
12.2 Group Control	187
12.3 Environment	188
12.4 Globalist Grooming	188
12.5 The 20 Point Reality Circle Recap	190
12.6 Conclusion Summary	194
13. Recommendations	195
13.1 Reality Circle Rotation	195
13.2 Resist	196
13.3 Fifteen Steps to Repair Reality	196
13.4 Summary	200
13.5 Saving the Future	201
13.6 Final Thoughts	202
13.7 The End	202
Appendix - The Reality Circle Model	203

Executive Summary



The mission of this report is to counter the deliberate misinformation, disinformation and disinformation-by-omission that had proliferated around the world up until the end of 2024. This report specifically covers a complete analysis of the external factors that affected the perception of reality up until the end of 2024, when the preponderance of evidence suggests a world war was averted as a result of Donald J. Trump being re-elected president. However, the Biden-Harris foreign policy change, after Donald Trump was elected, escalated the threat of nuclear war further by granting Ukraine the authority to launch long-range missiles into Russia, which may have been an attempt to derail President Trump's peace negotiations. In any event, the continued escalation in the class of weapons authorised for attacks in Russia, if allowed to continue, would have unavoidably forced a nuclear exchange in the future; especially, given the unelected Ukrainian leadership's desire to possess Tomahawk Cruise Missiles and nuclear weapons. This is all on the backdrop of the

Biden-Harris White House having a policy of minimal contact with Russia since 2022, so the world, without a Donald Trump win, would have been in a more precarious position than during the Cuban Missile Crisis. There was also further evidence of a far-left attempt to derail the peaceful transfer of power when, in November 2024, cabinet nominees were targeted with bomb and swatting threats.

This report includes unique content, combined with factual data, that has been collated to convey why so many people experienced the feeling that there was a degree of artificial orchestration (and rise in extremism) occurring around the world that peaked in 2024. Not every reader will 100% agree with all of the philosophical concepts (contained in this report), but a freethinking reader would be expected to agree with 80% of the findings, as the correct perspective is the reward of knowledge.

This report is primarily written in British English to ensure relevance across the Western world, as many Western countries are experiencing the identical erosion of democracy and cultural shift. The author's objective is to introduce actual reality whilst recognising that there are positive ideas across the political spectrum. In the modern world, the television or internet news frequently dictates the level of belligerence (against those not susceptible to sensationalism) that a loyal viewer is expected to express. The idea that everything on the opposite side of politics is reprehensible has created a world where morality has been reversed to favour depravity, and for that reason, this report provides a fact-based analysis of the world events that were deliberately being manipulated up until the end of 2024. The report concludes with how the children of today will need to ensure that depravity does not re-emerge in the future.

The Reality Circle report allows the reader to understand how pervasive disinformation and misinformation were in the world, and how there was a misconception of the world that is the product of the rhetoric transmitted by media conglomerates, social media and other malevolent manipulators. This report will help to restore reality (often by referencing historical facts) for many readers. The resources in this report have been painstakingly collated to provide concise knowledge that would otherwise be too prolix and discursive from other sources.

Introduction

The Reality Circle was devised to demonstrate how our perception of reality was influenced up until the end of 2024. This report will demonstrate that the rise of globalism ideology, and other factors, were altering our perception of reality and definition of democracy. This analysis of 'The Reality Circle Model' provides specific examples from America and Britain, but it can be applied to any country that has a perceived democracy.

The Reality Circle Model demonstrates how our perception of reality is comparable to an engine with a number of belts, which can be thought of as external forces, that drive our perception of reality. The report covers all of these individual forces, and finally concludes by recapping and offering recommendations for all areas of The Reality Circle.

The Reality Model also demonstrates how 'globalists', and their donations to globalism-obsessed politicians, facilitated an acceleration of a globalism agenda. The news media encourage the proliferation of globalism ideology in an attempt to normalise an extremist ideology in a connected world. In many ways, the malevolent goal (of changing our perception of reality) correlates to the purpose of the Chinese Red Army in the 1930s and the Chinese Red Guards in the 1960s, so history often repeats because of a cancel culture that prevents previous tragedies in history from being heeded. Globalism ideology professes to be caring whilst it masks an extremist ideology that is fostering an era of totalitarian control under the guise that this is necessary to save the planet and create social equity. This report takes a philosophical look at how our perception of reality is being altered and the way that 'The Reality Circle' will likely continue to accelerate again in the future if there is no permanent intervention; the re-election of Donald J. Trump as president in America (in 2024) was the first step to reducing the acceleration of a manipulated reality. This report is an analysis of reality at the end of 2024, and is intended exclusively for open-minded readers.

1. Global Impact

The Reality Model illustrates the main forces that impact our perception of reality. However, it is not an exhaustive list; each person's experiences, religion, formed beliefs, and interactions help mould their perception of reality. All of this is normal, and until recently, many of these beliefs in the following areas: family, work, schooling and morality, all aligned with others in society, and for those who did not subscribe to this point of view, then this was known as having a 'different view', which was also acceptable; however, it is when our perception of reality is deliberately and psychologically targeted that this becomes a concern. This report provides a powerful tool that increases understanding of the real world—knowledge! It is important to consider why this knowledge may have been withheld when evaluating each section of this report.

In order to grasp the concept of 'The Reality Circle' it is important to realise the concept of 'Causality'. In principle, first consider the 'cause': you work hard, buy a house, and accrue some money. The 'effect' of this is that there will be people who want you fired from your job, who will burglarise your house, and who will steal your money. The same would then apply to a position of power—even in a perceived democracy. The very fact that a position of power exists means that the effect of this must be that other people will do anything to seize that power for themselves. Therefore, it is fundamentally important to accept that evil exists, and the effect of that is an altering of our perception of reality.

All areas of 'The Reality Circle' are also influenced by foreign powers including the following: social media, media, corporations, schools, Hollywood etc. China has an initiative called the 'Belt and Road Initiative'; this is an infrastructure development strategy (adopted by the Chinese government in 2013) with the aim of investing in more than 150 countries and international organisations, so Chinese influence is pervasive in the world. Foreign powers are not specifically referenced in 'The Reality Circle' model, though, as it is the responsibility of individual governments and security agencies to limit foreign interference and foreign-funded terrorism attacks.

All Western governments, academia and large corporations have likely (perhaps even unknowingly) employed Chinese spies, thereby making it difficult to avoid all foreign influence. For example, the United Kingdom's 'Electoral Commission' was allegedly hacked by China in 2021; 40 million voter details were compromised.

Throughout this report, reference will be made to 'globalists'. A 'globalist', for the purpose of this report, is defined as anyone who influences the world for a malevolent purpose. Some globalists even attempt to portray a benevolent persona, yet their actions always translate into an increase in their own power and/or wealth. Globalists attempt to control companies, society and even whole countries, and the majority of the population may not even be familiar with who they are. Governments increasingly relinquish control of all areas of government to Non-Government Organisations (NGOs) and Intergovernmental Organisations (IGOs), where unelected globalist profiteers attempt to control all aspects of a population's lives and volition for their own political and wealth-creation motives. Furthermore, NGOs that accept foreign funding, and those aligned with the Democratic Party in America, often misappropriate that funding for targeted propaganda to influence elections.

1.1 Globalism

America alone has 1.5 million NGOs that cover a variety of causes, including political activism and the environment. With depleted power, the governments of many Western countries are now no more than figureheads, while the real power is held by the globalists who run corporations, NGOs, or IGOs.

1.1.1 Globalist Politicians

Globalist politicians often receive the majority of their election campaign funding from Non-Government Organisations (NGOs), although additional contributions are attributed to pharmaceutical, food manufacturers, weapons manufacturers, technology companies and any other large corporation where the profit margin is significant. Once elected, organisations influence the decision-making of politicians, and in particular, globalism-obsessed politicians.

Globalist politicians utilise research reports compiled by foundations, NGOs (including the 'World Economic Forum' and the 'Center for Countering Digital Hate' in the UK), companies limited by guarantee, charities, quangos, intergovernmental organisations (like the 'Organisation for European Economic Co-operation' - OEEC) and think tanks. Every report, written in synchronicity, purportedly offers solutions in areas such as race, environmental issues and the need for globalism. The research, allegedly serendipitously, consistently results in conclusions that correlate with globalist ideology. A dissenting view, written in a produced report, would be swiftly silenced. In many ways, it is the producers of those reports, which all have to correlate for acceptance, who are in control of the decision-making of politicians, and increasingly, politicians legislate the transfer of responsibility for a particular area of government to NGOs. The abdication of authority ensures that the selected NGO, with the preferred ideology, can control government decision-making in perpetuity. There are still some political appointments, though (like the President of the United States), where it could be argued that the position still carries a great deal of power, e.g. the nomination of judges. The preponderance of evidence suggests power is the main motivator for all political positions, as money can always be made when power has been attained. Many political appointments are now heavily influenced by national and international organisations, but all political positions will still always attract those that seek power. Once a politician, with a globalist outlook, achieves power, then do they attempt to impress fellow globalists or the voter?

1.1.2 IGOs

Governments are elected to make decisions based on the 'will of the people', but increasingly treaties are being signed that are relinquishing national control in an ever-increasing number of areas. The United Nations (UN) is an Intergovernmental Organisation (IGO) that makes decisions which affect citizens of member nations. For example, member nations are bound by the 'International Court of Justice' in compliance with the 'United Nations' charter, and certain member countries are expected to abide by radical, transgender ideology that originates from America. The 'World Health Organization' (WHO) is an agency of the UN. The WHO offered

favourable payment terms to China and covered up the origin of the Covid-19 pandemic, yet the WHO (which is exempt from all criminal prosecutions) is now demanding member states abdicate control of pandemics to the WHO and support pandemic-supply chains by donating equipment (under the 'pandemic treaties') to ensure 'equity'. In simple terms, this will mean that the WHO will control all pandemics, and by extension, reality—for all member states. This will eliminate debate, free speech and national decision-making; moreover, the WHO will effectively require a blank check as member states are required to donate equipment to support other states. The reality of all of this is that America, Britain (so far, they are resisting signing) and one or two other states will pay the most, donate the most, and the others will be happy with the 'equity'. This is all part of the global-liberalism advocacy of a single-world government, which is closer than many realise. Individual state governments can still exist in a world government, but if all power is transferred to globalist organisations, which are not democratically elected, then democracy has fallen, and a totalitarian world government has ensued.

Another UN example (where decision-making is no longer made at the national level) would be 'Agenda 21'. The 2012 'United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development' committed to 'Agenda 21' with the document titled 'The Future We Want' being produced. The green-obsessed agenda, the future in the document describes, might not be the future that the democratic voter desires, but this is the power of globalism and the myth of democracy that can be controlled through censorship of the truth; true democracy has transparent elections and does not abdicate government control to unelected bureaucrats at IGOs and NGOs as 'the people' are no longer represented.

The United Nations (UN), World Trade Organization (WTO) and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) all operate under what has been termed the 'Liberal International Order' (LIO)—also known as the 'Rules-Based Order' (RBO). The LIO was established after World War II (1945) by mainly the United States of America, and it applied to Northern America, Western Europe and Japan, but today this is de facto global liberalism; China and Russia have rejected the LIO. President Franklin D. Roosevelt (Democrat) was president until 1945, and Harry S. Truman (Democrat)

was president between 1945 and 1953. The LIO focuses on political liberalism, economic liberalism and liberal internationalism.

The 'rules' of the 'rules-based order' (RBO) are ever-changing, undefined rules created by unelected globalists (who fund and influence globalist politicians), NGOs and IGOs. They, in turn, project their global-liberalism vision of reality onto the world. Modern liberalism is an evolution of classic liberalism and democracy, so modern liberalism is about being liberated from democracy and choosing to worship big government over traditional values or religion. President Biden infamously said “Are you ready to choose freedom over democracy? Because that’s America.”; however, liberalism is increasingly about expanding government control and regulation—while democracy enables true freedom through elections that enable citizens to determine how and by whom they are governed. There is empirical evidence that an ever-growing number of the population, around the world, not only accepts greater control over their lives—they actually welcome it and are able to psychologically convince themselves that a greater number of rules, restrictions and control is improving their lives.

There are numerous IGOs that operate on a regional or international level covering the following areas: financial, trade and customs; maritime (e.g. World Bank); fisheries; agricultural research; military alliances (e.g. NATO); cultural, ethnic, linguistic and religious; educational organisations and universities; law enforcement cooperation (e.g. Interpol); transport; humanitarian; environmental; arms control; energy; and ideological and political (e.g. G20). The vast majority are not malevolent, but the majority do have some influence over individual nations and, by extension, our perception of reality. Economic unions (continental unions) also operate similarly to IGOs as positions of power are controlled by those not directly elected by the voting population. BRICS (Association of Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa—and other newly joined countries) and the European Union (EU) are examples of continental unions. The presidents of these unions are not elected by the whole population; therefore, the ascent to power is dependent on acceptance and willingness to disseminate agreed ideology. For example, the European Commission president has proposed a 'democracy shield'. This is another example of a familiar

censorship theme: remove any content that the ruling power deems to be disinformation. Positions of power are determined by heads of member states or 'Members of the European Parliament' (MEPs) in the case of the EU.

Central Banks can also be influential by working against a government if their preferred government is not in power. Examples of central banks include the Federal Reserve in America and the Bank of England (who the preponderance of evidence suggests caused the resignation of one prime minister) in the UK. The more control that is relinquished by governments, the less power the people have through their elected representatives.

1.1.3 International Law

Control over a nation is subjugated in many areas as part of international law, although not all international law is complied with or enforceable. An example of international law is treaties between nations. Governments are usually at liberty to withdraw from these treaties, but this may require a vote and can be time-consuming. For example, the United Kingdom is still bound by a treaty with Europe for human rights even after leaving the European Union. Numerous treaties have been ratified that cover the following areas: human rights, war, sea territory, trade, finance, legal and the environment (e.g. 2015 'Paris Climate Accords' that require climate targets), thus creating wealth opportunities for globalist investment. The globalist politicians, who signed the 'Paris Climate Accords', would have likely repaid their political donors with that one lucrative action. The ability to cover all of the NGOs and IGOs, which affect our perception of reality, is outside the scope of this report. However, this does demonstrate that for a newly elected government to change the majority of things could take years or be impossible, and this is where our perception of reality, e.g. instigated by a president or prime minister through rhetoric, differs from the reality in a connected world.

1.1.4 U.S. Government Funded NGOs

Back in the 1960s, it was the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) that would attempt to covertly influence elections, but now methods of election meddling, election

interference, or ensuring voters are voting for the politically desired democracy are achieved through Non-Government Organisations (NGOs). This is the modern-day method of overt-selection in facilitating election outcomes. An example of a government-funded NGO is the 'Atlantic Council' (de facto political arm of NATO that is part of the 'Atlantic Treaty Association') and the 'National Endowment for Democracy' (NED). NED was founded in 1983, under bipartisan cooperation in America, and it assumed much of the CIA's covert missions in a now overt way by awarding foreign grants from their congressional appropriations. The annual mission of NED is described as providing '2,000 grants to support the projects of non-governmental groups abroad who are working for democratic goals in more than 100 countries'. NED allegedly has CIA input, but this report has been unable to confirm this.

NED has partnered with 'Ukraine's civil society groups, media outlets and human rights defenders since 1989', and they allegedly assisted with \$20 million of grants to aid in the 2014 'Maidan Revolution' or, more accurately, the Ukraine coup d'état. Coups and uprisings are often the goal of NGOs and foreign governments in order to ensure the desired democracy prevails when elections are unsuccessful. The projection of democracy assists in manipulating our perception of reality. Ukraine is covered in greater detail later in the report. NED provides assistance to other NGOs too that purportedly support democracy advancement and research. NED also supports the 'Center for International Media Assistance' (CIMA) that purportedly helps to develop independent media worldwide. This can be beneficial for ensuring that television and printed media contain information on the desired election candidate. This monopoly of the news media, to convey a political message, is no more evident than in America, whereby only a handful of people control America's news networks; this ensures a biased, left-leaning news rhetoric is prevalent.

Global Engagement Center (GEC) is an agency within the Bureau of Global Public Affairs at the United States Department of State. It was renamed in 2016 (and established under President Obama), and its mission is to help the U.S. government in its efforts to counter foreign state and non-state propaganda and disinformation. Critics of this organisation suggest that it reduces rights under the 'First Amendment'

in America. This 'Ministry of Truth' type organisation is also subjective; one person's truth, e.g. influenced by the Military-Industrial Complex (MIC), might not be the actual truth.

1.1.5 ESG

If the paradigm of reality can be manipulated, then catastrophe can also be created, such as climate change. There is a pernicious ESG philosophy as follows: Environmental (climate change), Social (Diversity, Equity and Inclusion) and Governance (Diversity of Corporate Board Members) that was adopted by corporations in 2024. These were being guided by NGOs like the World Economic Forum (founded by Klaus Schwab, who believes Artificial Intelligence can replace democratic elections as the AI knows how people will vote), B Lab (B Corporation Certification) and by large investment corporations. In an era of globalism, emulating much of communism, corporations are ending capitalism to capitulate to a 'Stakeholder Economy' where ESG is the main focus for corporations. This enables far-left ideology to manifest in businesses regardless of which political party is in power. It could be argued that by adopting far-left extremist positions, which have traditionally appealed to the younger generation, has been a way that large corporations and organisations are now worshipped by the younger generation, whereas in the past owners of these corporations were seen as greedy 'fat cats'.

This has also extended to some banks that now unilaterally decide to restrict loans. For example, 'Bank of Australia' will prohibit loans for combustion-engine cars from 2025—they will only offer loans for electric cars. Banks are also increasingly closing the bank accounts of anyone that they consider are not aligned with their extremist ESG ideology or with their views in other areas such as Covid. This has been observed numerous times in Great Britain and America. Therefore, it is derived that in a globally connected world, this practice is likely to be prevalent throughout the Western banking system. The reasons for closing accounts range from 'Suspicious Activity Reports' (SARs), cash businesses or 'Politically Exposed Person' (PEP), but what is suspicious is the exponential growth of businesses and individuals that are perniciously having their accounts closed because they allegedly meet this criterion.

If it is possible to control who obtains a bank account, then this also controls the type of person that is able to engage in business activities, including dictating that society move away from cash. Farmers (particularly in America) during 2024 were increasingly having their bank accounts closed (through the 'Net Zero Banking Alliance') if their ESG scores are too low. Anyone with traditional values and beliefs, who rejects globalist ideologies, may find it increasingly difficult to start a business compared with businesses that advocate for an ESG agenda. The purpose of this is to ensure that all businesses, NGOs and globalist politicians insidiously unite behind a globalist agenda. An additional discussion on ESG will be covered in the [Cancel Culture](#) section in part 4.

1.1.6 DEI

Large shareholder-controlled companies are now obligated (by investment companies, NGOs and the media) to focus on environmental targets and race-based recruitment in the form of DEI (Diversity, Equity and Inclusion). In practice, DEI produces 'Division', 'Exclusion' and 'Inequality'. Whole corporations now exist with the sole purpose of providing training in DEI propaganda, so this ideology is likely to remain in perpetuity even if the original objectives are satisfied; the profit is too great in training people with the idea that a 'Diversity First' agenda is equity. DEI is similarly referred to as EDI (Equality, Diversity and Inclusion) in certain countries, but the meaning remains the same. The notion of adopting DEI principles is commonly referred to as adopting a 'Woke agenda'. The provenance of 'Woke' can be found in America, where the 'Wake up to Black People' movement involves elevating Black people above White people in order to portray atonement and create equity.

The encouragement of race-based hiring, where corporations focus on recruiting certain skin colours in the name of equity, facilitated a malevolent plan whereby all corporations would largely be controlled by globalist-liberalism ideology. This is because only those with far-left beliefs would believe that discrimination is 'positive discrimination' if applied against 'White people', so this creates a hiring process that is open to any colour providing the applicant has a liberal-minded outlook on race-based discrimination (DEI); White applicants are increasingly required to

demonstrate that they are humble and acknowledge their 'white privilege' at all levels of an organisation. This will be expanded upon further in the cancel culture and victim groups sections. Investment companies, who are large shareholders in numerous companies, often demand DEI and ESG compliance; furthermore, left-leaning politicians and NGOs use the media and social media to create an 'Outrage Culture' in society that is ready to boycott any corporation that fails to comply with seemingly innocuous environmental ideology, and boycott any company that is not focused on skin colour (equity-based) recruitment targets. Furthermore, personnel managers are often incentivised with large bonuses to ensure that recruitment of diversity is prioritised over merit.

In all areas of morality, the 'Gallent Double Standard Test' should be applied. For example, if a corporation/college is favouring Black applicants, under the pretence of equity, then could, under different circumstances, a college or company favour White applicants? Accepted morality would suggest not; therefore, far-left ideology is concluded to be a dangerous and extremist ideology that has no place in a modern society.

1.1.7 Boycotting

Boycotting is also a frequent tool utilised by the far left to silence an alternative view, which may well contain greater merit (especially if supported by factual evidence), but when contemplating what this actually means, then it demonstrates that a media company has such powerful control over the far-left mind that it has legitimised the idea that people are prohibited from holding a view that differs from a media script. Boycotting demands often originate on social media platforms where users are often triggered by an outrage against a corporation (or even a person) that has been perceived to hold a view; for example, a college student, with little experience of the real work, with a high number of social media followers, may conclude something is contradictory to their extremist ideology in areas such as the environment, politics, or for any other number of reasons—this then results in a trigger for outrage. Unfortunately, the news channel media utilise social media as their main source for news stories, so this acts as a conduit to propel an extremist's view; quotes are readily

available that would be difficult to extort from a live interview. Boycotting demands are almost always performed by extremists; for example, the demand to boycott Starbucks because they are against terrorism in the Middle East. This is authoritarianism (equivalent to fascism), which is a word that the far-left use without any true understanding of its meaning. Therefore, the abdication of control of one's mind should never be tolerated, and this should never result in an uncontrollable belligerence and outrage towards someone that possesses a different view—as does it really matter? If it does matter, then the only choice of food in the future will be insects? Insect diets, and the reasoning for this, will be covered later in this section of the report.

1.1.8 Greenwashing

This is the term used when corporations portray the illusion of being a 'green' company in order to manipulate advertising for profit, or to placate far-left politicians and external entities that demand ESG compliance. The reality is that these claims seldom add up as there are too many variables to calculate. However, greenwashing does allow corporations to premium-price goods and services under the guise that they are attenuating the effects of climate change. The process of a company executive highlighting his/her far-left ideological achievements is known as 'Virtue Signalling'. Therefore, it is not just politicians, media and NGOs that are continuously disseminating a far-left agenda; it is now all corporations that help disseminate meaningless, green-target ideology along with existing 'Big Tech' companies. Greenwashing will be expanded upon in Section 5 of the report under the The Green Illusion section.

1.1.9 Globalist Power

All of this is the early stage of a malevolent plan to destroy capitalism and fund far-left ideology, including socialism and communism, to benefit the state or the globalists. For example, Congress in America, or parliaments in other countries, would never authorise the level of funding the 'globalists' require for their far-left ideology, even for causes like climate change. However, circumventing lawmakers by requiring private sector obedience and funding, under boycott threats, results in far-

left globalism ideology becoming prevalent. As the ideology becomes pervasive, it legitimises environmental and equity-based proposals that would not otherwise be successful if democratic oversight were applied. One of the most notable effects of globalism is that it always benefits China through lost manufacturing output.

This pernicious effect is mainly observed in America, under Joseph Biden (the man with many a tall tale such as cannibals eating his uncle) and Europe where left-leaning politicians are in power. Joseph Robinette Biden Jr. (whose great-great-grandfather was pardoned by Republican president Abraham Lincoln when 'Moses J. Robinette' was charged with attempted murder after stabbing a fellow union soldier several times) was a 'Manchurian candidate' (controlled) president that resulted in a progressive-left presidency. Therefore, in recent years, the political scale has a notable shift to the left. For example, those who aligned with a Democrat (in America) 60 years ago are likely Republican today. The reason for this is the spread of a far-left ideology that brands national identity as racism and promotes globalism. This manipulates politicians into addressing global concerns above the national interest, e.g. only securing the borders of other countries. If unemployment increases due to globalism, then the population receives welfare payments. This in turn forces compliance (through dependency) to a globalism agenda. Combine this with NGOs and intergovernmental organisations (IGOs), and the result is heading towards a single-world government run by globalists in an extreme style of feudalism. With the election of Donald J. Trump in 2024, it is likely that the focus will be on sovereignty and safety, and perhaps even a pardon for Joseph Biden for dereliction of duty, i.e. not protecting America from foreign enemies. Can the reality of globalism ever be halted or reversed, though?

1.1.10 Globalist Politicians - Conclusions

Globalist politicians and the entities that support them, e.g. NGOs and large corporations, can always obtain further funding. For example, globalist politicians and NGOs can always obtain extra donations and grants, so they will always be wealthy; the NGOs that receive taxpayer-funded grants, as part of larger spending bills (Congress), then use those funds to influence elections to ensure that globalist

politicians are elected, so effectively the government (usually the Democratic Party when they are in power) funds their own election campaign. NGOs often support unproven science, e.g. climate change, to receive grants and retain their power and influence; these grants, along with the grants NGOs receive when their organisational name includes the word 'election' or 'democracy', are often repurposed for funding the election of globalist politicians. Other politicians and the media will always support political candidates who are prepared to spend taxpayer money on climate change, so they will always be the favoured candidate. Therefore, power is often obtained by creating fear that the world is ending and encouraging welfare dependency.

1.2 Socialism

This section of the report provides a greater understanding of the different economic models and their effect on society.

In many ways, 'socialism' is already prevalent across the Western world in the form of increased welfare claimants, particularly since Covid, but it is the expansion of government control where the malevolence is observed, and in America, there is increasingly a move towards socialism as a result of the Democratic Party and their extremist candidates.

1.2.1 Western Socialism Examples

The United States has never had a large socialist movement, although socialism is becoming more prevalent, and this will be covered later in this section. The United Kingdom has also largely been successful at thwarting socialism, even though there have been socialist movements in Britain since the 19th century; socialists have also managed to obtain power throughout history. For example, inflation increased under Prime Minister Harold Wilson (Labour), who held limited socialist values, when he was elected in 1964, and inflation peaked at almost 27% in August 1975 after Harold Wilson was elected for his second term in 1974. Harold Wilson also expanded the welfare state before his resignation in 1976, after which James Callaghan became

Prime Minister. Socialist Labour had historically struggled to control the trade unions (resulting in strikes), and at the time, the only mechanism to reduce inflation was to raise interest rates, as quantitative tightening did not exist because quantitative easing was only first introduced in 2009 as a response to the global banking crisis. Interest rates briefly increased to as high as 17% in November 1979 (the 'real interest rate' calculation, when factoring in inflation, was around 0%) when a change of government (replacing the socialist government) reduced inflation by increasing interest rates, but it would still take over a decade to reduce inflation back to the levels of the early 1960s. The United Kingdom still had relatively low-inflation rates compared to other full-socialism countries like Argentina that had inflation rates at consistently above 100% for the same period.

High interest rates affect those with a mortgage, but spiralling inflation affects the poorest members of society the greatest, and high inflation also affects the affluent as price rises (in percentage terms) often outpace real-term wage increases. An economy with high inflation has historically never been a thriving economy. There is typically only one reason a government increases interest rates: to reduce inflation. The subject of inflation is more complex though, and there are many reasons (e.g. the 1970s energy crisis, covered further in the [Middle East](#) section, and more recently Covid) that can contribute to increased inflation, thereby forcing interest-rate rises—this falls outside the scope of this report; however, as a general rule, government overspending = inflation! Especially if that spending is not used to increase productivity.

All civilised nations have a form of welfare system; common examples include 'Social Security' in America and 'National Insurance' in the UK. These benefit systems, although in most cases requiring mandatory tax contributions to fund them, do provide assistance, e.g. during retirement. However, other welfare systems like 'Universal Credit' in the United Kingdom, arguably, often create a dependency on welfare as the income can be greater than that received through employment. In socialist countries, this often has an even greater malevolent purpose as it also ensures voter dependency. It is this type of welfare that is concluded to be detrimental to the population, and this will be expanded upon later in this section.

The next socialist prime minister in the United Kingdom was Anthony Charles Lynton Blair in 1997. Prime Minister Blair admitted that he embraced socialism through Marxism. Tony Blair (under his rebranded party 'New Labour') expanded the welfare state. His three terms (as Prime Minister) were followed by a coalition government (Conservative-Liberal) that capped welfare benefits when they assumed power in 2010. Welfare payments were capped at the rate of the average income for the country to ensure that nobody, who was solely receiving welfare payments, could earn more than the average worker. Interestingly, 85% of those who had their welfare payments reduced were single mothers; thus, capping welfare helped to restore the imbalance that penalised the nuclear family. This is also typical in America, where welfare is often higher for a family when there is only one parent; the single-mother demographic is also likely voters for left-leaning politicians. Tony Blair also opened Britain up to Eastern European migrants in 2004, which forever increased net migration due to his pro-European support for the new member states; these 'migrants' would likely vote Labour, and Tony Blair was elected for his third term, and last term by choice, in 2005. Despite Tony Blair's Marxism admiration, the 'New Labour' party continued to follow the ideology of democratic socialism rather than transitioning to a Marxist–Leninist state, which would have been unpopular in the United Kingdom.

Sir Keir Starmer is the current Prime Minister (in 2024); he describes himself as both a 'socialist' and a 'progressive'. However, he initially removed many of his socialist pledges (made in 2020), e.g. nationalising energy and water companies, with the likely intention of appealing to a greater number of voters that enabled him to be elected Prime Minister. These pledges were reintroduced by Sir Keir (nationalising energy, rail and greater influence over water companies) 12 days after he was elected—in time for the King's Speech marking the opening of Parliament. Sir Keir was the editor of a Marxist magazine, and a group by the same name, called 'Socialist Alternatives' (a 'Trotskyism radical magazine' following the ideology of Russian revolutionary Leon Trotsky) in the late 1980s.

Typically, socialist states are not entirely supportive of capitalism, and this usually results in an increase in regulation leading to higher operating costs for businesses, which then translates into higher consumer prices. The purpose of briefly covering inflation was to demonstrate the effect that socialism has on inflation in countries that embrace it. The United Kingdom has only ever witnessed mild socialism (prior to July 2024) thereby escaping hyperinflation that has been associated with far-left socialist countries in the past, such as Argentina. It is propounded that hyperinflation will be avoided in the UK as it is not an isolated state, so external markets are anticipating an expanding economy in America during Donald Trump's second term, and this will be beneficial to the United Kingdom. Donald J. Trump has also been supportive of a trade deal with the United Kingdom.

1.2.2 Communism

Communism is often linked to 'Marxism'. Karl Marx (1818 - 1883), who was a German philosopher, was probably best known for his work on 'The Communist Manifesto' along with 'Friedrich Engels'. The Communist Manifesto was a political text written for the international political party 'Communist League'; it was published (in London) in 1848. The Communist Manifesto details the idea of a 'classless society'. However, the manifesto also proposed the abolition of private property (in land and inheritance), including confiscation of property from those who refused to comply, in order to create communal property; furthermore, the manifesto advocated for nationalisation of the following: credit, communication and transport. The integration of agricultural and industry would then mean that becoming a labourer (in the new society) would be obligatory, as would gaining a state education that would likely introduce the ideas of communism through indoctrination. Ultimately, there is empirical evidence that communism leads to extreme wealth for those who control it.

1.2.3 World Socialism

Numerous countries reference socialism in their constitutions. The most extreme version of socialism, practised in the world today, is in North Korea, where there is a one-party dictatorship rule (socialist republic) that resembles communism.

Communism is beyond socialism, on the far-left political scale, and there are currently (in 2024) only four communist states remaining: China, Cuba, Laos and Vietnam. Many countries adopt socialist policies, but not always the community ownership of all industry (as in true socialism) or the state ownership of all industry as is observed in communist countries; although, Chicago (an American state) is studying the feasibility of running state-owned grocery stores as soaring crime has led to grocery chains closing down stores throughout Chicago. The general rule is that the greater the totalitarian control, then the greater the implementation of socialism or communism policies are in that country.

Consider a country like North Korea, where the greater the loyalty displayed by a citizen, then the greater their reward from the supreme leader. This can be observed with extreme-left governments in the Western world too. Firstly, a rogue government erodes the relevance of religion or traditional values by replacing it with big government; secondly, they promote far-left ideology such as racist ESG and DEI ideology; and finally, the majority of organisations (including corporations) and the media ensure that this far-left ideology is prevalent within organisations. Therefore, a citizen that supports an extremist government, which expands far-left ideology, leads to that citizen becoming an indoctrinated employee, who can then increase their earning potential, and who will be a supporter of extremism in perpetuity. Other citizens who do not embrace far-left ideology, or who do not wish to work, become reliant on the welfare state.

1.2.4 Socialism Signs

The early sign that a country is transforming to socialism or communism is a central government that seeks to control all aspects of the lives of its citizens. In many ways, this is observable in liberalism, but liberalism's ideology, although advocating for shared wealth, is more surreptitious to the extent that wealth creation is proliferated for those that disseminated the ideology; moreover, communist and socialist countries erase the class-based system, resulting in workers generally receiving the same level of income based on their needs. Liberalism is closer to socialism as there is no ownership of property under communism. However, religion is either dictated

or non-existent under communism, and this trait is emerging under liberalism in America. Particularly, given the rise of 'The Satanic Temple' (formed in 2012 under the Obama administration) with their after-school sessions. The Satanic Temple (headquartered in Salem, Massachusetts) teaches, amongst other things, religious scepticism and social justice, but it is also involved in political lobbying. The Satanic Temple mainly operates in the United States, but it also has congregations in Australia, Canada, Finland, Germany and the United Kingdom. This is perhaps why the UK-based Satanic occultist group 'Order of Nine Angles' (O9A) endorsed Joseph Biden, and why Congress, in one of its many bills that contain hidden spending, decided to fund a podcast on the benefits of satanism as a religion, so it is concluded that modern-liberalism incorporates sections of socialism and communism ideology. Liberalism in America (under the Democratic Party) adopts the ideology of wealth sharing (which they brand as equity) in order to garner votes. However, no globalist liberal would ever advocate redistributing their own wealth for restorative justice or equity; furthermore, the globalists often directly profit from national and international equity-based projects that are designed to elevate communities from poverty.

It is very easy to convince a population that greater government control is desirable. For example, 48% of voting citizens in the United Kingdom (as a result of constant propaganda from the media) still voted to retain draconian-style control of their lives from the European Union. However, democracy prevailed and 52% voted to leave the European Union (Brexit), but for many this was a time of despair as a result of losing the continental totalitarian control and the belief that independence was a form of racial discrimination.

1.2.5 Socialism: The Next Era

All over the world, there are political parties, which usually contain the word 'green' or 'socialist' in their party name, who are attempting to introduce an era of a 'Universal Basic Income' (UBI). The concept of a UBI is that everyone would be paid a guaranteed basic income, and the hope (because that is what it is—hope) is that this will encourage entrepreneurship given that all recipients in society are initially

guaranteed enough to live and eat. In nations that adopt extreme socialism (in the form of social ownership of all industry), workers are typically poor. Socialist values and influence can also be present in nations that have nationalised (often rail and utilities) services too. Typically, the state does not generate or have sufficient income (except if nationalisation or social ownership exists) without tax receipts, so government income is generated through tax revenue. In heavily socialist countries, there are often high welfare claimants. The few that do work, in socialist countries, have to pay high taxes. Therefore, it becomes impossible to work for an ever-increasing number of the population, so UBI experiments have already been conducted (and proved to fail) throughout history in the form of socialism.

As sales tax (VAT in the UK) rises, so too does inflation, and controls would likely be enacted on the 'free money' that the UBI advocates. Home ownership would also decline (to resemble communism) due to rising taxes. It is propounded that the introduction of a UBI would force the nationalisation of industry. It is further propounded that socialism requires welfare dependency to create state dependency in order to secure re-election for socialist politicians in perpetuity.

The government websites in America, e.g. those containing welfare information, are a tool to sign up new left-leaning voters; this is why the homeless population is also regularly targeted with voter registration forms. Once a citizen becomes dependent on welfare, due to federal or state government's (depending on the president) socialist policies, then they will vote for the politician that guarantees their welfare payment. The socialist-minded politician will always choose to rule over bankruptcy rather than lose power to democracy. Socialism only ever leads to perpetual poverty through increasing inflation—this turns to hyperinflation and a currency that becomes worthless.

1.2.6 Socialism and Liberalism Dystopia

A cashless society could easily implement an expansion of sales tax, as all transactions would likely be monitored, and this may further increase inflation. The benefits to socialist political parties (of bringing in this potentially country-

bankrupting policy) are that it ensures dependency in the voting-age population. In the future, as governments attempt to introduce a cashless society, it could be hypothesised that there will likely be controls imposed on all transactions in a cashless society. For example, meat (cows etc.) is now increasingly marketed by governments as being detrimental to the environment, so the ability to control or penalise those transactions (under the guise of limiting 'junk food') allows restrictions to be placed on what a population can eat. This will then lead to a rise in vegan diets, although globalists also want to target rice production because they falsely claim that the process creates too much methane, so this will eventually lead to microbial or even less appealing insect-based diets as a substitute for meat.

This can be observed as a likely expansion of 'C40' (chaired by the Mayor of London) that now includes 96 cities in the world (over 10% of the global population) committed to, amongst other things, reducing or eliminating meat consumption by 2030, and a government that can convince their population that a grasshopper is a better choice for them at a restaurant (compared to a steak) has been successful in assimilating their minds. All of these globalism ideas, which shift government priorities, usually result in higher crime. For example, there have been over 1,000 murders in London (as of 2024) since the Mayor took office. The question is not whether someone can trust the government that first mandates a cashless society; it is, can they trust all future governments to control a cashless society in your own interest? If all of transactions are being monitored, would anyone risk donating to a political opposition party in a dystopia?

All past socialism experiments have always ended with the following: poverty, hyperinflation and extreme wealth for a select few. All of this is on the horizon in Europe. The 'European Central Bank' aims to have a 'Central Bank Digital Currency' (CBDC) by 2026. The central bank of Europe would then have records for all transactions made in Europe using their virtual currency. The European Union also has plans to introduce digital IDs alongside this initiative; moreover, part of the United Nations' 'UN Agenda 2030' includes a 'Global Digital ID'. As this evolves into the only form of tender, then illegals (undocumented) will be required to obtain a digital ID and a digital currency, and this will inevitably lead to an automatic right to

vote for any illegal that is paid any form of allowance. This will ensure an unalterable shift in political candidates being elected from the socialist-left side of politics.

It is propounded that in the future, if a citizen was unable to demonstrate the correct belief in extremism, e.g. ESG and DEI, controls would be enacted on the purchase of new environmentally-focused property, and this would lead to the greatest segregation the world has ever seen—the segregation of compliance, i.e. those that believe extremist ideology and those that remain with traditional values, e.g. faith and/or freedom. This would even exceed the segregation of the vaccinated and unvaccinated during Covid. Many governments are already discussing the introduction of a 'carbon tax' or 'carbon passport'. Ultimately, as a rule of thumb, the more a country adopts socialism or communism, the greater the government control. All of these reasons are likely why cryptocurrencies have been heavily censored since their inception. A world where governments had little or no control over their money supply would have been very different because there would have been no ability to freely create money to support, in many cases, undesirable spending. Examples of undesirable spending would be wars, government (far-left) propaganda, NGOs, and IGOs. Instead, there would have been reduced government borrowing if cryptocurrencies became the dominant money source, so spending solely on the wellbeing of citizens, in an ideal world, may have become the fiscal priority. As to whether this would be feasible, or even desirable (given the difficulties in collecting tax), falls outside the scope of this report. Cryptocurrencies (in the early days of Bitcoin) had the potential to create a world where world governments could no longer influence inflation or control how people spent their money. New money creation could have been created in cryptocurrencies instead of by banks when making a loan. Instead, cryptocurrencies have, through censorship, mainly evolved into a speculation instrument that largely offers no privacy when holding, selling, or spending it, yet initially it was a currency option that was starting to be accepted as a method of payment on the internet—a point in history unlikely to be repeated.

1.2.7 Socialism: The Rich Get Richer

To demonstrate why wealth increases for the rich, under a socialist or liberal welfare state, it is important to analyse welfare (benefit) payments. If someone is paid £1000 (or one thousand Dollars/Euros) per month, through welfare, then the claimant will spend that £1000 on essential and non-essential goods. Welfare claimants typically do not save—they spend! Now consider a corporation that owns the businesses where the welfare claimants spend their money; if that £1000 is spent in those stores, then it is reasonable to expect that the company (owning those stores) would retain a 10% profit. The company now has a £100 profit, from the £1000, that can be withdrawn as a salary or bonus (a simplistic example to convey the point). The wealth has increased for a likely already rich individual (probably an advocate of globalism) by £100 as a result of one person receiving welfare.

Now consider the profit potential that all those on welfare represent in an expanding welfare state; furthermore, the wealth has increased for all other companies involved in the supply chain of the goods and services that a welfare claimant consumes. Wealth has not increased for a welfare claimant though as they are not saving their money to increase their wealth or saving their money to buy a tangible asset. The wealthy individuals then have the option to donate part of their new wealth to socialist-political candidates, thereby ensuring the cycle of wealth continues, or they can spend the profits they accrue on assets such as a house, car, or yacht. The salient point being that welfare, often advocated by socialist/liberal donors, increases the wealth of those that are already wealthy, whereas a welfare claimant retains no wealth at the end of each month, so socialism only leads to the following: expanding poverty, often high inflation and extreme wealth for a select few.

1.2.8 Socialism: Final Thought

Dependent citizens (in a socialism welfare state) will create dependent voters, while working hard (in a capitalism society) will make a person wealthy.

1.3 Investment Companies

Investment companies are included under a separate heading to distinguish them from regular corporations and to highlight the control they exert over certain other corporations around the world. In the globalism-altering reality, every sector monitors other sectors to ensure compliance with a far-left ESG ideology. Large corporations often have powerful shareholders (large investment companies) that exert a large level of control over regular corporations; examples of the two largest investment companies in the world would be 'BlackRock' (controlled by Larry Fink) and 'The Vanguard Group'. Cooperation between investment groups can also further global influence (over the governance of large corporations) by forcing corporations to adopt extremist ideologies. Large investment companies have control over implementing ESG priorities. ESG investment funds are then sold to investors who knowingly or unknowingly want to invest in companies that have a perceived diversity and environmental commitment. The stock market works on supply and demand, so demand from investment companies helps to maintain share prices even when implementing detrimental ESG policies, and there are always dividend payments returned to those funds that help generate a return for investors. This then translates into increased wealth for the globalists that control the investment companies. However, even if share prices fall, the investment companies still profit from the management fees that they charge for the investment-fund products, so the globalists that control these funds are always guaranteed to profit.

Eventually, 'capitalism' will fail because it is now integrated with socialist policies, which have resulted in an increase in welfare dependency, increased government borrowing and extreme wealth for a select few. There is already evidence of the unviability of countries around the world. For example, the Moody's rating for the United Kingdom (in 2024) was upgraded from 'negative' to 'stable', but the United States has been downgraded, in late 2023, from 'stable' to 'negative' as a result of substantial government debt.

Investment company profits can be repurposed to provide funding for globalist politicians to coordinate a malevolent campaign against non-globalist candidates. An

investment company's number one objective is not generating a profit for their clients; it is encouraging their clients to invest in a larger fund, and this translates into greater control that the investment company has over the corporations they invest in.

The smart globalists do not invest any of their own profits in their own investment funds because eventually, at some point in the future, capitalism will fail and those funds will be worthless. Globalists know that the real investment opportunity, which will outlive capitalism, is land and property (real estate). This is why globalists, such as Bill Gates, are likely investing extensively in American farmland, and it is also a way to hedge against high inflation, which is typical in countries that adopt socialist policies. The Chinese are also investing in American farmland; this is likely aided by banks, particularly in America, that close the accounts of farmers who do not reach ESG standards. Chinese-owned farmland (in America) is often situated by military bases. In the event that capitalism fails, then there will still be the need to feed the people, and those that control the land in the future—will control the planet. In the meantime select farming locations (owned by the Chinese) are increasingly being used to produce Marijuana. However, in the future there could conceivably also be opportunities to develop large cities on redeveloped farmland. It is hypothesised that these new cities would impose a plethora of environmental and social justice requirements on residents to ensure that the new cities are a liberal utopia and a dystopia for anyone with traditional values or knowledge based on actual science. ESGs will be covered further in the [Cancel Culture](#) section.

2. Intelligence Agencies

The term 'Intelligence Agencies' will be used in this section to refer to all intelligence agencies operating in America, but they may similarly be applied to other countries in the Western world.

2.1 Introduction

It has long been known that intelligence agencies play a role in overseas elections, where if a particular regime were to obtain power, this may cause a security risk. This particularly occurs in America, and in fact, the current Biden White House (in 2024) openly meddles in overseas elections. For example, using taxpayer funding in an attempt to prevent the re-election of Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orbán for the sole reason that he was not a liberal, and if liberals are not elected, then this is branded, by liberals, as being a 'threat to democracy'. Usually, intelligence agencies would act in the best interest of the country, regardless of the White House president or political allegiance. However, there is now growing evidence of a systematic disparity when it comes to the application of the law, so the umbrella term of 'Intelligence Agencies' has been included in the latest version of The Reality Circle Model. However, all government agencies (when controlled by Joseph Biden and previous Democrats) appeared to demonstrate a bias against Republicans; for example, the Department of Justice (DOJ) and even the 'Federal Emergency Management Agency' (FEMA), where the leadership instructed relief workers to bypass homes that displayed yard signs supporting President Trump during Hurricane Milton in 2024. Washington is over 90% liberal, so all government agencies, through DEI-focused recruitment, have likely been corrupted in what is termed the 'Deep State' (See 2.3 below).

Political opponents of the Biden-regime White House were targeted far more often than the left-leaning president (in 2024), where investigations into bribery (allegedly committed by Biden) have deliberately not been investigated or slow-walked to ensure the expiration of the statute of limitations. Applying an imbalance to the law

could alter the perception of reality for many people when this is later rebranded as being fair by the global far-left media organisations. Examples of how the 'Intelligence Agencies' have meddled with our reality include: falsely branding President Donald Trump as a Russian asset (as proved by the 'Durham Report') and falsely branding Hunter Biden's laptop (detailing a trove of criminality) as 'Russian disinformation'. Russian interference was cited as the reason that the first round of elections was annulled in Romania (in December 2024) when an undesired result occurred for the European Union.

The level of interference and the FBI cover-up for Joseph Biden's bribery, dating back to March 2016, illustrates the widespread ramifications that intelligence agencies have on our reality. This is further compounded when ex-intelligence officers leave an agency, as a large percentage of them seek employment in the media and social media sectors. For example, they are recruited by companies (including Facebook) where they have the autonomy to dictate the propaganda that can be heard in the world. Therefore, the question has to be: should agencies like the CIA have any control over the media or social media companies? Particularly as loyalty, or even a contract, may still exist with their former agency; furthermore, should these agencies be recruiting agents that hold extremist far-left views to provide intelligence advice to the government? For example, if the president (in America), prime minister (in other countries), or Congress (in America) cannot trust the credibility of intelligence, due to political bias, then it would make determining who the 'good guys' are in global wars difficult. The intelligence agencies have always had a relationship with the media, though, and certain reporters, working for newspapers, release verbatim press releases from the intelligence agencies.

However, not all spy operations can be carried out by 'Intelligence Agencies' due to legal constraints. For example, excessively spying on their citizens, and this is where NGOs (Non-Government Organisations) are helpful to the malevolent plan of a government. The services of NGOs, particularly in America, are frequently adopted in order to expand the spying capabilities of malevolent parts of government in areas such as the internet.

2.2 Government Agencies

In America, in particular, there are numerous government agencies that have an effect on our reality. Government agencies also apply legislation on how commerce operates, so to some extent DEI (covered earlier in the report) is also being monitored by government agencies. Government agencies also place restrictions on other areas of business, including environmental requirements. All government agencies have the possibility of being corrupted by hiring practices that favour a particular political party.

In July 2020, the U.S. military allegedly launched a covert operation to discredit China's Sinovac Covid vaccine. Allegedly, around 300 social media accounts were created to dissuade the use in the Philippines (during phase III trials) to prevent Chinese influence from spreading in the region. These same accounts later attempted to discredit the vaccine in the Muslim world by declaring that the vaccine contained 'pork'. The politics of Covid-19 also extended to politicians and the media.

2.3 The Deep State

2.3.1 Introduction

The Deep State (also known as the Shadow Government) operates by being covertly conniving towards the executive branch of government; the President is the head of the executive branch in the United States. There is a greater likelihood that intelligence agencies, government agencies (and even the military-industrial complex), under a Republican President, will denounce an executive order. This is achieved by leaking the order (often in co-ordination with the Democratic Party) to the media so that they will falsely brand it 'unconstitutional' and/or 'undemocratic'. Many of these agencies, up until 2024, had become infested with far-left (DEI-racism recruitment) ideology, ensuring that over 90% of Washington had employees that were aligned with the Democratic Party. This resulted in democracy being severely

eroded as it became increasingly difficult to control the agencies that fell under the purview of the President.

2.3.2 Indictments

The Department of Justice attempted to imprison Donald Trump but abruptly dropped all charges in numerous cases (all allegedly fabricated) when Donald Trump won a decisive victory (including the popular vote) in November 2024. A summary of the fabricated indictments is as follows:

Classified Documents Case: On 9th June 2023, the day after an FBI document confirmed that Burisma in Ukraine had paid Joseph Biden \$5 million to have the prosecutor fired, an indictment (brought by special counsel Jack Smith) alleged that Donald Trump had illegally taken classified documents to his home in Mar-a-Lago. This followed the 8th August 2022 raid by FBI agents (code name 'Plasmic Echo') who stormed President Donald Trump's Mar-a-Lago home in Florida. Donald Trump was the head of the executive branch of government up until 2021, so as president, Donald Trump had the legal power to declassify any document; however, Joseph Biden, when he was Vice President, did not. Nevertheless, Joseph Biden did take numerous classified documents and infamously stored them next to his Corvette in his garage. Joseph Biden was described as a 'sympathetic, well-meaning, elderly man with a poor memory', so he was not charged, even though as Vice President he had no legal authority to declassify any documents. Conversely, Donald Trump was charged because he was a few years younger and had a perfect memory, and had a legal right to declassify any documents, being he was President. The case against Donald Trump was instantly 'paused' after he was re-elected President in 2024 as it was likely only brought for the reason of election interference.

January 6th Case: President Biden allegedly instructed his Attorney General, Merrick Garland, who may have sought revenge as Republican senators prevented his confirmation as a Supreme Court justice under

President Barack Obama, to prosecute President Trump—the man who would become the Republican nominee for president. The indictment occurred on 1st August 2023 as this was the day after Hunter Biden's business partner, Devon Archer, admitted that Joseph Biden was on speakerphone (with Hunter Biden's overseas business partners) and met them in person multiple times. The 'January 6th' indictment alleged that Donald Trump knew that the election was not fraudulent in 2020 and deliberately fabricated the idea that it was in order to subvert the peaceful transfer of power. In 2024, long after Covid had dissipated, it became impossible (in most states) for unsolicited ballots to be sent out and counted, so Donald Trump won this time (in 2024) in a landslide, and the case against him was halted by Jack Smith and dismissed by the judge.

Georgia Telephone Case: The third indictment (on 14th August 2023) was announced the day after an FBI agent, who had been investigating Hunter Biden since 2018, revealed that he had not been permitted to interview Hunter Biden on 8th December 2020 (one month after the 2020 presidential election) as high-ranking FBI officers had tipped off the Biden transition team in 2020. Had that FBI agent been allowed to execute the duties of his job description, then this would have highlighted the alleged corruption within the Biden family. This interview would have also exposed the cover-up of the Hunter Biden laptop story by the media and social media (under the instruction of the FBI) during the 2020 election cycle, and this would have brought legitimacy to Donald Trump questioning the results of the 2020 election; something that was his legal right as president. The Georgia indictment concentrated on a telephone call where Donald Trump (during an hour-long telephone call with the secretary of state for Georgia on 2nd January 2021) stated that he only needed 11,780 votes to win the state. President Trump knew that votes on behalf of dead people had been cast in Georgia (due to stale voter rolls and postal ballots), and there were numerous other election integrity issues in that state. The district attorney, who brought the charges, also received funding from George Soros for her election campaign. The prosecutor (Fani Willis) and her team have now been

disqualified from prosecuting Donald Trump following a decision from the appellate court in Georgia. Another prosecutor is unlikely to pursue this case in the future. In 2023, the secretary of state (Brad Raffensperger) removed 191,473 stale and false entries from the voter roll in Georgia; Donald Trump then won Georgia in 2024.

Overvaluing Assets Case: The fourth indictment, brought by Letitia James (another district attorney funded by George Soros), alleged that Donald Trump overvalued property assets, which is subjective, in order to secure preferential funding rates. This was revealed to be common practice in business during the trial, and bank representatives confirmed that they allow for this and conducted their 'own due diligence'. The judge in the trial instantly became a property expert and determined that Donald Trump's golf-club home Mar-a-Lago (in Florida) was worth at least thirty times less than its true value. This then became the justification for a conviction in this trial, and at the time of writing this report, Donald Trump has put up a bond to enable him to appeal this ruling.

Business Records Case: President Donald Trump was found guilty of 34 felony charges on 30th May 2024 by a jury in a Manhattan district where 87% voted for Joseph Biden in 2020. The charges were upgraded by District Attorney Alvin Bragg, who had his election campaign funded by George Soros, from a misdemeanour (exclusively for Donald Trump) to felony charges, and the 'statute of limitations', which had elapsed, were ignored by the court. The original indictment was brought on 18th March 2023, the day after Hunter Biden admitted that the infamous laptop, which would have altered the 2020 presidential election, was his. This indictment relates to a non-disclosure agreement (NDA) paid by Donald Trump's lawyer 'Michael Cohen' to adult-film actress Stormy Daniels to prevent her from making allegations against President Trump, which were allegedly fabricated. The judge's daughter, Loren Merchan, was the director of digital persuasion for Kamala Harris's election campaign in 2020. Moreover, Judge Merchan issued a 'gag order' on President Trump that prohibited him from mentioning his daughter (of the

judge), who, along with other prominent Democratic politicians, e.g. Adam Schiff, used this trial as a way to raise at least \$93 million for the Democratic Party. Alvin Bragg needed a conviction in this case to ensure that he can be successfully re-elected, as he previously ran on a campaign (just as Letitia James) of 'getting Trump'. The case will almost certainly be overturned on appeal at some stage.

All of these fabricated indictments against Donald Trump were occurring on the backdrop of President Biden's son (Hunter) being found guilty of felony gun charges (a real crime) on 11th June 2024, and Hunter Biden had pleaded guilty to numerous tax evasion charges. Hunter Biden was due to be sentenced for his acts of criminality in mid-December 2024, and President Biden confirmed in November 2024, after allegedly planning to pardon Hunter Biden since June 2024 (likely an attempt to preserve the impression that Democrats believe in the rule of law before the election), that he would not pardon his son; however, in December 2024, he issued a broad pardon for Hunter Biden, covering any acts of criminality his son may have committed between 2014 - 2024. This action will also halt any investigations into Hunter Biden's relationship with 'The Big Guy' (allegedly Joseph Biden).

In his final days as president, Joseph Biden has been ensuring Washington home workers cannot be fired by Donald Trump. Moreover, he sent billions of extra dollars to Ukraine (to derail peace negotiations), he has allowed Ukraine to fire missiles into Russia (to derail peace negotiations), and he is preparing to pardon some of the people who had interfered with the 2020 election and the January 6th committee who deliberately deleted exculpatory evidence proving that there was no insurrection or wrongdoing by Donald J. Trump. Election fraud will be expanded upon in Section 6 of this report.

3. Covid

Covid was omitted from all versions of 'The Reality Circle Model' because the resulting changes to our perception of reality are all the product of the actions undertaken by globalist politicians.

3.1 Background

Covid originated from Wuhan in China, and its release has created a generational shift in reality. It is alleged that federal agencies (in America) were aware of efforts to create a Covid-style virus (in Wuhan) in 2018. Coronavirus was spreading in China from at least August 2019, so the Chinese government would have known about Coronavirus, but they decided to cover it up and later be uncooperative. On 18th October 2019, an event was held in New York with the following attendees: Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security, the World Economic Forum, influential business leaders, selected CDC leaders including George Gao (Director-General of the Chinese Centre for Disease Control) and the 'Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation'. The event was known as 'Event 201'. The event simulated a pandemic where an outbreak of a novel zoonotic coronavirus is transmitted from bats to pigs and then to people. In the simulation, the spread from person to person leads to a severe pandemic. On the 20th January 2020, the first case of Coronavirus is known to have occurred in America; on 29th January 2020, President Trump announces the formation of a task force; and on 30th January 2020, the 'World Health Organisation' (WHO) declared Coronavirus a public health emergency.

Dr. Anthony Fauci served as the 'Chief Medical Advisor to the President' and director of the 'National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases' (NIAID) up until 2022. Dr. Fauci allegedly awarded grants to 'EcoHealth Alliance', who in turn (allegedly) partnered with the 'Wuhan Institute of Virology' (WIV), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the US Agency for International Development (USAID)—a global (liberal) propaganda agency. EcoHealth provided grants to WIV where 'Gain of Function' (GoF) coronavirus research on bats was being conducted. In May 2024, the

Department of Health and Human Services began debarment against EcoHealth, and they have suspended federal funding. The GoF research aimed to combine coronavirus with SARS and the MERS viruses. Allegedly, scientists Ben Hu, Ping Yu, and Yan Zhu were the first people in the world to be infected with Covid-19, and they conceivably spread the virus by travelling on the 'Wuhan Metro'. The lab-leak theory is the most plausible reason for the cause of the pandemic, as assessed by the FBI. Questions still remain though as follows:

- 1) Why were social media posts censored during the pandemic for stating that the provenance of Covid-19 was Wuhan?
- 2) Did the CIA pay their analyst agents (to cover up the fact that Wuhan was the source of the pandemic) because of the U.S. government's links to GoF research?
- 3) Was biotech research provided to WIV in order to spy on their bioweapons section?
- 4) Why did Doctor Fauci allegedly transport a strain of coronavirus from Wuhan to a laboratory (in Montana, USA) in 2018 (a year before Covid-19) to conduct GoF research on bats that his team acquired from a zoo in Maryland?

3.2 Lockdowns

Certain countries decided to avoid lockdowns, such as Sweden. Their strategy was to close high schools and universities but to impose no restrictions on the opening of primary schools and restaurants. National lockdowns were never enacted in Sweden, but there were some regional lockdowns. This is in contrast to the majority of the world, where harsh lockdown conditions were imposed. The result of this has been a deleterious, generational change to reality, as will be explained later in this section.

3.3 Governments

It is propounded that Covid was the largest psychological experiment in compliance that the world had ever conducted on a democratic society. Before Covid, it was unknown whether a population could be controlled to such an extent that not only would they embrace a communism-style society but they would also welcome restrictions on their movements with the belief that it was for their own safety and the safety of others. Decisions made by governments depended on what 'selective science' they chose to follow. For example, a study has revealed that prolonged use of the N95 mask may expose wearers to toxic chemicals. It has long been known that masks had little effect in preventing the wearer from catching Covid. Masks have their usage, for example, operations where it prevents a surgeon accidentally expelling saliva into an open wound when asking a nurse for some forceps, but masks (especially the cloth ones widely used during the Covid pandemic) cannot prevent transmission. However, they do ensure that the wearer breathes in bacteria caught in the mask; anything that restricts the intake of air is generally not recommended.

Many governments initially stated that if their citizens took a Covid vaccine, then they would not become sick, or at the very least, it would prevent the necessity for hospital treatment and prevent infection of other people. Both of those statements transpired to be inaccurate, particularly the latter one. The facts were that no matter how many vaccines one received, the ability to infect other people was still prevalent, and this meant that Covid passports and other dystopian initiatives should have reverted to free personal choice as the risk to the wider population was equal from an infected-vaccinated or an infected-unvaccinated member of society. Organisations like the state-funded BBC News (in the UK) have been accused of deliberately manipulating their news coverage to encourage lockdowns. The BBC is accused of focusing on healthy, younger members of society who became sick with Covid and giving their viewers the impression that this was typical. Thus inciting fear in the population when in fact the majority of sickness, by far, and death was in the elderly as would be typical with seasonal flu.

3.4 Vaccines

On 2nd December 2020, the United Kingdom's 'Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency' (MHRA) gave regulatory approval for the Pfizer–BioNTech vaccine, making it the first country to approve a vaccine. The 'Ad5-nCoV' vaccine (developed by China's military research and CanSino Biologics) was approved five months earlier (on 24th June 2020) exclusively for Chinese military use.

3.5 Vaccines Side Effects

Even some common pain-relief medication carries a risk of death (in what is described as a 'rare side effect' by the drug manufacturer), so there was no question that new vaccines would lead some people to develop an adverse reaction, but the benefits of having one or more vaccines are still recommended here for the vulnerable as it negates the risk of succumbing to a China-released mutated virus. This report still advocates for personal freedom of choice rather than mandated vaccines that resulted in many people (who refused to be vaccinated) losing their employment during the height of the Covid pandemic. It is also likely that healthy children should not be given the vaccine, given their low risk of developing severe illness.

The long-term side effects of the mRNA-based Covid-19 vaccines are unknown. For example, if the death rate per 1 billion doses is '1 in 10,000' (0.01%), then 100,000 people (per billion doses) would die from a premature death over time from causes such as strokes, seizures, or cardiac-related illness. Ninety-nine million people (who received Covid vaccinations in eight different countries) were analysed by researchers from the 'Global Vaccine Data Network' (GVDN) in New Zealand. Results concluded that there was a slight increase in the risk of 13 different medical conditions, including the following: blood, heart and neurological conditions, so this will all translate into Covid-vaccine morbidity in the future for a percentage of the population. Both the Pfizer and Moderna (mRNA-based) Covid-19 vaccines were injected into the population in numerous countries, and even when a non-mRNA

vaccine was administered (like Oxford/AstraZeneca), the population was still encouraged to have a booster shot of another vaccine that was different and was an mRNA vaccine. However, the Chinese did not administer mRNA vaccines to their citizens, and for a country that is engaged in bioweapons research, this perhaps could be a future advantage. AstraZeneca has confirmed that their vaccine can, in rare cases, cause blood clots that lead to death, so all of the Covid-19 vaccines will carry a small risk of death, and AstraZeneca has now withdrawn its vaccine 'Vaxzevria' from the market. Furthermore, Pfizer is currently (in 2024) being sued by the Kansas Attorney General (and other states are joining the lawsuit) who alleges that the company did not honestly state the efficacy of their vaccine or the risk of side effects such as myocarditis. Excess deaths have increased in most countries since Covid-19; in part due to extended waiting lists for hospital treatment, but likely also due to the side effects of the vaccines.

Because the media vilified tried-and-tested medication, such as hydroxychloroquine, it was never used as a therapeutic. Dr. Fauci was informed that hydroxychloroquine was being used to treat Covid-19 in China on 16th March 2020, but by then Fauci likely succumbed to the political optics that meant it was obligatory to dispute anything Donald Trump supported for the purpose of electing Joseph Biden. Medication and vaccines that have been around for years have well-documented efficacy and mortality data, but new so-called vaccines do not benefit from this. The 9th Circuit Court of Appeals (in the United States) ruled in June 2024 that Covid-19 mRNA does reduce symptoms in those with the virus, but it does not effectively prevent the transmission of Covid-19, so for that reason it is the court's opinion that Covid-19 mRNA should no longer be referred to as a 'vaccine'. This is particularly significant as many people lost their employment as a result of their refusal to take a Covid vaccine as it was falsely believed, by their employers (especially in the health and military sectors), that a Covid-19 mRNA injection would prevent employees from transmitting the virus. Many governments have also recently confirmed that they created a programme of instilling psychological fear into the population to make society comply with lockdowns and ensure that citizens took their medication.

3.6 Inflation

Covid lockdowns brought large-scale closure to industry; this resulted in large amounts of the population being dependent on social welfare payments. At the time, world interest rates were relatively low, so government borrowing, through the issuing of bonds (gilts) to fund Covid, was also relatively inexpensive. However, as was widely forecast to occur, this would eventually lead to inflation; this is not unusual in an economy where there is a finite amount of products and an excess money supply. Covid welfare funding had dramatically increased the available money supply in most developed economies around the world. In order to combat inflation, governments utilised a textbook approach of raising interest rates. The problem was further compounded by the fact that large-scale lockdowns, in many countries, had created an altered reality where large parts of the population had developed a psychological aversion to working. Employees either demanded to work from home, which is impossible with the production of goods, or they resorted to state-funded welfare payments, which again leads to even greater government borrowing. Many companies have increased wages (leading to greater inflation) in order to entice employees back to work. Inflation will always increase where welfare spending increases.

Government overspending = inflation!

3.7 Interest Rates

Interest rate rises resulted in higher yields being offered on Government Bonds (Treasury Bills in America), which in turn increased government borrowing costs. Therefore, Covid had resulted in many governments reducing government spending since the end of the pandemic. The majority of central banks that adopted quantitative easing during Covid are now engaged in quantitative tightening. The problem of inflation, and the need to raise interest rates, is also compounded by excessive environmental spending and the proclivity of Western civilisations to fund a perpetual war with Russia as of 2024. Sanctions have reduced the ability for certain

countries to obtain inexpensive oil, and this has resulted in goods being more expensive to produce and distribute. This is discussed further under the [Ukraine](#) section. As the time since the Covid pandemic increases, an attenuation of global inflation has been observable.

3.8 Psychological Changes

Covid has brought about socioeconomic changes that have altered the balance of society, with copious quantities of the population embracing socialism dependency as a result of Covid. There are also observable psychological changes in the population too. For example: feelings of despair, caused by a lack of social contact; and increased financial pressures, resulting in higher suicide rates. Having human interaction combined with little to no money worries helps dissipate suicide rates. However, in the post-Covid world there has been a shift to the following trends: home working, welfare dependency and a recent increase in debt due to rising inflation and interest rates; moreover, there has been a noticeable decline in customer service levels, in all business sectors, since Covid whereby many retail companies seemingly still expect their customers to be grateful that they are even open, and in other service sectors the customer service levels have also declined due to employees now being permitted to permanently work from home; furthermore, there is still perpetual fear (experienced by copious numbers around the world) that lockdowns could return. Other behavioural changes (observable in society) include: an increase in domestic holidays over international, an increase in online interaction over personal interaction, a reduced demand for social-gathering venues (pubs, clubs and restaurants), lower birth rates due to a pessimistic outlook, an increase in industrial action (strikes) and the development of obsequious personalities in a wider number of the population.

3.9 Future Viruses from China

GoF research is still being carried out by Beijing scientists linked to the Chinese military. For example, 'GX_P2V' is a cloned virus resembling Covid that was 100%

effective (as it targets the brain) at killing infected mice within eight days, so this is potentially an effective human bioweapon.

Western countries also engage in GoF research, but they support it in countries like China and allegedly Ukraine. This does raise the questions: should the world be experimenting to see if bats can transfer Covid or whether pangolins in China can transmit viruses to humans by modifying them? Or, is the sinister truth that the world has entered a global bioweapons arms race? What will be disease X?

3.10 Covid Conclusions

The Wuhan lab-leak theory remains the more parsimonious reason for the origin of the virus. Covid resulted in a substantial change in the world, not least because it derailed the second consecutive term for Donald Trump as president. Postal ballots, in certain states, were despatched to everyone on the state's voter rolls. This likely resulted in large-scale fraud when these ballots were harvested as the majority of states, at the time, had stale voter rolls that contained voters who were either illegal, had died, or had moved out of state. The tactics deployed during the 2020 presidential elections were unconstitutional; moreover, they resulted in four years of increased depravity and a cultural shift in society, which spread around the world, due to an election result that would have never been achieved without the Covid virus. This is why the snapshot, in this report, provides a reference on how the world had deteriorated to the point of despair. The re-election of Donald J. Trump as president in 2024 began the transition of rectifying the manipulation of reality.

4. Culture Wars

This section explores how the following areas alter our perception of reality: Cancel Culture, Victim Groups, Race and Gender.

4.1 Cancel Culture

In a fast-changing, woke, reparations, cancel culture, and identity politics-altering paradigm, it has made it very easy for a foreign power to conquer a Western country. Increasingly, citizens have their free speech rights attenuated to prevent dissenting opinions against big government agendas. For example, in Canada, where 'bill: C-63' (under section 10) proposes allowing judges the ability to decide that if a citizen (of Canada) would likely commit a hate crime, then that person could be made to wear an electronic device and be kept under house arrest. In all 'big government' societies, what constitutes 'hate' can be ever-changing.

4.1.1 Woke Globalism

As the nation's identity is capitulated (in favour of one that worships a global-liberalism ideology), then the foundation is already prepared for eventual control by a foreign power. The United Kingdom exited the globalist control of Europe in 2020 in a process dubbed 'Brexit'. However, there are many politicians, corporations, and foundations where a conclusion could be extrapolated that they are likely all perniciously planning the reforging of continental ties to circumvent the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union's globalist agenda; examples of foundations include: meetings at 'Ditchley Park', George Soros's 'Open Society Foundations' (who give grants to 'Best for Britain'), the 'Civil Society Group', and likely some who attend the 'Bilderberg Meetings'. The steering committee of the secretive Bilderberg Meetings is now headed by former NATO chief Jens Stoltenberg. Furthermore, the United Kingdom remains under the jurisdiction of the 'European Court of Human Rights', making it very difficult to control invading forces, and European Directives still control much of UK law, resulting in little escape from globalism for the United Kingdom to date.

4.1.2 Politicians

The western world was involved in a 'Culture War' up to and including 2024, where extremist far-left ideology (often supported by the right-side of politics because of a manipulative media) was attempting to erode accepted morality. The media and politicians often listened to outrage from websites such as Facebook or Twitter (before it became X.com) and changed the law to appease this outrage (resulting in cancel culture), even though only a small percentage of people had contributed to the outrage. For example, when the United Kingdom voted (and there was a clear mandate to leave the European Union), the change in the law was not forthcoming. This proved that 'outrage' would always influence politicians more than the democratic vote. The Brexit referendum result was not conducive to the state-funded national broadcaster agenda that had promulgated the rhetoric of the voter changing their mind; moreover, parliament could not agree on the terms for exiting the European Union. Therefore, a society that changes laws (based on an online post), yet was reluctant to change the law after a vote (due to the media outrage), does illustrate how our perception of reality is altered by social media and the media.

Politicians have used their positions of power in many other ways to alter our perception of reality. For example, Chris Bryant (Labour MP, UK Parliament) used parliamentary privilege in March 2022 to suggest that Nigel Farage (former MEP and leader of Reform UK) received £548,573 from RT for appearances on their news channel. This figure was derived from Nigel Farage's business accounts, but the figure was for all his personal appearances, everywhere, for a particular year. This is something that the member of parliament would have known but instead used parliamentary privilege to avoid a lawsuit. Similar privileges are also bestowed upon politicians in parliaments around the world and Congress in America, where Adam Schiff (Democrat) has frequently made accusations against President Trump that he would not repeat outside Congress. Therefore, the one time a politician can fabricate the truth is within a political building, which is the one time the public is most likely to believe the politician, thus political rhetoric has an enormous effect on perceived reality.

4.1.3 Identity

The coordination between government, NGOs and the private sector, legitimised by the media, has far-reaching reality consequences in many other aspects of our lives as part of a globalist agenda; a nation that does not have a national identity is not a nation. Preserving a nation is a fine-fragile balance. Every time a statue is removed, in the name of 'Cancel Culture' or 'Woke' reparations (social justice) to the Black community, it is the same as the enemy having a direct missile hit. The globalists and globalist politicians are allowing Western countries, around the world, to be conquered in their attempt to obtain ultimate power for themselves. This is achieved by the media reinforcing the idea that their viewers are 'racists' or 'xenophobic' unless they acquiesce to the ideological view that their culture must be cancelled for equity; moreover, when their culture is replaced by that of the immigrating population (e.g. Middle East), then the population is not being 'tolerant' if they object to the new culture, which is able to be imported as the population in Western countries are taught in schools (through socialisation), from an early age that they are responsible for slavery, so importing asylum seekers is branded as restorative justice (atonement). The fear is that an open-borders mentality—with a cancel culture philosophy—will lead these globalist politicians to control nothing more than a shell of a country that was once a beacon of democracy. 'Beacon' itself being a cancelled word in many Western countries due to having connotations that the word encourages climate change.

All of this widespread conditioning, pushed by the far-left media, is to secure an agenda of election interference in order to facilitate a world where extremist far-left ideology is normalised. Lawmakers and the court system will need to expand their engagement in halting the spread of this ideology and the abrogation of morality. For example, after Joseph Biden (a Manchurian Candidate) assumed power in 2021, it became a global problem for many politicians and judges to define what a woman was. This is part of an insidious plan that the Democratic Party, which is proven not to be 'democratic' following the replacement of Joseph Biden in the 2024 primary election, has excogitated in order to enervate Western civilisation.

4.1.4 Morality

It is those who control and have the greatest influence in the Democratic Party, as opposed to the members, that are bringing about a decline in morality. The Democratic Party (in the upper echelon) is being filled with those allegedly selling influence and profiting from insider trading. In a connected world, the shift in morality often influences other nations too.

The Democratic Party's deleterious effect on morality began decades ago and has largely remained unchallenged by the Republican Party, but became noticeable in 2017 and then accelerated, almost uncontrollably, in 2021; January 2017 was President Trump's inauguration. From that day forward, there is empirical evidence that the Democratic Party promulgated a reality whereby whatever President Trump stated as the truth, they would attempt to discredit and use their far-left media organisations to make the reverse position be true. Unfortunately, too often, children were the targets, and this resulted in parents being branded as 'domestic terrorists' if they intervened with the school boards that had attempted to indoctrinate the children with far-left ideology and perverse school books (supported by Joseph Biden). The consequences of not setting any behavioural boundaries for children are that depravity increases in the future adult population. Marxist ideology is also present wherever a 'Woke culture' exists. For example, Marxism has infiltrated NGOs like 'The American Library Association' (ALA) through the election of a self-proclaimed lesbian-Marxist president. The president is responsible for determining which books are carried by libraries across the nation as ALA is the oldest and largest library association in the world.

4.1.5 ESG

The 'Woke' agenda has also infiltrated corporations too. There are over 100 'Environmental, Social and Corporate Governance' (ESG) rating agencies in the United States alone. Corporations have increasingly found that if they want to attract investment, then they need to promote ESG-extremist ideology because investment companies are selling ESG-investment funds. One of the main ESG-score metrics in America is the 'Corporate Equality Index' (CEI), and this is published by the 'Human

Rights Campaign' (HRC), which purports to stand up for LGBTQ+ rights, abortion rights etc. The HRC is an umbrella company for two NGOs; the first NGO is a foundation, and the second is a 'Political Action Committee' (PAC). The first candidate the HRC endorsed was Democratic President Bill Clinton.

Firstly, it is important to establish that people, whomever they are or whatever they believe, should be equal under the law; extremism develops when people are required to be treated better for malevolent purposes. The CEI index awards a maximum of 100 points for those companies in America that promote LGBTQ+ rights with a particular emphasis on transgender. Twenty-five points are deducted for any public or official blemish received by the company. The obsession companies have in achieving a high ESG score is so that the board of directors retain their positions and to ensure that they can attract investment through ESG-investment funds, which are often the default instrument sold by an investment company. This highlights why there is an increase in 'wokeness' in the products sold in shops, e.g. Bud Light and Skittles. The Bud Light controversy, with Dylan Mulvaney, was the first sign that ESG propaganda had caused a plateau in the ideology, companies realised the toxic effect that this ideology could impose on their brands if the trend continued. The CEI index is similar to Stonewall's 'Workplace Equality Index' in the United Kingdom.

Trade union 'Pride at Work' is unsupportive of the American CEI rating system. According to their own website, this appears to mainly stem from Walmart achieving a score of 90/100. 'Pride at Work' still wants to brand Walmart as an anti-LGBTQ employer, meaning that the rating system is effectively useless if the results are not accepted by the trade unions. 'Pride at Work' would likely rather have arbitrary control of all organisations as they deem necessary. The LGBTQ+ community will likely always perceive that they are not being treated well enough, even when they are treated better, because the Democratic Party in America constantly reinforces the rhetoric that they are perpetual victims. The LGBTQ+ may also not understand that ESG ideology was invented by investment companies to increase control over corporations and to increase profits for investment companies.

4.1.6 Democratic Party

The Democratic Party has always supported extremist views to some extent, which is their esoteric side, and they have demonstrated mastery at transposing condemnation to the Republican Party. For example, abolishing slavery was achieved by the 16th Republican president 'Abraham Lincoln'. The Democratic Party largely supported the southern states that were in support of slavery; in the modern era, the Democratic Party has attempted to transpose this fact. However, now their modern extremism has been brought to light as they were forced to accelerate their extremist agenda in order to retake the White House in 2020/2021. Outrage was suddenly the new mechanism, used by the far left, to motivate and mobilise a voter base that was usually too lethargic to participate. Nothing was off-limits in their pursuit of power that they believed was their entitlement, and that also meant enacting revenge on America and the democracy that had elected President Trump in 2016. The Democratic Party has always attempted to divide people by race in order to make them victims that are then converted to loyal Democratic Party voters. However, the effect of this has spread out into wider society. For example, at sporting events, e.g. the Super Bowl (during 2024), there are now two national anthems in America. There is the 'American National Anthem' and the 'Black National Anthem'. The Democratic Party is attempting to make it respectful to kneel for the official national anthem, but a sign of racism for not standing for the Black National Anthem. Only the Democratic Party would envisage a dystopian America that divides the country by race. The Democratic Party is no longer the party of JFK; their entire moral code has been flipped in order to demonise the opposing political party for holding a traditional belief system, and the media have backed them, without question, even when children have increasingly become the target of extremist indoctrination in the schools, colleges and universities. This will be expanded upon later in this section of the report.

Joseph Biden (during his presidency) regularly reminded Americans that they would need F-16 fighter jets to challenge him, and that should indicate to everyone just how serious the Democratic Party is in retaining power and not permitting democracy to interfere with that power—a “too big to rig” election halted this power aim in 2024.

4.1.7 Republican Party

Europe has already fallen; most of its dystopian control is being enacted by unelected bureaucrats who have circumvented democracy under the 'European Union'. There is still hope for the rest of the West, and even parts of Europe, after the re-election of Donald Trump in 2024 and a Republican controlled Congress.

The Republican Party (specifically those elected to Congress) has to share a proportion of the blame for the spread of far-left ideology in the world. Firstly, some liberals run on the Republican ticket as RINOs (Republican In Name Only), so they will always support extremism and the Democratic Party; secondly, many elected Republicans fear the Democratic Party because they control all of the news media, so they will always allow extremism to prevail; the third type of Republican in Congress is happy to procrastinate in order to guarantee their position of power in perpetuity, so they will never challenge extremism; and, finally, there are a number of Republicans (more since 2024) who are attempting to fight for traditional values. Some Republicans are fighting for the following values: family, the prevention of cancel culture, faith and halting the spread of extremism (particularly in schools); this is why strong Republican leadership is obligatory.

4.1.8 Microaggression

Microaggression is when someone communicates a tone that may have a disparaging connotation to a minority group. In reality, since the Democratic Party created numerous victim groups in America, it has become a microanalysis of everything a person says or writes. Then, a few words of a speech or writing are isolated, and a conclusion drawn that the whole message has a derogatory message towards a group such as LGBTQ+. This is most commonly utilised against political opponents or when the media conduct a 'hit piece' article (leading to 'Guilty by Accusation') on someone that the journalist feels a jealous rage towards (usually a successful person) because of the person's ability to attract an audience and their belief in traditional values. Therefore, 'microaggression' has seldom affected anyone until large parts of the population were reclassified as belonging to victim groups, and the notion is used solely to damage the reputation of an individual that is held in low regard.

An analogy can be made between microaggression and those that meticulously investigate street names or monuments (out of jealousy or hatred for their country) in an attempt to have them renamed/cancelled. If any argued link can be established that corresponds to a negative historical event, e.g. the slave trade, then this usually results in a successful cancellation, or what is termed 'Cancel culture'. In the majority of cases, the link is non-existent, but the fact that it is now stated is often enough to result in the cancellation as the perception of reality, for the monument or street name, has been altered by those that seek to destroy a country from within.

4.1.9 Lawfare

Increasingly, 'lawfare' is being utilised to silence (cancel) someone. Lawfare is the act of attempting to silence someone through endless lawsuits. Anyone that becomes the target of media hysteria (who is attempting to convey the truth through the written or verbal communication they engage in) will eventually find themselves the target of 'lawfare'. Lawfare can be in the form of either criminal or civil law, and the claims consist of fabricated indiscretions or fabricated business malpractice. The time and cost needed to defend against these endless, malicious lawsuits is an attempt to deprive a truth-teller of time and money to the point of bankruptcy. It is very difficult to convey the truth without time and money, especially as the media are experts in misinformation and disinformation by omission. Lawfare is also increasingly being adopted by the Democratic Party as a way of depriving their Primary-election opponents or political opponents of the time and money needed to run a successful campaign.

A variation of this theme is to use a tactic called 'swatted' or 'swatting', derived from 'SWAT' (Special Weapons And Tactics), against someone who holds a view that is at odds with the biased, mainstream media. This tactic involves telephoning the police (only witnessed in America so far) and reporting that there is an incident at the home address (of the person who conveys the truth) involving firearms. This is another example of the dangerous rage and hostility that the media instils into their viewers so that they will repel any attack against their rhetoric. This dangerous practice is

executed with the hope that the police will fatally shoot the truth-teller or for the swatting to send a warning that only media rhetoric is acceptable in society as it is ubiquitously believed by their viewers. As of late 2023, this is no longer a practice that just targets conservatives (Republicans); this has now been expanded to include those on the far-left that have been active in disinformation—this was probably inevitable given the growing anger at only Republicans being targeted.

Lawfare is largely conducted by the far-left though; for example, a lawyer who represents a prominent conservative/Republican is unlikely to ever be able to find employment in a prominent law firm after representing their client. The reason as to why this happens will become clear in the final section of the report.

4.2 Victim Groups

Victim groups are usually created by the Democratic Party in America, or they amplify a newly created victim group that is then disseminated by the media; this then results in a phenomenon where the news-viewer instantly exhibits a noticeable behavioural change whereby they begin to exhibit sympathy to the new victim group, which is often no more than a victimless 'cause', that is exaggerated by online extremism. Democrat politicians have always created or seized an opportunity to expand victim groups, and their latest ones are 'transgender' and 'abortion'.

4.2.1 Abortion

Abortion is probably the most obviously fabricated victim group that the Democratic Party has ever created. Firstly, no Democrat politician can even define what a woman is, and without a victim, there is no crime or victim group; secondly, the only reason that the Democratic Party is attempting to prevent the birth of unwanted children is because the Christian-conservative couple would be the most likely recipient of an adopted child, and this would translate to a vote for the right side of politics 20 years later. Even if just six out of ten unwanted children were adopted by Republican parents, and those children were raised with conservative values, then it can be derived that this would still represent a 10% shift in votes under the adopted-voting

demographic. This may well translate into enough votes, in a free and fair election, to ensure a Republican win, so the Democratic Party will always favour abortion because most women seeking abortion are Democrat voters. Likewise, if there are more births, then this negates the need to import workers from countries (who would support far-left politics) under the guise that they are needed to care for an ageing population. Abortions also benefit corporations (particularly large corporations) as those companies do not lose skilled workers to maternity leave, and in some countries, abortions prevent corporations from having to fund maternity pay.

The liberal politicians, in their haste to prevent adoptable children, omit informing their voters of the traumatic experience of surgery or the often-painful experience of taking an abortion pill. The Biden administration attempted to pass a law that would prohibit faith providers (Christianity) from the foster system if they did not accept the Biden administration's stance on sexual orientation and gender identity. This would have ensured that children, who were not aborted, would be fostered by transgender-radicalised parents, thus ensuring an increase in Democrat voters (who support the annihilation of the nuclear-family concept) when the foster children reach voting age.

The abortion outrage commenced with a Supreme Court ruling in America that confirmed it was the individual states who are responsible for legislating abortion. This resulted in outrage that abortion was perceived to be under attack around the world, e.g. France has now enshrined women's rights to abortion, when in fact it was only the usual manipulation of reality (by the Democratic Party in America) in order to garner votes. This is the consistent strategy the Democratic Party utilises in other areas too; for example, their strategy to control Hollywood movie messages in order to drip-feed propaganda on an unsuspecting population when voters are susceptible to influence.

For once, the Republican Party fought back and quickly codified legislation in their states to ban or at least restrict abortions. This had the effect of reducing the likelihood of young liberal-minded women moving to Republican states. This is because the Democratic Party had recently instilled the idea in women that family

planning involves planning to obtain an abortion, and this is why Democrat politicians are now outraged. However, outrage never goes to waste with the Democratic Party; former House Speaker 'Nancy Pelosi' believed that this outrage should be channeled at voters to secure a win in 2024. Nancy Pelosi has lost her credibility though, as during her time as Speaker of the House, she was regularly able to outperform every hedge-fund manager in the world; for example, in 2023, her return was 65.5% greater than the S&P 500, leading to speculation of insider trading.

The Biden administration implemented prison sentences for anyone praying near or in an abortion clinic (the UK will also arrest people for praying near abortion clinics) as this is all part of a global movement to worship 'big government' instead of a deity. The Democratic Party has always run a campaign of outrage and victim groups in the absence of a merchandisable manifesto.

4.2.2 Democrat Black Victims

The Democratic Party's favourite victim group remains the Black population. Most of their election campaigning entails branding the opposition as racists or white supremacists so that they can secure the Black vote. Just a small percentage swing of the Black vote, from Democrat to Republican, results in the Democratic Party being unelectable, so it is deduced that their use of the word 'racist' (to ensure that Black people are retained as perpetual victims) is essential for their re-election in all state and federal elections. The word 'racist' has been so diluted by the far-left extremists, who have spread the word throughout the news media and other organisations, that even political-right Black candidates are regularly accused of being 'racists', and this is not because they hate White people, which would be the correct use of the terminology, it is because this is an extremist's only weapon. The Democratic Party does not encourage 'Black people' to think for themselves as they are important victim-group voters; furthermore, this is why highly-triggered people (usually White women with far-left views) are often observed ranting about 'colonialism' and 'racism', as if the problem is widespread, because rage is also a necessary motivator for those on the left-side of politics to vote, being that the base of political-left candidates are not naturally-motivated voters. All of this is disseminated through the

media (controlled by the Democratic Party) and social media programming in America, so the Democratic Party, and anyone with far-left views, create the Black victim group (for votes), and they defend that group by claiming that there is systemic racism throughout society—it is then disseminated by political pawns.

Perhaps the best-known 'Black victim', in recent times, is 'George Floyd'. George Floyd (a former armed robber) had also been arrested (by the Minneapolis Police Department) the year before after an extensive drug investigation. This time (in 2020) George Floyd resisted arrest after allegedly attempting to pay with counterfeit money at a store in Minneapolis. George Floyd was initially arrested by a Black police officer (something that did not suit the rhetoric after his death), and he originally complained of not being able to breathe during that initial arrest, and allegedly an ambulance was called. George Floyd requested to lie down, and a White police officer then restrained Floyd using a police-approved restraining technique, which all police officers in the district were taught, called Maximum Restraint Technique (MRT); this would have been appropriate given that George Floyd was known to police for previous offences and restraining a struggling suspect is tiring. Floyd died after saying again that he could not breathe to onlookers. George Floyd died on 25th May 2020, six months before the 2020 presidential election, so this was the perfect death for the Democratic Party to again make the case that Black Americans were 'victims' (they will always be victims to the Democratic Party), and the left-media celebrated the story as it could make all Black people fear the police.

George Floyd's death sparked the following: violent protests around the world (24 people died in America), looting and calls to 'Defund the Police'. The 'Black community' was encouraged to be angry (by Antifa and BLM), and they were being manipulated (by the media) into voting Democrat. In reality, George Floyd's autopsy report stated 'no life-threatening injuries identified'. George Floyd had Covid, he had a heart condition (two clogged arteries), and he was a drug user (including fentanyl), which kills over 100,000 Americans every year. There was more than enough fentanyl in George Floyd's system to kill him that day, yet apparently, he died whilst saying "I can't breathe", something many restrained suspects say along with the "cuffs are too tight", yet it is impossible for a suffocating man to speak. Police were

automatically guilty by association, so this made a fair trial in 2021 impossible as public outcry, stirred up by the Democratic Party's obsequious media, demanded that the police be convicted and incarcerated. In reality, there were no marks or bruises found (during the autopsy) that would have been consistent with applying too much pressure on the neck. Therefore, the preponderance of evidence suggests that the police were not culpable (certainly not beyond reasonable doubt), and murder (even unintentional) is highly unlikely. The theory (by a pathologist in Kansas) suggesting George Floyd died of a rare tumour known as 'Paraganglioma' was not able to be presented in court, and questions remain as follows: why were the FBI involved within hours of his death (before any riots) when this was a local police case? And, why did the autopsy report change after alleged FBI involvement? The media rhetoric 'George Floyd was murdered by racists' will always be the manipulated reality that the media portrays each year to celebrate the life of their ideal 'Black man'—a victim who was welfare-dependent and would likely vote Democrat.

The term 'Black community' is used frequently in politics as the left-wing of politics continuously attempts to keep 'Black people' as perpetual victims by branding them 'a community'; there is an unwritten rule that they are expected to vote (as a community) for the Democratic Party due to Democrat 'virtue signalling'. Many likely remember Joseph Biden claiming that 'you ain't black' unless you were voting for him. The Democratic Party understands that people are more likely to vote to change something that they are outraged about rather than to retain something they agree with. Therefore, the Democratic Party's strategy has always been to create outrage. Examples include the following: outrage over climate change, Black people, abortions, transgender, guns, migrants etc. This propitious strategy relies on at least 51% of the voting population becoming outraged over one issue, and the result is a victory from voters motivated by hate. The Democratic Party's obsession with 'colour' for votes is covered further in the [Race](#) section in this part of the report.

4.2.3 Illegals

Illegal immigration is often branded as 'migrants' by predominantly left-leaning politicians and the media in order to garner votes. The effect that illegal immigration

has on a country and our perception of reality is so great that there is a dedicated 'Illegals' section in part 5 of the report.

4.2.4 Media Victims

The real victim group, in any Western country, is the 'viewer' of the far-left news media. The psychological manipulation, which has been deliberately utilised by media organisations, has had a malevolent effect on the world. The media has created a culture of outrage whereby a seemingly normal person can suddenly be apoplectic with rage if certain trigger phrases such as 'Trump', 'climate change', 'transgender', 'abortion' etc. are mentioned. Anyone that has a dangerous psychological outburst to trigger words needs to firstly admit that they have a problem; secondly, they need to decide that they do not want to be someone who is manipulated by a powerful organisation that only operates to increase the power and wealth of its owners.

4.2.5 Climate Change

Supposing the Democratic Party could invent a victim group that encompassed all of the population, and all they had to do was focus on selective science, would they create that group even though it would mean misery and inflation? The victim group was created; it was invented years ago, and it is called being a 'Victim of Climate Change'. The whole world was placed in this victim group for the purpose of garnering votes and controlling developing countries for a globalism agenda. This malevolent branding of the weather as a catastrophe has prevented the Democratic Party from being annihilated from relevance in American politics as they continuously attempt to instil fear in at least 51% of the voting population.

4.3 Race

The obsession with 'race' is everywhere, including politics and corporations. Left-leaning politicians regularly attempt to brand the opposition as 'racists' in order to create outrage and mobilise their voter base; moreover, some politicians, on the left

side of politics, brand their opponent as a racist as a fundraising method for their election campaigns. Furthermore, corporations are increasingly offering training programmes exclusive to ethnic groups in order to highlight their 'virtue signalling'; and children are often the target of extremist racial indoctrination in schools under the heading of 'CRT'.

4.3.1 Slavery

The first thing to state about 'Race' is that it conjures up ideas about slavery, so this needs to be addressed first. Every country in the world has used forced labour in the past to achieve civilisation. However, the Democratic Party has a machination of reparation payments. The machination being to buy the Black vote by disseminating the idea that Black American descendants (of 250-year-old alleged slaves) need to be compensated; this would also help to keep race at the forefront of every election. Even the 'Boston Reparations Task Force' (in Boston) is demanded \$15 billion in reparation payments be paid from 'White churches' to Black clergy. A question might be: why is a Black parishioner not out of place in a regular church, yet someone of White origin would be highly conspicuous in a Black church that specifically recruits a Black congregation? This machination may also have the unintended effect of being disseminated around the world; moreover, other groups of people may begin to claim that they are victims of a harsh past too, which to the sensible minded is known as pre-industrialisation; industrialisation being a time period of economic growth as energy was inexpensive. Large-scale reparation payments to all Black people, then to all other colours and groups, would likely facilitate a global financial collapse, and that will be welcome by those who have transposed liberalism into a form of communism. This has little to do though with the ridiculous notion that 250-year-old alleged slaves need to be compensated; this was excogitated by liberal politicians for the following reasons: buying the minority vote, facilitating a race war and perpetuating the idea that widespread racism is still present.

4.3.2 The Obama Effect

Barack Hussein Obama II ran a 2008 campaign for president on the promise of helping 'race relations', but rather than helping race relations, suddenly everything

was about 'race'; everyone was being called a racist if their politics did not align with the Democratic Party, and the 'Black Lives Matter' (BLM) group (that applies violence like a domestic terrorist group) was also created during Obama's term. Barack Obama was in a gang when he was younger called 'Choom', and while it is unclear what the gang actually did, other than smoke Marijuana, Obama has also confessed to Cocaine usage. Joseph Biden represented Obama's third term. Biden, as well as his attorney general Merrick Garland and homeland security secretary Alejandro Mayorkas, declared 'white supremacy' as being the top security threat, but of course they are unable to provide examples of anyone being investigated or charged with white supremacy.

4.3.3 The Biden Effect

Kamala Harris was the only candidate that Joseph Biden could nominate for vice-president, in the name of equity. Biden had already declared (during the 2020 primary) that he would only appoint a Black woman to be vice-president. This has resulted in the Kamala Harris term, as vice-president, being solely about 'race'. Instead of allowing all people to compete equally for a role, there is now a growing acceptance (in the world during 2024) that race-based hiring is an acceptable morality if enacted for equity. This has only served to create the inequality that it professes to alleviate because firstly, it portrays the idea that 'Black people' do not possess the mental acuity to be appointed without race-based hiring and secondly, by declaring that race-based hiring is obligatory, then this still maintains 'Black people' as 'victims' due to the preferential treatment afforded to them.

Equity is a way of indoctrinating the population into believing that White people (due to their apparent past privilege) must now own less or be excluded in order to create 'equity' for the past. Accepting this extremist ideology ensures an increase in power and wealth for those who disseminate this extremism. If the idea was to obtain a population that is equal, then everyone would have 'equality' by being treated the same. If true equality was introduced, then the disparity in colour-based voting would also dissipate. For example, Black voters always vote in greater numbers for the left side of politics, particularly in America, due to the focus on victim groups.

Therefore, stirring up racial tension will always be part of the Democratic Party. This then allows the Democratic Party to market the idea that they are the party that stands up to racism. During Joseph Biden's presidency, he signed bills allowing interracial marriages and an anti-lynching bill, yet interracial marriage was already legal and lynching was already illegal before Biden took office. The purpose of the signing was only to highlight race again. Equality can only exist when it replaces equity. However, the encouragement of chain migration and open borders, by those primarily on the left side of politics, ensures that there is a constant stream of newly created citizens seeking equity advantages.

4.3.4 Comedy

The far-left politicians, with the help of the far-left media, have cancelled comedy to promote the idea that it is a racist concept. The reality is that there is apprehension that comedy will unite people; one should consider whether someone really can be equal if they are not permitted to be the 'butt of the joke'? Probably not, but equality is the last thing a liberal politician seeks to accrue if they are creating victim groups to accumulate voters. A liberal politician is obsessed with race, so it is easy to derive the idea that racial tension is seen as their key to re-election. The Democratic Party uses behavioural science to frame the idea that they are morally superior and that everyone else is a racist. This repeated message creates a psychological association, as this is the way humans learn, to trigger a disdain for any other political group. This is a prolific brainwashing technique utilised by the Democratic Party.

The Democratic Party has always created racial tension; for example, their historic links to the KKK (Ku Klux Klan), and it is the Democratic Party that supported the expansion of slavery to the western states, leading to the creation of the 'Confederate States of America' (and leading to the American Civil War) when they succeeded from the Union during the Republican presidency of Abraham Lincoln, who rejected slavery. The Democratic Party still considers the Black population to be slaves today by demanding that they remain in a 'victim group' and vote as a 'community' for the Democratic Party that purports to support them.

4.3.5 CRT

The Democratic Party creates the division, and then regularly attempts to own the words 'Diversity', 'Equity' and 'Inclusion' (DEI), which they believe will translate into votes. This far-left ideology has also infested schools around the globe. It is a malevolent ideology surreptitiously promoted as 'Black History'. This ideology promotes the idea that Black people lived an anachronistic history from other skin colours. This pernicious ideology is less known by its true name, 'Critical Race Theory' (CRT). This philosophy advocates favouring people based on their skin colour, rather than rewarding them solely on merit, with the idea that this will atone for the underrepresentation they experienced in the past when people of colour accounted for a smaller percentage of the total population. Like many far-left liberalism ideologies, the followers of extremism have to accept a certain amount of non-religious values. For example, 'two wrongs make a right' and 'faith and freedom are less important than globalism'. The proceeds of this racist ideology are that everyone suffers; for example, if skin-based selection is the only factor being assessed for medical degrees, then the obvious result is inferior doctors that affect the entire population. The entrance requirements for colleges, universities and the workplace must be based on skills and qualifications.

The true agenda of this is to encourage White children to have a disdain for their skin colour; it is promoted by beguiling teachers who convince children that all Black people are descendants of slaves and the White population is still culpable for that today. This ideology, being taught at schools, is likely another factor that fuels the rise in far-left gender ideology as it increasingly encourages children to question who they are at an early age. Because of a modern, connected world, this ideology is observable in schools throughout the Western world. The real purpose of this indoctrination is to force a left-leaning political agenda on children using a method more commonly utilised in communist-controlled countries. America, in particular, then has no qualms in branding parents 'domestic terrorists' (in Democrat States) if they object to this indoctrination ideology. The Democrat politician, and the liberal-globalists in other countries, will do anything for power and money, and this mentality is dividing and destroying America and the world.

4.3.6 Diversity First

The concept of 'Diversity First' is an expansion of race-based hiring and DEI. For all the pontificating about race and equality, which the far-left politicians have engaged in, the result has instead been the creation of a new liberal dystopia where diversity-first racism exists under the guise of equity. The liberal politicians, with their warped sense of morality, believe that in order to promote equity, there needs to be a 'Whites need not apply' philosophy to recruiting. For example, the RAF in the UK and 'Best Buy' in America, which has a 'Personal Development Program' initiative that is open to a long list of selective ethnicities, but White people are prohibited from applying. The Black Business Fund, in the UK, is another example as grants are only accepted from Black restaurant owners. The Vanguard Group also had (in 2024) a similar scheme. Sometimes racial discrimination is more subtle, such as the Kellogg company that plans to have 25% 'underrepresented talent', at management level.

Diversity first (instead of meritocracy) began after the creation of 'Black Lives Matter'. During President Obama's term, on 9th August 2014, a Black man called Michael Brown allegedly stole cigarettes from a convenience store in Ferguson, Missouri. According to the assessment of the event, Michael Brown attempted to seize a police officer's gun. Eventually, this led to the suspect (Michael Brown) being shot and killed; however, this event triggered an uprising of gang-style violence, including burning the convenience store to the ground. This was because locals had been informed, incorrectly, that Michael Brown had put his hands up and said "Don't shoot". This led to the creation of 'Black Lives Matter' and a new fear culture, which gripped the globe, whereby almost all organisations began to introduce racist recruitment policies that favoured ethnicity over qualifications. This was then perpetuated further by investment companies, globalists and Joseph Biden as organisations strive for higher ESG scores.

Academia openly advertised that they were exclusively seeking a Black/Native-Indian candidate for a professor role. This is normalising the idea that selection by skin colour is acceptable. This malevolent movement of discrimination had some reproach with a ruling by the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS) in June

2023. The court ruled against 'Affirmative Action' (positive discrimination). In a 6–3 ruling, it will now no longer be possible for universities like Harvard Law School (Barack Obama attended this school, likely receiving preferential treatment due to his skin colour) to discriminate against White people. A person of colour, with lower grades, will not have a higher chance of acceptance than a White applicant with top grades. However, discrimination still exists in America. For example, in California, where Assembly Bill 852 passed with a 58–13 majority vote. This bill will require judges to discriminate when sentencing. Black people, who are always grouped in a perpetual victim group by the Democratic Party, will be expected to receive lenient sentences because of their skin colour; this ideology was initially introduced in schools. For example, the Portland Teachers Union, in Oregon, is obligated to consider areas like skin colour, sexual orientation and restorative justice to ensure that they discipline students differently; these are examples of how discriminatory 'equity' is achieved in modern America, and this continues into colleges/universities where these institutions receive grants to adopt discriminatory (DEI) education. This indoctrination occurs in most courses and colleges, and not just courses such as 'Queering the World' offered by Harvard.

This does raise the question that if the Democratic Party constantly attempts to lock in votes from the Black voter by classifying them as a victim group, then has this discrimination from colleges and universities been more about ensuring those on the left side of politics are accepted? For example, left-leaning political lawyers and judges could be very useful when it came to litigating election fraud that needed to be dismissed to preserve the illusion of democracy; many judges refused to hear evidence on election fraud cases brought after the 2020 presidential election—they instead dismissed the case, giving the illusion that they were without merit. Liberal judges applying lenient sentences to Democrat voters, through positive discrimination, could also help support the Democratic Party during elections. Judges in America are political appointments, and for the Democratic Party, this means that judges must remain loyal to the party with their rulings. Discrimination is prevalent throughout the corporate world, e.g. IBM (source: video evidence of the CEO in 2023), use positive discrimination to ensure that they expand the number of Black and Hispanic workers employed in order to achieve DEI and ESG targets.

4.3.7 Final Thought

The Black community is labelled African-American; insultingly, there are seldom any Caribbean-American references; all Black people are known as African-American in the United States. However, this is where the 'Gallent Double Standard Test' should be applied; if someone of White skin colour were to emigrate from America to Africa, then could he/she be known as American-African without reprisal?

True equity is equality where origins and skin colour are never mentioned; however, that does not win elections! Instead division is created for 'Identity Politics'.

4.4 Gender

4.4.1 Passports

Up until the Biden government assumed power in 2021, it was widely accepted that there were two genders. Passports had two genders, allowing for 'M' (Male) or 'F' (Female), but in 2024 the Biden administration allowed for an 'X'. Gender is also deeply rooted in science too. It is well known how much the liberal-minded politician likes to 'follow the science', and as it is known that science has nothing to do with how someone feels (otherwise, many would have ignored the lockdowns during the Covid pandemic), so having a feeling that you are something other than a man or a woman is irrelevant in science.

Joseph Biden's ascent to power was not without allegations that he achieved it through election fraud, particularly as Donald Trump achieved over 10 million extra votes during his re-election attempt, and no president in history has ever gained more votes for his second term and lost; Barack Obama had 3 million fewer votes and still won a second term. It could be propounded that division has always been the Democratic Party's best election tool. The Democratic Party considers elections to be a war with the strategy being to 'Divide and rule'; also known as 'Divide and conquer'. This idea of creating doubt in the opposition is a military strategy that has been followed for centuries, e.g. Niccolò Machiavelli's 'The Art of War (1521)'. The

Democratic Party creates the following: division, doubt, victim groups and fear of being branded a 'racist' for opposing, as a manipulative method to convert susceptible voters.

4.4.2 Transgender

The era up until 2024 witnessed an exponential growth in transgender and non-binary ideology, where copious amounts of schools assisted with surreptitious transitions, particularly in America, and this permeated around the world. NGOs like 'HiTops' and 'WPATH' (The World Professional Association for Transgender Health) likely assist in proliferating this ideology in schools across America; WPATH aims to create an international community to treat 'gender variance'.

The human brain does not fully develop until around the age of 25, yet children have been encouraged to have mutilating operations to become part of an LGBTQ+ group in order to feel like they belong to a victim group. This growing victim group is branded as '2SLGBTQI+' in Canada, and for the town of Emo, they found out how powerful the ideology was when the town's government refused to celebrate 'Pride' for a month. The Ontario Human Rights Tribunal issued a \$10,000 fine on the town, and the mayor had to personally pay \$5,000 to a LGBTQ activist group. Celebrating and looking only for differences in people is extreme and discriminatory. It is propounded that the motive far-left teachers have is to create a new race of androgynous students who will be obsequious to far-left political parties. This is particularly prevalent in London, whereby housing is being built that is exclusively for the LGBTQ+ community; moreover, the ideology is also pervasive in colleges, particularly in America, and from there, the ideology enters large corporations (under DEI). The ideology is becoming so pervasive that 'Diversity, Equity and Inclusion' (DEI) has become HEI (Health, Equity and Inclusion) for health systems in America as a result of the 'Human Rights Campaign' (HRC).

Fully grown adults can make whatever decision they desire, but a line must be drawn with indoctrinating children with extremist ideologies. The increase in transgenderism, witnessed in the years prior to 2024, is likely also encouraged by

children's sweet (candy) brands, e.g. Skittles that advertise transgenderism extremism and 'Black Lives Matter' ideology on their wrappers. Children put their trust in adults, and they are being let down by corporations, schools and adults in general. Mars Inc. (owner of the Skittles brand) may not have been a voluntary promoter of extremism to children—this report was unable to confirm this. Programs like the 'No Outsiders Program' in the United Kingdom have normalised the distribution of transgender books to primary school children. All around the world (since the Democratic Party elevated transgender individuals to a victim group), there has been encouragement for children of all ages to question their gender as encouraged by perverse books in schools and stores. One of the books by the 'No Outsider Program' features a teddy bear that is unhappy as a boy teddy bear, but he becomes happy after he transitions to a girl teddy bear; this is now present in numerous schools, e.g. in St. Albans and Bristol. However, there are far more graphic books available (these will not be referenced in this report) that target children by providing illustrated instructions covering all gender choices. There is one book that no school (in a Biden dystopia) would ever recommend a child to read: the Bible. Bible sales surged after the re-election of Donald Trump in November 2024.

In Germany, it is now legal for parents to change a child's gender from the age of five, and adults can change their gender once a year. However, the dystopian part of German law is that a fine of up to €10,000 can be levied against anyone who attempts to reveal a person's true gender. Transgender ideology targets children and normalises the process, and it does this by encouraging children to feel like they do not fit in. Children and parents should be aware that nobody should 'fit in' with far-left ideology, and it is not for a teacher to refer a child, under the belief that they were incorrectly assigned at birth, as they may just be homosexual or going through a phase in their life. In California, Governor Gavin Newsom has advanced a stage further by signing the 'Safety Act' into law (July 2024) that prevents schools from conveying to parents if their son or daughter is transitioning or using different pronouns. It is already difficult for a father to prevent a child from transitioning if the mother agrees that it is justified, so parents are at risk from extremist ideology that develops to the point where surgery is carried out on a child (daughter or son) against their wishes—fathers in particular.

The actions taken by governments and corporations may also be adding to the rise of normalising transgenderism. For example, 'Admiral Rachel Leland Levine' (born Richard Leland Levine) is a four-star admiral in the 'United States Public Health Service Commissioned Corps' (PHSCC) and 'Assistant Secretary for Health' (in 2024); critics may suggest that this appointment, by the Biden administration, is further encouraging and normalising transgenderism in the population rather than this being an exception. California has progressed a step further to ensure that children cannot escape the encouragement of transgenderism. Large department stores are now obligated to create a gender-neutral section for toys and childcare items; presumably, the idea is that different sections create equity. Furthermore, Oakland University promoted 'Transgiving' at Thanksgiving in 2024.

Originally, transgenderism did not exist; the population that thought they were a different gender were 'transvestites', and they were classified as having a 'Gender Identity Disorder' (GID), which was a mental illness. However, since 2013, under the Obama era, mental illness appears to de facto be reserved for people that struggle to cope with life or more recently those that portray a Covid-induced aversion to work. Subsequently, GID (mental illness) was rebranded as 'Gender Dysphoria' (a disease). The disease classification highlighted the extremist far-left ideology that was starting to grip America. It was now no longer possible that a growing child would like or accept their body again; once diagnosed with the disease, the only option was surgery. The increase in mental health advisors in schools has also meant that they can only recommend surgery as an option after the reclassification of the illness. This has allowed parents to be targeted (mainly in America) so that they consent to expensive 'gender reassignment surgery' under the pretext that their son or daughter will commit suicide unless their 'disease' is treated with surgery. The reality of that surgery will be covered at the end of the Gender section.

A dystopian future would involve parents losing the rights to their children (based on perhaps religious beliefs) if they refuse to acknowledge their child's gender and name change; this would then make children effectively property of the state. This has happened to parents in Indiana where their son was being treated for an eating

disorder and gender dysphoria, but the Catholic parents, for religious reasons, refused to call their son by a girl's name and confirm to him (through pronoun usage) that he was female, so he was confiscated by the state. Likewise, this has occurred in California where a father lost paternity rights for his 12-year-old child to his mother, thus allowing chemical castration and surgery to be performed to confirm his new gender choice. This dangerous ideology, which overrides parents, is all about control, indoctrination and power. A further example of a dystopian future occurred on 19th April 2024 when the U.S. Department of Education (under the Biden administration) released their final rule of the 'Title IX' clause of the 'Education Amendments of 1972' that claimed to eliminate sexual discrimination. However, in reality, the Biden administration has discriminated against cisgender (gender corresponds with birth gender) women by forcing women to accept biological men competing in women's sports (hundreds of medals won in the world have now been awarded to transgender athletes), and women were discriminated against by being forced to share women's bathrooms with biological men. The U.S. Supreme Court voted 5-4 (saved by a Republican-dominated court) in August 2024 to reject Biden's emergency request to allow men in women's bathrooms that was presented by the Biden-Harris administration as 'protections from discrimination for transgender students'. The Biden administration withdrew this plan completely after Donald Trump was elected —this is known as the 'Trump Effect'. Far-left ideology is still prevalent at the end of 2024 though as women become known as 'non-trans women'.

Just as racism is becoming prevalent with race-based hiring for equity, so too is gender-based hiring starting to emerge; for example, seeking gender-fluid applicants only. The purpose of which is to ensure the left-leaning bias is prevalent throughout the industry. The next stage of a dystopian future, after transgenderism, is likely post-humanism, where humans who are enhanced by technology or AI become part of society, so not all members of society would be human; terms such as 'man' and 'woman' become irrelevant, but instead there are beings that have no gender, religion, or individual thought. If you are no longer part of the human race (man or woman) and you no longer think for yourself, to be able to determine when you are being duped, then what are you? A program!

In a global world, it is not uncommon for corporations, NGOs and other entities to constantly monitor each other in order to ensure compliance with extremist far-left globalism ideology under the threat of boycott. Different countries around the world now require corporations to produce reports detailing their DEI achievements. Corporations pride themselves (in their annual report) when detailing that employees with a skin colour other than white are being paid more for the same job. This is known as 'equity' (reverse racism). Another example of equity was when the 'Noel Coward Theatre' in London held selective segregation nights for a Black-only audience to view 'Slave Play'. 'Black out' is the new racism that is being disseminated around the world. Compliance with far-left ideology is even evident at the Ministry of Defence (MoD) in the United Kingdom, where staff are encouraged to give their 'name, rank and gender pronoun' when identifying themselves. However, in England (part of the United Kingdom), the 'Tavistock and Portman NHS Foundation Trust' was required to close 'The Tavistock Clinic' (the UK's only gender-identity clinic for children) after a detrimental independent review; furthermore, female patients (in NHS hospitals) are now able to request female doctors for intimate healthcare as sex has been declared as a 'matter of biology'. Therefore, some of the extremist transgender ideology has attenuated, but transgenderism ideology is still prevalent in regional NHS (National Health Service) areas.

In the majority of Middle Eastern and Eastern countries, there are no facilities to treat transgenderism (except in Iran, where transsexual surgery is used to treat homosexuals) as it is primarily an export from America, which is where most extremist-ideology originates from because of victim-group ideology. Transgenderism is illegal in the majority of the Muslim and Arab world in order to protect their values; for example, even after Iraq was liberated by Western military forces, the legislature still passed a law banning transgenderism. An ideology should never be accepted by any government when it evolves into indoctrination for children and victim-group ideology.

It is unacceptable to permit child transitions for the purpose of expanding the profits of those who engage in the surgery. The recent encouragement of transgenderism by the Democratic Party has been about expanding loyal Democratic voters (for future

elections) and branding any parent in America who disagreed with the ideology in schools as a domestic terrorist. Without President Trump winning the 2016 election, this extreme ideology (infecting the Democratic Party and now the world) might not have been detected for years. This may have resulted in a pernicious influence on America and the world in perpetuity. This is particularly true as the Democratic Party controls virtually all media channels, which keep their viewers in a trance-like state, and they regularly lecture on morality concepts based on Democrat propaganda. An attempt to normalise this ideology resulted in the introduction of 'International Pronouns Day' in 2018; this is celebrated every third Wednesday in October. However, the shift in morality and reality has been too rapid in recent years, so now the world is aware of this extremist ideology. For society to reject the possibility of mental illness is an abhorrent failing in providing adult guidance to children. Mental illness is on the rise, and the Democratic mayor of New York (in 2024), Eric Adams, has admonished social media companies for that rise. The mayor filed a lawsuit in California against the owners of Instagram, Meta, Snapchat, TikTok and YouTube that the mayor alleges has contributed to an increase in mental health cases.

4.4.3 Cultural Division

Schools that support LGBTQ education, especially transgender, often result in cultural disturbances from Muslim communities where the practice is not openly acceptable, and support for Palestine (those in terrorist groups) over Israel is generally not acceptable to the rest of the population in Western countries, so there is this cultural rift that has been created in some schools and wider society—particularly in the United Kingdom. Part of this is liberal ideology from America that has brought in extremist transgender ideology where it is acceptable to attempt to convert children even in secret. There is also an extremist Muslim side (Jihadism) where some Muslims believe that their role is to convert everyone to Islam, and by extension create a caliphate.

The conclusion is that 'inclusivity' and 'integration' are, in some circumstances, a failed social experiment (in multiculturalism) that does not function if those that migrate to countries with different cultures do not respect the culture (this applies

both ways) of the country that they now reside in. It also fails when culture wars are excogitated in order to create victim groups who are expected to become loyal political voters (vote exclusively as a community for left politicians). In all Muslim countries (approximately 50) in the world, it is important to the majority of Muslims that their traditions are observed, so this needs to be extended to countries like the United Kingdom that has historically been a Christian country. Islam has to be respected in Muslim countries as much as Christianity has to be respected in the United Kingdom. There can never be a reason for a country to dilute or have religion obviated from communities simply because it may offend someone that has migrated to that country, as this will always lead to a culture war. Christians in particular must earn respect from other religions (held by new migrants) by setting boundaries to prevent their religion from being desecrated; for example, if towns and cities capitulate the displaying of Christmas trees (to prevent offending other religions), while simultaneously allowing other religions to openly celebrate their holidays, then this results in the Christian community portraying the idea that their religion is somehow inferior, and the result of this is a breakdown of culture through lack of respect for a country's inherent religion. Unfortunately, all too often, those that seek the destruction of Western civilisation (e.g. globalists and the foreign-influenced/controlled world media) brand anyone that objects to the demise of Western culture and religion as 'far right' in order to silence them.

The traditions of all countries in the world must be preserved; for example, in the Western world, areas such as religion, LGBTQ+ (excluding indoctrination) and race (equality but not equity) must be observed. The same then applies to Muslim countries where Islam is the predominant religion and LGBTQ promotion, of any kind, is in most circumstances unacceptable. Respect for differences over forced integration is the only solution to stabilise a culture war. However, allowing children of Muslim families (e.g. in a Minnesota school district) to opt-out of the LGBTQ+ (indoctrination) curriculum might raise the question as to why only certain children are being allowed to avoid indoctrination. This hypothetical scenario could be considered: if a Syrian-Muslim and a Russian-Christian migrate to a Western country, e.g. the UK, then they must be treated equally under the law. A culture where certain religions or races are treated better or where the media instantly use

virtue-signalling for stories, when they are not in possession of all the facts, is harmful to stabilising integration. Furthermore, there must never be instances where the non-white population receives, or expects, preferential treatment due to their perceived victim-group status; this would equally apply the other way round: White people should not be elevated in countries where they represent the minority. All people have to be equal under the law for society to function.

4.4.4 Expanding Genders

Currently, there are over 100 fabricated genders (designed to divide the population around the world) under the heading of 'Identity Politics'. The practice of creating unnecessary contention is thoroughly entrenched within the Democratic Party in America, and the ramifications of such entrenchment have global implications that cause cultural changes. There are even some schools in the UK where teachers are afraid to defy this ideology that they allow pupils to believe that they are domestic pets, so with a class full of farmyard and zoo creatures, it is becoming more difficult to educate children on anything other than environmental and social issues. One school (West Monmouth School) has rightly drawn a red line by writing to parents confirming that they will not be providing litter trays to anyone that identifies as a cat or any other animal. This failure to set any boundaries for children, in some schools, is about indoctrinating them into a life of far-left extremism that will be carried with them throughout life, including into the workplace.

The increase in genders has also led to a virtual erasing of the concept of a female. It is widely demonstrable that politicians, around the world, now have a difficult time affirming what a woman is; moreover, it is propounded that the inability to define a woman will lead to contention in certain Western countries (with left-leaning governments) that plan to make it a criminal offence to misgender someone.

California attempted to advance this a step further with Assembly Bill: AB 957; this bill would have meant parents could be charged with child abuse or have their child removed if the parent fails to affirm the child's new gender. In September 2023, Governor Gavin Newsom vetoed this bill. However, the governor did not veto bill 'SB 407' that makes a foster parent legally be required to affirm the child's chosen

gender. In California, there is no possibility that a child, who has lost his or her parents, could have psychological problems. Instead, the child is raised as the opposite gender. The potential psychological problems that child could have in the future are incalculable. For example, if the child holds any kind of religious belief and comes to the realisation that their parents are no longer looking down from heaven at their son or daughter, due to their transitioning, then this child will carry those psychological problems into adulthood.

A similar example occurred in the United Kingdom, where a Church of England school allowed a four-year-old boy to enrol as a girl in an all-girls school. The other pupils were unaware of his true gender, but as he grew older, stronger, and started punching the girls in the face, his true identity became obvious. The transgender community is a victim group, and victim groups are monopolised by the Democratic Party to garner votes. As imprisonment is introduced in the future, throughout the Western world, this will lead to an increase in fear of personal interaction (out of fear of imprisonment due to misgendering) in the population. There is already empirical evidence that the population interacts less frequently due to the Covid 'working from home' phenomenon. Furthermore, California is now allowing all illegals to receive transsexual surgery for free; this is likely to encourage a greater number of asylum seekers, who are always loyal Democratic voters, because they are persecuted (not able to receive free transsexual surgery) in their country of origin.

Although our reality has been adjusted to assume that the increase in genders is an age-old problem, it can in fact be traced back to when Joseph Biden assumed office in the White House. In a connected-world extremist ideology, from America, can quickly permeate around the globe. It may not have been Joseph Biden's intention to cancel the term 'woman', but this is the reality that his political party has created. Joseph Biden's likely intention, or probably more of his progressive controllers', was to once again divide the population by making the transgender community feel that they were another victim group, with the aspiration that this victim group, and all their new sympathisers, would vote Democrat in the next election. The problem that the Democrat politicians had unleashed, by holding transgender parties at the White House, was that it brought in the idea that a man could become a woman by simply

declaring that they were a woman, and this brings about the question: why are there women's sports competitions? The Democratic Party likely lamented the cancellation of women, but they created the problem, and for once, they could not sell anyone a vote to fix it! Their only solution has been to double down on the idea, insisting that anyone that does not believe that a man can be a woman is a 'transphobic'. Although Democrat politicians are far more likely to interject with the words 'racist' or 'white supremest' in acrimony. It is accepted that this makes no sense, but the word 'racist' often forms part of a polemic against traditional beliefs when their prevarication has been revealed. Victory, although not earned, is then declared because it is unacceptable to listen to a 'racist'—it is a free speech suppression tactic.

4.4.5 Changing Reality

All of this fabricated science helps to subdue a population by making gender irrelevant. The ideology aims to destroy the nuclear family through the following methods: branding all male masculinity as toxic; inundating women with the ideology that all men are misogynists, unless they are portraying a submissive personality; and by providing rewards, in the form of extra welfare to parents for remaining single. This is an extension of the '#MeToo movement' that appeared to encourage any woman (who was not the instigator of a relationship breakdown) to claim that she was part of a #MeToo movement, so the effectiveness of such a movement has been eroded. Particularly, as there is growing empirical evidence that an increasing number of women do not consider themselves to be 'strong' unless they are disagreeing with a man. This is another method designed to divide people—man vs woman; this then results in a woman assuming a greater masculine role, thus eliminating the need for a nuclear family where a man and a woman bring different strengths to the partnership and produce children. Combine this with the pervasive idea (originating from America) that encourages women to aspire to have an abortion, and the result is a further erosion of women's right to have children. This then results in the need for a country to import people ('The Great Replacement') as there are insufficient births to sustain a population, and this then alters the demographics of the country to favour a left-leaning political movement in

perpetuity. All of this is by design—it is instigated by malevolent NGOs and other progressive globalists. Once a culture is lost, through replacement, it is lost forever.

When it becomes possible to convince a population that someone can instantly transition genders throughout the day (in a concept known as 'Gender Fluidity'), then this proves that our perception of reality is being manipulated; combine this with falling birth rates (due to increasing numbers in the LGBTQ+ community), an increase in abortions, the idea that having children increases climate change and a pessimistic outlook for the country, and it is then logical to deduce the idea that a population can be convinced that being invaded is morally correct.

The effects of extremist ideology even influence religion, which is likely why more elected officials (in America) are choosing to be sworn in on LGBTQ+ (transgenderism being a mask for extremism) books rather than the Bible. The Methodist Church (in the UK) now has guidelines around every aspect of 'woke culture'. For example, the church's new guidelines state that terms like 'husband' and 'wife' should be avoided as they 'make assumptions about a family or personal life'. Too often, churches are capitulating to the idea of marriage and are expanding their ideas on gender to normalise a seemingly ever-expanding number of genders instead of being supportive of the likely mental health issues that many with radicalised genders suffer from. Once again, though, the powerful suggestion of the new reality, by the media, makes the unsuspecting churches and congregation believe that they have always held their views, but should churches really allow malevolent forces, such as the Democratic Party in America and their media, to dictate morality? Because ultimately, the danger (in a developing autocracy) is that there is no place for a religion as people must believe and worship the state in order to be controlled, and this is observable in countries like China and North Korea (where over 70% of the population are non-religious), so often a weak-minded person will replace religion with state-worshipping rather than being an atheist or agnostic.

In society 'Good people' do not stand up out of fear; this can be because of fear of being branded a 'racist', fear of a fabricated rape allegation (if a man speaks out), fear the IRS (in America) will target you, fear of losing your job or fear of being attacked

by domestic terrorist groups such as Antifa and Trantifa. The act of communicating the truth has, in some circumstances, become the most dangerous pursuit. It must never be forgotten, though, that extremist ideology often originates from extremist NGOs in America or politicians seeking power; for example, if a Democrat, or RINO (Republican In Name Only), governor rejects a bill that would protect children, then he/she is likely receiving a campaign contribution from a children's hospital that supports transsexual surgery on children (based on a known example).

This manipulation of morality and reality has led to an accumulation of mental health issues in countries that embrace extremist ideology. Perceived mental health problems also assist in controlling a population, especially when medicated using legal or illegal drugs. The legalisation of once-banned drugs is also proliferating across Western nations, whilst remaining restricted in Eastern nations.

4.4.6 Restoring Gender Reality

The concept of gender will now be clarified and reality restored. There are two genders (sexes) and there will always only be two genders. There is a trans-community whereby some people, after confirming that they are not suffering from psychological problems (GID), begin the process of transitioning from a man to a woman or vice versa, through surgical procedures and by prescribed medication in the form of either testosterone or oestrogen (estrogen). Testosterone and oestrogen hormones are already present in both males and females, so increasing, say, oestrogen does not make someone a woman able to compete in women's sports. It should be noted that there are no known studies to determine the effects of taking high doses of this medication in the long term; moreover, the recovery time for a transgender operation is 18 months; it is irreversible and will result in loss of feeling and sexual activity, so it could be hypothesised that the aim of a 'trans woman' is to look like a woman rather than feel like a woman after surgery. A trans woman, who is attempting to compete in women's sports before surgery, can still contract prostate cancer—changing swimsuits does not alter this.

Even after surgery, the different risks of illness that men and women experience will largely remain unchanged from the gender assigned at birth, meaning that someone's 'assigned gender' at birth will always be relevant and is 'not a guess' as is falsely advertised by those who seek to encourage transgender extremism. The Pope is quoted as saying (in 2024) “the ugliest danger is gender ideology”; furthermore, mental illness rates are high for anyone who undertakes this procedure due to pain and the realisation (in many cases) that transgenderism should have been treated as a mental illness and not a disease for inclusivity; therefore, someone who believes that they are a different gender is no longer considered a mental illness, but once gender-reassignment surgery is performed, then the patient will likely be treated for a mental illness. Medication and operations will not alter genetics, but it is a legal way to live as the opposite gender in some countries. However, this report has a responsibility not to spread disinformation, so for that reason, it is important to consider that if every part of someone’s body (down to the DNA level) is a particular gender (with no birth defects) and only their mind rejects this, then is the best option really likely to be for a surgeon to alter them physically in order to reinforce a mental belief? That is the science, so this should not be exploited for political gain!

4.5 Culture Conclusions

Within three weeks of his re-election (in November 2024), Donald Trump had nominated a Democrat, a former Democrat, a woman, a Jewish person, members of the media, a Black man, a Hispanic-origin man, a homosexual and a number of 'old white men' for cabinet positions. The difference being that this was the start of a new era where the best people for the job were hired, and diversity naturally flowed into the hiring process. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) must never again be used to discriminate against White people or used as a tool to ensure that applicants are only recruited who are ideologically aligned with far-left extremism. Since Donald Trump’s re-election, a number of companies have announced that they are reversing DEI recruitment policies. Finally, restoring the idea of having a family in a safe country is important, as this will lead to a continued rejection of far-left extremism.

5. Depravity

This section explores how the following areas alter our perception of reality: Hollywood, drugs, the liberal effect, the Internet and illegals.

5.1 Hollywood

Hollywood has been making subtle statements in its movies and productions for years. An extremely subtle example might be when the plot calls for the 'bad guy' to be a politician, and he always wears the red Republican-coloured tie; this is why Donald Trump's Secret Service protection was prohibited from wearing red ties around him while Joseph Biden's Secret Service could wear any colour tie, including Democrat blue. Lately, the political rhetoric has become openly overt in films; for example, the destitute character in a film (who is established to be Republican) may demand extreme distasteful measures be enacted against illegal aliens. This is even more prominent during an election year; for example, the release of a movie about Donald Trump within three weeks of the election. This movie, called 'The Apprentice', included a rape scene and was intended to brand Donald Trump as a rapist—the media assisted in disseminating this in order to commit election interference.

Hollywood will often include direct reference to Republicans being disingenuous or despicable in their movies, and this is because Hollywood is full of depravity. It is in the interest of Hollywood to retain a Democrat president as this ensures that all of the 'victim groups' remain, and it ensures misery; miserable people watch movies. Hollywood also produces fabricated documentaries to target Republican voters (Christians, families and workers). The Democratic Party recruited movie-maker Steven Spielberg to assist in directing Joseph Biden's 2024 re-election advertising campaign before he was replaced as the nominee by the de facto leadership of the Democratic Party. Advertising campaigns for Joseph Biden did not focus on what he would do for American citizens because the focus of the Democratic Party is always on importing illegals (new voters), so advertising for Joseph Biden involved (when he

was running) splicing video clips of his opponent to convey him as a racist, so the most respected in Hollywood were hired to portray those adverts as believable.

This psychological targeting in film is designed to make the viewer question their own belief system (even though it is just a fictional movie) with the aspiration that the viewer will associate the idea that they will become destitute (in real life) if they do not support illegals that criminally break into a country. The real depravity is the left-leaning politicians and Hollywood, who both profit from illegal immigration. Numerous actors and actresses appear as propaganda conduits for the Democratic Party, and there are a number of reasons they do this. Actors learn their lines and believe they now have an understanding of the world—except of course it is a manipulated reality—they lack the ability to apply critical thinking. For others in Hollywood, they are prepared to do anything to secure another role in order not to become obsolete in the acting business, so for this reason, Hollywood only aids in manipulating actual reality with rhetoric.

Illegals commit more crimes per capita compared to the average member of society, so the media, left-politicians, NGOs and Hollywood etc. are supporting depravity for their own personal gain. It should be remembered that just because a favourite actor impersonates someone for a living and makes a speech with dramatic music, this is not indicative of the truth; neither should it inspire the viewer to emulate the characters. Actors and actresses are the equivalent of the court jester in olden times. They are there to entertain—to give us a break from reality. Unfortunately, the movie business knows that it thrives when people are miserable.

Hollywood is controlled by the far left and, in some cases, influenced by China. Actors and actresses have to impress the movie producers with their allegiance to far-left causes, so their priority is never the viewer, whom they likely care little about. In election year, there are actors who queue up to perform at fundraising events for the Democratic Party's nominee for president. This is because it is an excellent PR opportunity for celebrities. Equally, the Democratic Party nominee knows that impressing Hollywood and the media is often the key to winning an election; this is because by dominating the airwaves, the viewer becomes convinced that their lives

will improve or would be worse with the other party, so the Democratic Party always adopts 'causes' that create dramatic film plots with little thought given to the majority of the population whom they may represent. Actors are always quick to embrace any far-left cause; for example, climate change. These 'causes', which they embrace without scientific scrutiny, earn adulation amongst their peers. Some celebrities donate to NGOs like the 'Climate Emergency Fund' (CEF). CEF, in turn, awards grants (including overseas) to criminal-damage organisations like 'Just Stop Oil' (a group that famously poured soup over Vincent Van Gogh's 'Sunflowers' painting). There are many far-left groups like this that recruit media-indoctrinated servants to carry out despicable acts of vandalism and to cause misery by blocking traffic systems. The names of these organisations are crucial in being able to commit criminal acts in broad daylight. A group calling themselves 'Just Stop Hollywood' would likely be quickly arrested for their disturbances.

Someone who impersonates another person for a living, like a confidence trickster, is probably not the most reliable person to dictate morality or political ideology. Hollywood often disseminates the following: crazy environmental ideas, racism and the idea that an undocumented illegal is the nicest guy in town who saves the day! It is all fiction, though, and it must never be allowed to alter our perception of reality or control morality. Hollywood thrives with more people—including illegals. There is a consolation, though; all Hollywood actors will be cancelled in the future because they mock people and characters, and this is increasingly becoming unacceptable. Those who encourage Cancel Culture will themselves be cancelled in the future—that is a certainty.

5.2 Drugs

The far-left, extremist, globalist politicians, including those in many Democrat-run states in America, will encourage drug-taking for compliance with far-left ideology. These states have legitimised psychedelic drugs, e.g. magic mushrooms, and they would support more extreme drug usage, even to the detriment of the people they represent. Horizon Air flight 2059A (from Washington State to California) was

almost brought down (in 2023) by an off-duty pilot high on 'magic mushrooms', and Prince Harry thought he was talking to a toilet when he sampled them, so these liberal products are not beneficial for the population. However, a population on drugs does not ask questions and becomes dependent on the politicians, who are always globalist-oriented, to allow them to continue taking those drugs. Drug-dependent voters will always vote for more drugs, even if the politician pledges that all of the country's money will be spent on fabricated globalism causes. The globalist politician would rather rule over bankruptcy than lose power to democracy.

It might be an unpleasant reality to hear, but the majority of elections, where cheating is not involved, are decided by people who lack the mental acuity to vote. They might be addicted to drugs, prolific viewers of far-left media, like the look of the candidate or simply uninformed through no fault of their own. They may use an internet search engine, which is often manipulated to favour far-left results, to search for topics like 'who should I vote for?'; understandably, the results of a website(s) are unlikely to factor in all of the variables, which are important to an individual, that would allow them to make an informed voting decision. For example, a priority might be promised by a certain political party, but that political party might also support legalising all drugs, which ensures loyal far-left voters, or indoctrination for children, which would likely be a compromise that is too extreme if known. A society that is uninformed is not a democracy, and all media companies are biased, so there is no reliable information source. For a person to be informed, they require encouragement to engage in critical thinking.

In many ways, the idea of keeping a population on drugs is analogous to the CIA experiments 'Project MKUltra' (1953 - 1973) where experiments were conducted (often on unsuspecting men) using LSD (Lysergic acid diethylamide). CIA experiments (following on from research originally conducted by the Nazis in Germany during World War II) were carried out on anyone that would be unlikely to recount their experience, including the following types of people: mental patients, prisoners, drug addicts and prostitutes. The aim was to determine if 'deep confessions' could be extracted and whether it was possible to wipe a subject's mind clean and reprogram it as an agent; the CIA briefly considered buying up 100 million

doses of LSD. The idea of being able to 'trigger' people is still active today; the media continuously reinforce their rhetoric on unsuspecting viewers.

A population on drugs is easy to control (manipulated to become loyal voters), and this is why drugs, particularly hard drugs (Cannabis is already legal in many states now), are being decriminalised in Democrat-run states.

5.3 The Liberal Effect

Everywhere a liberal politician is in power, there are the following empirical traits: high rates of homeless people, high crime and basic filth. Examples being California and San Francisco, but with a biased far-left media, all of this is covered up and normalised, thus changing our perception of reality. It is a strange phenomenon that the far-left media are so manipulative that some viewers (relying on a single news channel) become so radicalised that their minds will literally reject the squalor they see in front of them in those areas, and they will still mindlessly continue to vote for the Democratic Party. There are certain select tourist areas and opulent government buildings to detract from the squalor whilst the majority of the population live in depravity. However, the far-left media viewer (during 2024) was extolling every junkie, observed down a side street, with the view that at least there is no way this degenerate will vote for President Trump.

It is not just drugs that are a problem; it is also alcoholism. The 'Managed Alcohol Program' (MAP) enables the homeless to stay in shelters by providing them with a measured amount of alcohol throughout the day; the stated purpose of these highly expensive schemes is to prevent harm from coming to the individual through rough-sleeping due to their addiction. These schemes operate around the world in left-wing political areas, e.g. Glasgow, Toronto and San Francisco. They also, conveniently, allow the addicted to have the following: an address, a guarantee that they will stay alcohol-dependent and the ability for ballot-harvesters (particularly in America) to find them during the only time that the far-left politician cares about them—election season! Only by reducing crime and improving the quality of life (in these cities) will

there ever be any prospect of reducing alcoholism, but that will never be achieved unless the population is able to clearly vote for change or the federal government intervenes. The global-championing liberal would happily rule over bankruptcy rather than lose power to democracy. Drugs and alcohol are reasons why Democrat-run states in America, and specifically their cities, are so crime-ridden and criminals receive soft sentences. Republicans are forced to move out of state, and Democrat voters will not go to jail, so this ensures Democrat rule in those states in perpetuity.

This effect applies to the whole country too when it is under Democratic Party rule. Would an army of people (carrying a flag with 'Make America Great Again' on it), marching up from South America, be allowed in through the southern border? This report concludes that the chances are infinitesimal! Joseph Biden would have quickly branded them terrorists and closed the border. The Democratic Party is about power and money because otherwise they would respect the will of the people and prevent armies of people invading a country. The Democratic Party are people dealers and smugglers; when the voting stock runs low, they use NGOs to assist in encouraging people to enter the country, and they use the media, who are happy to cover up depravity, to promote how caring they are. Imported voters assist in neutralising an opposition candidate and aids in installing a far-left extremist. The media then tidy 'things up' by branding anyone that notices the depravity as a 'racist' or 'xenophobic', and this is why the towns, cities and country will always lean towards depravity when a political party imports depravity for votes.

An increase in depravity within the population will result in the population demanding that the politicians that they elect are depraved; this then results in perpetual high crime in society. In communist-controlled countries, the population usually understands that they are being controlled, through propaganda, by a one-party government; however, in the western world, there is often no such understanding—the viewers of far-left media, which have no legal obligation to provide impartial news, is often digested as factual when in fact it is propaganda.

5.4 The Internet

Depravity used to be limited to regions where there was high crime, but now, as a result of a connected world, depravity can spread to any area and every area. This is why it is important for there to be transparent knowledge about the effects and causes of depravity. In America, depravity can spread due to district attorney candidates receiving large funding (for their election campaigns) if they campaign on the idea that criminality should not be prosecuted. The American government, when under liberal rule, frequently condones the actions of Antifa because they vote Democrat and because their organisational name suggest that they are standing up for a 'righteous cause'; in fact, the reverse position is frequently true, and their support of anarchy and terrorism should not be condoned.

There are many ways that people can be influenced on the internet to adopt declining levels of morality; an idea or 'internet craze' can instantly spread 'Go Viral' around the world. Social media, media companies and search engines often have an agenda of far-left extremism that regularly contributes to a decline in morality and traditional beliefs. The algorithms created by social media companies actually help favour depravity. There are three easy ways to expand your 'followers' on social media as follows: the first is to already be famous, so Hollywood actors automatically have a powerful voice through their 'online stalkers' on social media platforms once their accounts have been verified; the second way is to demonstrate depravity (for example, slapping someone or stealing will guarantee 'followers' as long as the stunts become increasingly more depraved); and the final way to increase your 'followers', and this only works for the left side of politics due to social media algorithms, is to constantly slander someone on the right side of politics in order to create constant outrage in your new followers. Therefore, the 'effect' (of using the above method) is that a social media user, with anything sensible to convey, will have little success (unless they are famous) due to the limited attention afforded to individual posts. It also takes a greater number of words (when compared to hate speech) to convey informative content; furthermore, many respected people would never sign up to a social media (effectively a 'chat room') website in order to engage in free speech due to the impolite rebuttals that would pursue them; moreover, foreign powers utilise

'bots' to manipulate social media views and 'globalists' utilise 'paid trolls' to ensure far-left rhetoric is pervasive. Therefore, social media and the internet as a whole increase and encourage depravity that would not organically occur in an offline environment.

Ultimately, wherever depravity exists, in any town or city in the world, there is (almost without exception) a ruling left-leaning politician. By creating a city where drugs and crime are rampant, it ensures that those that commit these actions will support a politician that condones it, but with the assistance of the internet, this depravity is no longer localised. The internet (when including the dark web) is predominantly criminality (scams etc.) and hate speech (through social media and news-media websites etc.), and it is important to be vigilant for both when browsing the internet, e.g. on internet banking and browsing news websites.

5.5 Illegals

5.5.1 Asylum Seekers

Not all migrants are asylum seekers or refugees; some are miscreants that enter the country illegally. This can be derived because in countries like the United Kingdom, illegal immigration is overwhelmingly single men (around 85%) who travel via France, which has no history of persecution. If any group of people are being persecuted abroad, then it would far more likely be women and children, and the men would be the ones beating, robbing and enslaving them, so it can be deduced that many of these single men are likely wanted criminals—this reasoning will be expanded upon later in this section. Some failed asylum seekers (those who are not escaping persecution as claimed) have even feigned Christianity (in Britain) in order to remain.

5.5.2 Sanctuary Cities

The creation of 'Sanctuary Cities' in America and networks like 'City of Sanctuary' in the UK encourage anyone who has committed a crime in another part of the world to

discard their passport and make the long journey to sanctuary, where these cities will even hold events in schools (socialisation) to ensure that children are aware of how morally superior these 'refugees' are. Should children really be seeing adult men, often speaking broken English, as their friends? This occurs because the political left supports weak and open borders, which encourage daily foreign invasion. The invading forces are then rebranded as migrants (doublespeak), thus aggrandising them to the same de facto status as a refugee under the refugee resettlement programme. Even in countries that are governed by right-sided politicians, it can still be impossible to mitigate illegal invasion due to a left bias observed in lawyers and the court system.

5.5.3 Human Trafficking

In a connected world, the phenomenon of the spontaneous, global movement of people can be observed. There are many reasons for this, besides crime. For example: foundations like George Soros's 'Open Society Foundations' support a world without borders; financial incentives encourage illegal immigration; and there has been observable growth in the lucrative business of becoming a human trafficker (Coyotes in America). The encouragement of human trafficking (by NGOs and other entities) in America (to garner votes for the Democratic Party) has also resulted in an exponential growth of children being smuggled into America (as of 2024), by child smugglers, to fulfil the growing demands of perverse paedophiles who order children through the internet. Once the Coyotes have brought the children to America, their sponsors (often the paedophiles) are contacted with little to no verification, and the children are then united with their fake relatives or 'sponsors'—and promptly disappear.

There are 85,000 children (who were transferred to 'parents' or 'sponsors') missing in America as of 2024. The other unaccompanied children, who arrive with no sponsor details, are transferred into foster care where allegations of sexual assault claims are rife, and thousands of children also go missing from foster care each year in America. Joseph Biden imported 500,000 unaccompanied children that the Democratic Party would have their loyal members believe walked hundreds of miles,

through difficult terrain in South America (including jungles), on their own without being transported by child traffickers. The far-left media are prohibited (forced to 'kill the story') from covering this global problem; instead, they brand 'child trafficking' (usually originating from South America) as a 'conspiracy theory' because the globalist-controlled media follow George Soros's vision of an open border world. The Democratic Party also dismisses this as a conspiracy theory because every illegal immigrant, who is imported, can be supplied with a work permit and signed up to vote, and the now legal voter will always vote for the party that supports chain migration for the rest of their friends and family. The result of this is the repugnant suffering endured by children to ensure the Democratic Party retains power in perpetuity; moreover, it legitimises their other depravity-pushing machinations as it gives the illusion that legitimate voters support causes such as forcing transgenderism on children.

5.5.4 Fentanyl

There were more than 100,000 deaths a year (in America during 2024) from fentanyl overdose alone as a result of the drug being smuggled into America; although not all of this is attributed to illegal immigration as some of the drugs arrive through the 'U.S. Postal Service' from China. However, there is also a vast operation of fentanyl flowing into America from countries like Honduras. Hundreds of Hondurans are involved in the lucrative business of fentanyl smuggling, that allows them to send the proceeds of their criminal enterprise back to Honduras, where family members live in excessive luxury. The criminality of illegals is also observed in the United Kingdom too, with reports of an epidemic of drug-taking in the hotels that illegals are housed in—at a cost of £1.5 billion per year. These hotels provide meals, so illegals would only be paid £9.58 per week (compared to £47.39 a week, based on the 2023 rate, if meals were not included), so how are they affording drugs? The only logical conclusion, assuming there are no altruistic 'drug dealers', is that some illegals are involved in one or more of the following: drug dealing, are illegally employed or are committing acts of pilfering to pay for drugs. Cities in America also house illegals; for example, one in five hotels in New York City are now used for illegals. Society cannot continue on the path where governments fund depravity because that ultimately

results in more voters who demand increased depravity in society, and this in turn leads to a collapse of traditional values, including law and order. A generalised analysis of 'drugs' can be found in the previously covered Drugs Section.

5.5.5 Organised Crime

Organised crime employs illegals (allowing them to pay off their debt to human traffickers), and they launder money through legitimate businesses all over the world, including London and likely all other politically left-controlled cities. This makes it difficult to prosecute organised crime operations as the proceeds (from illegal operations including drugs) are recorded as cash sales in the accounts of businesses including confectionery shops and hair salons (in a post-Covid world, these illegal operations are guaranteed to pay the rent on the shop units they occupy). An example of a different type of illegal business was a secret Chinese bio lab in California that was operated by an illegal alien before it was discovered and closed. Illegals also join existing organised crime; for example, in Texas, where there is a sophisticated network that pilfers oil.

The problem with those that support illegal immigration is that they are poorly educated and suffer from a form of psychosis in their views on illegals invading Western countries. This is the result of a manipulative media that seeks to alter our perception of reality for its own profit and political interests. For example, the violent crimes committed by illegals are precluded from mainstream news because once the perceived elevated morality (of undocumented migrants) is attenuated to below the standards of the base population, and the level of criminality is exposed for crimes including rape and kidnapping, then a population would become less sympathetic, so the charade is maintained through disinformation by omission. Statistically, all around the world, those who enter a country as illegals or asylum seekers will commit a greater number of crimes per capita; however, often the statistics are manipulated to portray the illusion that they contribute greatly to society. These figures are manipulated to exclude all the migrants who do not work or are incarcerated. The result of this is a diminution of standards in society whenever there is an increase in depravity. Countries like Sweden (where there has been a change of

government) are actively attempting to reduce their migrant population. Sweden will be offering migrants up to 350,000 Kroner to voluntarily leave the country.

5.5.6 Using Emotions

The Democratic Party in America psychologically targets white, liberal women by making them feel sympathy towards undocumented illegals in order to garner votes. This psychological targeting, in a globally connected world, means that this homogeneous phenomenon can be observed in Britain too. White women, in particular, will feel sympathy for boats crossing the English Channel that are predominantly filled with men. A man, on the other hand, who has not been weakened to the point of being metrosexual (due to an obsession with liberal media organisations), will logically question: where are the women? Some will be 'economic migrants', but the concern is that these undocumented illegals are traversing multiple lands to continue a life in organised crime, and those that encourage this are supporting organised crime; both in the transportation of illegals and also in the criminal enterprises that illegals engage in when they resettle in a country that they have invaded. All illegals have to pay for their boat journeys (e.g. Africa to Europe or France to Britain) either upfront or they have to work it off (in organised crime) in the country they settle in. There are over 20,000 missing, failed asylum seekers in Britain; they are likely working in organised crime to repay the human traffickers who brought them (usually by boat) to Britain. An affluent 'illegal immigrant', with connections, is able to upgrade their travel to Britain by purchasing a 45-minute speedboat trip to Britain for £10,000; this is likely to appeal to those in organised crime with the funds to pay this ticket price and to those that want to avoid detection; travel by yacht is also available. Human trafficking gangs in America and Europe make millions of pounds/dollars/euros out of transporting illegals. It could be argued that no country would want the type of immigrant whose first act was to pay a smuggler.

For years, the population, in many countries around the world, has been indoctrinated with propaganda that insists the increase in migration (including illegal) provides a workforce that is willing to perform the low-paid jobs; however,

the truth is obfuscated. Low-paid jobs often allow welfare claims; moreover, the tax collected from low-paid jobs is often insufficient to fund the services utilised by the migrant. For example, healthcare is free in the United Kingdom, but all other services provided to the migrant/illegal also place a strain on government finances when the population increases exponentially; this is often disingenuously omitted by certain politicians and the media in order to justify an uncontrollable phenomenon whereby even acknowledging the existence of the issue could result in a branding of 'racism'. Globalism-eccentric companies around the world benefit from migrants, as do the media and Hollywood, but should Western countries be exploiting other countries for low-cost labour? This slave-like dependency, which migrants find themselves in, also results in a byproduct of overpopulation and an exponential increase in crime throughout the city areas.

Net migration has increased by millions over the past few years in Britain and in other countries around the world too; this is unsustainable due to housing, infrastructure and the pressure on public services. The UK government had proposed (in 2023) to save money by spending £169,000 (per illegal) to detain and transport each asylum seeker to Rwanda, where the government of Rwanda would receive £105,000 of the £169,000 total cost. If illegals are so useful and profitable, then why was the UK government willing to pay another country to import them? Why have none of the European countries expressed a desire to receive Britain's illegals for free or even applied to receive asylum seekers at the market price of £105,000 each? Because net illegal migration is a burden on the taxpayer, and asylum seekers are far more likely to commit criminal offences. In Britain, 100% of the asylum claims are fabricated because they all travel from France by boat. France does not persecute asylum seekers, but illegals prefer the welfare state of Britain, and organised crime is increasingly fabricating identities to exploit the welfare system—leading to thousands of fake welfare claimants in countries like the United Kingdom.

The danger (in all Western countries) is from the misguided ideology that is being proliferated by those with insufficient knowledge of the world. It is the fit and healthy (often criminals) that are fleeing poorer countries, so the real poor are always left behind as the opportunist migrant refuses to assist their own country. For every one

million people who enter America or other Western countries, then tens of millions more people are born each year in countries where there is perceived poverty; poverty being a relative term as some children are happy playing with a stick and an old bicycle tyre, and others are convinced that they need an Xbox or similar technology to experience globalism.

The crimes of undocumented migrants are suppressed by the media, likely due to the perception that highlighting the issue is 'racism', so sympathy is often directed to those who have travelled multiple lands. In reality, some undocumented illegals, who enter organised crime, create many victims (including drug overdoses), so the sympathy and charity should be directed at the victims—not the perpetrators. One should now question whether supporting illegal immigration is morally justified.

5.5.7 Legal Illegals

In America, there are voter registration organisations and individuals that attempt to register illegals as voters. An illegal (undocumented immigrant), despite being in a victim group, is of no use to the Democratic Party (unless they are registered to vote) because they are treated differently under the rule of law, meaning that they have certain restrictions placed on their rights. To understand the Democratic Party and unipartisan members (Republicans that side with the Democratic Party on extremist issues), then it is important to apply this question to everything they do: how does their action increase their personal power or wealth? The answer to that question is by turning illegals into citizens; then they will vote for those that support illegal immigration, so the motive for illegal immigration is primarily power! However, it is still possible that an 'illegal' may vote for the wrong side of politics, so illegal votes need to be harvested correctly (by NGOs) to ensure that elections can be manipulated. This is achieved by prioritising the registration of illegals to addresses such as warehouses, senior-citizen homes, churches, stores, commercial properties and even the vote-processing centres. This then enables thousands of ballots to be delivered to one location, and those ballots are then held back and only filled in (by the NGO) if the left-leaning candidate is forecast to lose on election day. This was common practice during the 2020 election cycle. Furthermore, migrants are actively

being handed voter-registration forms, without eligibility checks, in government buildings such as welfare offices.

The far-left is demanding that illegals be allowed driving licenses and work permits; some in Congress are even demanding that they join the military, so effectively creating an army of illegals (with far-left ideology) who report to the already infested far-left upper level of the military (during the Biden administration) that is involved in global censorship. The military, in the majority of countries, is supposed to be controlled by those that are democratically elected. The danger is developing a military of illegal foreigners who could be used to control the population, or at least a military that would refuse orders from a president that was not ideologically aligned. This might explain why so many illegals are now being captured infiltrating military bases. The effect of 'undocumented illegal' instantly becomes a 'documented illegal', which would appear to be an oxymoron, so effectively they become a citizen that is eligible to vote; illegals are eligible to vote in many local elections, and there is often printed information available informing them of the procedure, but it is the loophole of allowing federal-election voting that represents the greatest threat to democracy in America.

In many ways, the illegal population is treated better than the legal population. Financial organisations, in America in particular, are mandated to offer a route to obtaining ID; they are obliged by the 'Consumer Financial Protection Bureau' (CFPB) and the 'Department of Justice' not to discriminate against illegals (who break into the country) when considering loan applications. This then serves as a powerful advertisement that helps facilitate illegal immigration (for the Democratic Party) from 160 countries around the world during 2024. Undocumented illegals that become eligible to vote are immediately indoctrinated to execrate those on the right side of politics who oppose illegal immigration. This then alters the politics and culture, over time, in the countries that they invade. Political parties, like the Democratic Party, increase their votes due to illegal immigration, so they will always support it. This can be thought of as a type of election fraud. To make this point, in an articulated way, it should be considered that if 70 million (adult) illegals were imported from an African dictatorship into America, the effect of this would be 70

million people voting for a dictatorship candidate because this is the only method of government they are familiar with.

Illegals that become legal then benefit large corporations (run by predominantly liberal globalists) by helping to reduce employment costs as a surplus of workers leads to lower wages (supply and demand). Illegals also help to increase consumers, so this is why so many globalist-controlled corporations support illegal migration because 'their' profits increase, and this is often the idyllic argument used to import illegals. However, when the cost of welfare, cultural changes and crime (illegals statistically commit more crimes) are factored in, then a country must remain a country and not an open border park of depravity; moreover, large corporations (particularly in America) now have ideologically aligned (DEI as aforementioned in an early part of the report) employees, who will vote for globalist politicians, being paid the minimum wage, thus creating greater profits that can be donated to elect globalist politicians. Therefore, incentivising illegal immigration will lead to a change, over time, in government and culture.

5.5.8 Illegal App and Illegal Flights

The Biden administration utilised an initiative known as the 'Cubans, Haitians, Nicaraguans and Venezuelans' (CHNV) that processes citizens of those countries who have a U.S. sponsor and pass a background check; this then allows those citizens to live and work in the U.S. legally for two years, under 'humanitarian parole', and likely the right to vote; however, in Biden's America even this has opened the doors to vast amounts of illegals because the sponsors, filled in on the forms, are fictitious—they have either fake addresses, fake social security numbers, or the entire person is a fabrication in many cases. Furthermore, the Biden administration progressed to extremism by producing an 'app' for 'Customs and Border Protection' called 'CBP One', which was available to download worldwide from Google and Apple; the 'app' has been used by over a million people to enter the United States. This 'app' allowed illegals to book their entrance into the United States before they left their country of origin. The large numbers of illegals (with a proclivity for voting for left-leaning politicians) were being imported by the Biden administration, and the flights were

landing primarily in Florida and Texas—two Republican states that were battling Joseph Biden's illegal immigration plan. Florida and Texas are also two states that the Democratic Party wishes to swing to Democrat control.

Illegal immigration was not the only problem with the 'app,' though, as many illegals who entered through the southern border chose their final destination, and this placed a strain on resources and services in certain cities. For example, New York Mayor Eric Adams said “This issue will destroy New York City”. New York (in 2024) received 10,000 illegal asylum seekers every month. Secret flights (organised by the Biden administration) that operate during the night and NGOs helped to distribute illegals around America with the likely aim of supporting the re-election of a Democratic president. Even if illegals chose to settle in Democratic stronghold states, they could still be useful because a bigger population (they are included in census data) results in more House of Representatives seats through apportionment, so this is why the Democratic Party has to support all criminal behaviour. In a city where crime is rampant, due to Soros-backed DAs, then fake ID is allegedly not difficult to procure. In some states (like Massachusetts), the effect of illegals, being transported by the Biden administration, was so significant that residents were being asked to welcome illegals into their homes. Illegals are also treated better with free healthcare and benefits. The Biden app-for-illegals had enabled undocumented illegals to enter America not just by the southern border but also by plane and boat. Around half a million illegals booked illegal entry into America (by plane) to take advantage of an open border in America. The Biden administration would have been aware that illegals always vote for the party that supports illegal immigration. Especially, as the cause of their hardship (according to the workplace, media and Hollywood) is attributed to 'White people', so legalised-illegals will vote for the party that advocates for the destruction of morality—and in America, this is the Democratic Party.

5.5.9 Conquering Plan

In the translated version of Sun Tzu's book 'The Art of War', it describes 'breaking the enemy's resistance without fighting'. Therefore, three possibilities are propounded to explain the unsecured border (between 2020 - 2024): Is Joseph

Biden incapacitated to the point that he has become the enemy of America by not possessing the mental acuity to secure the border? Is Joseph Biden attempting to break the Republican population, which he sees as the enemy, in order to increase his vote share? Or are the illegals attempting to break the resistance of Americans, their enemy, so that enough will enter the country in order to conquer America? This thought process can also be applied to other parts of the world too. Whatever Joseph Biden's motive, it does appear that the idea is to distract the population by conveying to them that they are 'racists' unless they capitulate their sovereignty, and whilst they are preoccupied with that notion, then the illegal immigration continues.

If someone is branded 'racist' (or far-right) often enough (because they reject far-left ideology), and the media are complicit, then the distorted reality will be that the targeted person is a racist—their name can never be restored—they have been silenced!

This spontaneous large-movement of people is prevalent throughout the Western world, including in Europe and the United Kingdom. To help resolve the issue in Ireland, there are plans to make it a hate crime (with a prison sentence) to criticise the problem. Far-left radicals, who have no respect for country or sovereignty, often make resolving these issues unattainable as the media afford airtime to a 'cause' that they know will ignite tension. The Democratic Party is allowing illegals to 'Divide and Conquer' (also known as 'Divide and rule') the country because it affords them power, through extra voters, in the short term, so this is why dissent is silenced.

The news media brand 'illegals' as migrant-heroes who are fleeing persecution (from supposedly over 100 countries), and this notion helps convert the votes of the current population because of the sympathy that they feel for the fabricated plight of the undocumented. Anyone supporting the idea of a country is branded with terms like a 'Christian Nationalist' in order to ensure that the population is aware that faith and traditional values have now been replaced with big government and organised border crossings to replenish voters. Currently, Joseph Biden imported over 10 million illegals into the country, and when/if they all become eligible to vote, then this will permanently alter the voting demographics and ensure Democratic Party rule in

perpetuity. There are many ways that illegals can become eligible to remain in a country and vote, including the following: by having children or declaring themselves victims of usually fabricated crime (often committed by other illegals) that enables them to apply for Victim Visas. This is imported criminality and depravity based on their political ideology (although Hispanic and Latino are increasingly voting Republican) with virtually none being true asylum seekers, and this confirms that Joseph Biden has not upheld his oath of office to protect America. Illegals often marched up from South America chanting 'Biden, Biden, Biden' in recognition of the man that supports unchecked entry into the United States; some illegals even arrive wearing Biden T-shirts, and NGOs have been known to distribute pamphlets in South America (to migrants) encouraging them to vote for the Democratic Party in 2024. Illegals are able to vote in elections providing they declare afterwards (if questioned by law enforcement) that at the time of voting they believed themselves to be citizens. Many may celebrate this expansion of Democrat voters, but parties change direction, so would one-party rule be desirable forever, e.g. like a communist country? A political party that cannot win without importing voters does not represent the people; they represent the invaders (people who enter a country illegally and alter it to resemble their country of origin).

Reference was made earlier in the report to DEI (Diversity, Equity and Inclusion) that has infected almost every workplace and school with racial discrimination practices against White people, so the Biden administration is involved in propaganda through their controlled media. This makes it discriminatory to prevent illegal 'diversity' from invading, illegals being imported for 'equity' or illegals being imported for 'inclusion'. Everything in the 'Reality Circle' is interconnected. Remember, a country can be conquered by democracy (electing invaders to positions of power) without needing to wage war. Are there any open-border progressives in Congress already in America?

The foolproof plan for conquering a country is: encourage abortions, encourage transgenderism at schools to target the current children and allow illegal immigration to replace the future population. This method targets the country-born nuclear family to ensure that there is no longer an average of 2.1 children (e.g. United

Kingdom and USA) needed to replenish and sustain the population, so in the future, the population is replaced (conquered) by asylum seekers and illegals. Under the Joseph Biden administration, an illegal was paid with the following incentive: mobile phones, a plane ticket to a different city (often of their choice) and a top-up Visa card with sometimes thousands of dollars added on it; this all results in a loyal Democrat voter for life. All of this was facilitated by NGOs and IGOs (with offices in South America) like the 'International Organization for Migration' (IOM), which is part of the UN (United Nations). However, even the UN does not necessarily know how these IGOs (intergovernmental organisations) are operating. For example, the UN is investigating the 'UN Relief and Works Agency' for Palestine Refugees (UNRWA) to determine whether staff were involved in a terrorist attack against Israel, so 'neutrality', apart from being subjective, is not necessarily even present in unelected IGOs that are making decisions affecting the world.

NGOs and IGOs encourage local citizens to undertake the dangerous journey to the United States of America (through jungles and past the Mexican Cartel) by providing them with route maps to the United States of America (the promised land where money is perceived to be free). Many migrants die making this journey that benefits NGOs, IGOs and the Democratic Party by changing the voter demographics in the United States of America. President José Raúl Mulino (Panama) stated that migrants travelling through the Darien Gap, from Colombia, were leaving a trail of 'Decomposing Corpses'; however, death is an acceptable consequence (to these far-left entities) when the plan is to increase left-leaning voters in America and to change the power structure. Other NGOs like the 'International Relief Committee' (IRC), funded by the Biden government and other sources, then conducted an operation in Arizona whereby they would transport the illegals (hourly) to the airport (Phoenix Sky Harbor International), so that they could be distributed around the country. Different NGOs then assumed the responsibility of ensuring those 'illegals' could vote in future elections. All immigration has an effect on the voting demographics of a country. For example, San Francisco has appointed a non-citizen to serve on the city's election commission; naturally, her goal is to champion voting rights for immigrants, which likely means illegals.

Disclaimer: The influence undocumented illegals have on the voting demographics is a stated fact and not an attack on anyone that seeks to enter a country legally to work.

5.5.10 War on Terror

It can be hypothesised that undocumented illegals have led to terror cells being formed. The only reason why no attack has been forthcoming is likely because once an attack is initiated, all sides of politics will unite to reduce illegal immigration, and the news media will happily rewrite reality by informing their viewers that the left-side of politics has always demanded secure borders and a reduction in border crossings. Interestingly, the October 2023 ' Hamas terror attacks ' on Israel (in the Middle East) have led many politicians around the world to demand the deportation of extremists. The other two suggested solutions, to mitigate illegals, would be to firstly prevent extremists from entering the country through increased border security (physical or patrols) or water patrols depending on the country; and secondly, to ensure that the security agencies focus on detecting these threats whilst resisting political pressure to engage in election interference and equity-based hiring.

The open border policy of the Biden administration had become so detrimental that the Texas Attorney General filed a lawsuit against the Biden Department of Homeland Security (DHS), DHS Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas and other federal agencies to prevent the DHS and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) from destroying any further parts of the Texas border. The U.S. Supreme Court ruled (5–4) in January 2024 that razor-wire fencing could be removed (by border agents), thus allowing illegals to enter the country; this was because migrants were being injured. Supreme Court (5–4) rulings are how most laws are clarified or upheld in the United States. Consider what would happen if five out of nine judges were so far left (on the political scale) that the U.S. Constitution was denounced. However, Secretary Mayorkas was impeached for his handling of the border crisis, and this is unsurprising as he gave 'climate change' as one of the reasons for the United States being invaded by illegals. The Biden administration even contacted the Mexican

government regarding an amnesty for all of the illegals that were now in the United States.

Undocumented illegals (representing Hamas, Hezbollah and Al-Shabaab) have been known to enter the United States through the southern border. Countries like the United Kingdom have also reportedly allowed Iran-backed terrorists to enter the country disguised as migrants. The uneducated 'do-gooder' happily supports unchecked entry for their own egotistical self-gratification and then dismisses the consequences as something the government advocated. The security of a country is something everyone must support, given how powerful dissenting voices (on the internet) become when amplified by an agenda-driven media. Secure borders and water patrols will ensure that nobody is a victim of a terrorist attack again, e.g. 9/11 in America, 7/7 in London, or the November 2015 attacks in Paris. The 2017 'Manchester Arena' bombing was funded by a 'benefit culture' that attracts worldwide chain migration. The family of the bomber (Salman Abedi) used some of the £2,000 he claimed in welfare (every month) to fund the plan.

5.5.11 Open Borders

All previous presidents (prior to Joseph Biden) made some attempt to secure the southern border, but the media often rewrite reality to make their viewers believe that securing the border has always been 'racist'. Yet President Barack Obama deported illegals and has even been heckled during a speech for preventing chain migration. The Biden administration identified that if they open the border (cut the barbed wire and make holes in the wall), then almost every illegal (who are all encouraged to apply for work permits) is going to vote for the Democratic Party. This is because once an illegal has brought in their entire family and been provided with ID by the Democratic Party, then they want to protect that family. This is achieved by voting for Republicans (in America and right-wing parties in Europe) because they do not want other people entering the country illegally and lowering their standard of living, so this results in the Democratic Party (or left-leaning parties) needing to import a continuous stream of new voters.

There are other, unreported facts about illegals (particularly those who enter America through the southern border) that the media would also rather their viewers did not know; for example, illegals abandoning their dogs. Therefore, are those people really likely to be morally suitable for America? No! There have also been caravans of people that have entered the United States (holding their own country's flag) after marching up from South America.

5.5.12 The Western Demise

There had also been an exponential increase in Chinese men entering (as tension between America and China remains high), and it is suspected that the CCP (Chinese Communist Party) was fully aware and likely encouraging this. Particularly, as the CCP allegedly mailed fake IDs to those migrants so that they could vote for Joseph Biden. Some members of the United States Congress even advocate for making migrants police officers and serving military personnel. Minneapolis Police are now allowing undocumented people (from Somalia) to join the police department; this would allow them to issue fines and arrest documented citizens. The military has suffered falling numbers since some military personnel refused to have the vaccine for the China-released Covid-19 virus. All of these migrants possessed the knowledge that the United States would open the gate to their army-style onslaught whilst Joseph Biden was president.

To provide context, it is necessary to highlight the acts of the Biden family when Joseph Biden was Vice President. Representatives from the New York Times, Washington Post, Facebook, Twitter (and others) joined a working group at the 'Aspen Institute' (an NGO mountain retreat for influential liberals) in September 2020, where they participated in a role-play exercise on how reporters should cover a hypothetical leaked story from Burisma relating to Hunter Biden. A month later, before the 2020 presidential election, the New York Post broke the true story relating to Hunter Biden's laptop. The apparent brainwashing had been successful, and social media and the legacy media censored the story to protect Joseph Biden—'The Big Guy' (as he was allegedly known with Hunter Biden's overseas business associates) from losing the election.

The 'Hunter Biden' laptop eventually led to revelations that the Biden family had allegedly received \$31 million from various Chinese partners (for influence peddling), including a diamond worth \$80 thousand and \$5 million from Chinese tycoon 'Ye Jianming'. The 'Shanghai Zhenrong Petroleum Company' was formed with Ye Jianming and Chang An-lo (known as 'White Wolf'). Chang An-lo was the head of the 'United Bamboo Gang' (the largest criminal Triad in Taiwan consisting mainly of PRC Chinese members) that helped distribute Fentanyl to the Mexican Sinaloa Cartel (the world's largest illegal fentanyl distributor). The UBG allegedly operates illegal narcotics and gun trade in several United States cities too. Chang An-lo (who was previously arrested and imprisoned for 10 years in 1985 for drug trafficking and kidnapping) also had ties to the CCP. During Biden's administration the Chinese flooded the southern border, and Fentanyl was killing over 100,000 people each year in the United States, yet Joseph Biden remained silent. Allegedly, Joseph Biden ('The Big Guy') used other members of his family too. Americore Health LLC, which was facing bankruptcy, paid Jim Biden (Joseph Biden's brother) \$600,000 in 2018 to use the Biden influence to attract investment from Qatar. One of the payments (\$200,000) was received by Jim Biden and immediately paid to Joseph Biden; Jim Biden purports that this was only a loan payment.

Allegedly, Venezuela and other countries released their prison population and directed them towards the United States. The Venezuelan gang 'Tren de Aragua' has infiltrated the United States during the Biden-Harris administration, and MS-13 members from Mexico (the gang is now international after originating from California) infiltrated the border during the Obama-Biden administration. Both of these gangs are supportive of a political party that is soft on crime. This then leads to Republican presidents being forced to enact mass deportations of gang members who have supported the Democratic Party's policy of an open border. Venezuela, in particular, has stated that they would not accept any illegals back that are criminals, and this is why their crime rate had significantly fallen. For example, the Biden-Harris administration imported over 13,000 convicted murderers and a total of over 400,000 convicted criminals. Legitimate people will always apply to enter the United States rather than seeking to enter through gaps in the fence that are purposely left

open under the Biden administration. In the majority of cases, biometric data was not recorded (unlike American citizens), and it is doubtful that a cavity search was conducted on migrants to prevent drug smuggling. As these migrants reproduce (in America and other countries), it will forever change the demographics; this idea has also rippled around the world. Is this being orchestrated? Yes—by NGOs, IGOs, globalists and likely certain governments.

Democracy can even fall by migrants electing representatives who only support their race-of-people, as is observed with one of the Congress 'Squad Members' who considers the Somali President to be her president. Can the United States Congress and other parliaments around the world survive in the future if those representatives have an allegiance only to the countries that they migrate from?

5.5.13 Western Demise Summary

The estimated over 10 million illegals imported by Joseph Biden into America during his presidency have likely resulted in an unstoppable demise (without mass deportation) of the United States. These 'illegals', when combined with the existing population of illegals, understand that having children in America is essential for allowing them to retain citizenship. The illegal population can often register to vote by a simple declaration, and they are also very unlikely to ever be deported. Following the re-election of Donald Trump (in 2024) and his pledge to conduct mass deportations, many liberal governors and mayors vowed to protect the illegal population, who likely assist them in retaining power. Three weeks after re-election (and two months before he becomes president), there were positive indications that even the socialist president in Mexico would assist in limiting illegal migrant routes to the United States. In addition, co-operation was also agreed upon in relation to the war on drugs. The Biden-Harris administration, with closer ties to socialism, could have easily achieved this too; however, human beings were deliberately exploited by a party that will seek power, regardless of cost, so there never was any motivation to stem the flow of illegal migration during their term.

Therefore, as these illegal aliens multiply (through childbirth), it is propounded that within 30–100 years, the United States will no longer exist (at the very least, it will no longer be known as the 'United States of America') even without further illegal entry into the United States unless there are mass deportations. The illegal population often considers their president to be in their country of origin; they also have committed a crime by entering the country illegally, so an increase in depravity and economic downfall is almost inevitable when considering that birth rates are falling for legal Americans. Furthermore, the increase in government debt that is needed to sustain illegals and foreign wars (by America) in the future, the knock-on effect on the dollar [exchange rates] and the likely proliferation of global interest-rate rises, due to an increase in volatility in the government-bonds market (the yield increases as prices fall), is also detrimental to sustaining capitalism markets; this at a time when an increasing number of the younger population are embracing the prospect of communism through modern-liberalism ideology. Therefore, this will be the lasting legacy of Joseph Biden and Kamala Harris—the administration that was prepared to do anything to retain power and circumvent democracy.


5.5.14 Final Illegal Thoughts

In a dictatorship, like China and North Korea, the ability to alter the values of a country does not exist. In a democracy, citizens are free to vote for representatives who want to protect their culture and values, but illegal migration alters the demographics of a country and results in a country that worships depravity over morality. This is a complete deviation from respected Democrat presidents like JFK. If a person loves something (like a country), then they will logically want to protect it. Therefore, it is concluded that those who import voters, with no regard to their proclivity towards criminality, have a disdain for their country; someone cannot love something that they wish to destroy.

6. Election Fraud

Election interference and election fraud have an enormous effect on our perception of reality. If the candidate elected does not reflect the 'will of the people', then this can lead to an increase in depravity as it normalises ideology that is not actually widespread, as an illegal candidate has assumed power.

6.1 The 2020 Presidential Election



6.1.1 Introduction

Election interference was committed when the intelligence services (including former operatives), social media and news media deliberately covered up the details

of Hunter Biden's laptop that referenced 'The Big Guy' (allegedly Joseph Biden). A free and fair election is not possible if the information, which voters need to know, is censored. Further election fraud was committed when postal ballots were despatched to everyone on stale voter rolls in certain swing states. In the 2020 election, 43 percent of voters used mail ballots to cast their vote. According to a survey by 'The Heartland Institute' and the Rasmussen Reports, in total around a fifth of people had committed one or more of the following illegal voting methods: voted in another state (17%), filled out a ballot for a friend or relative (21%), signed a ballot for a friend or relative without their permission (17%) and 8% were illegally offered some form of compensation to vote.

Other examples of election interference included smurfing, which is the act of identity theft for the purpose of funnelling large funds into a political party (the Democratic Party) without having to declare the donation source; political candidates can receive donations up to \$200 without having to declare the identity of the person donating to the campaign. A Democrat-funded NGO (ActBlue) is being investigated, at the time of this report, for this offence.

6.1.2 'January 6th' (2021)

Trump supporters were castigated for much of Antifa's co-ordinated criminality, and the preponderance of evidence suggests over 200 FBI (informants, assets and agents) in the crowd on 6th January 2021. This followed Donald Trump's request for 10,000 National Guard troops before January 6th, which was declined by House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, and the military disobeyed their commander-in-chief on the day as allegedly they disliked the 'optics'.

The majority of 'Trump supporters', who did enter the Capitol Building, were observed taking photographs like they were visiting a museum. President Trump's supporters are often branded as being responsible for the deaths on that day, but below are all of the true causes:

1. Officer Brian Sicknick, 42, died of natural causes—a stroke.

2. Benjamin Phillips, 50, a Trump supporter, died of a stroke.
3. Kevin Greeson, 55, a Trump supporter, died of a heart attack.
4. Ashli Babbitt, 35, a Trump supporter (unarmed) and shot by Capitol Police.
5. Rosanne Boyland, 34, a Trump supporter, was squashed and died from being unable to breathe; she may have also been beaten by police as she was dying.

6.1.3 Nancy's Selected Committee

The January 6th committee held televised congressional hearings in order to interfere with the midterm elections in 2022 and to prevent President Trump from ever returning to the White House, and their malevolent plan almost succeeded. Nancy Pelosi handpicked the members, ensuring that the only Republican representation was from members who disliked Donald Trump. The lead Republican later campaigned for Kamala Harris in 2024. The committee cherry-picked evidence and witnesses, and they were instructed not to investigate Nancy Pelosi's actions on that day. The assessment here is that this was a malevolent plan (by the Democratic Party) to leave the United States Capitol unprotected on January 6th for political reasons. Furthermore, the majority of people who were fighting with the police have not been identified, yet the demonstrators who walked through the Capitol building have been imprisoned in appalling jail conditions.

Google-owned YouTube deleted some of the videos that highlight what really happened on January 6th, and the 'January 6th Committee' (picked by Nancy Pelosi) has destroyed most of its committee's evidence (in violation of House rules) to ensure Donald Trump could not subpoena exculpatory evidence that was not revealed in the dramatised TV series. For example, President Trump had requested (before 'January 6th') that 10,000 National Guardsmen be present in Washington on January 6th, but the 'January 6th Select Committee' selectively decided not to share this with the American people; the 'Select Committee' could not allow this to be known because this would have meant that there was an “insurrection” orchestrated by a man who had requested a 10,000-strong army to stop him; the whole narrative would have unraveled. The deleted evidence also included evidence from actual witnesses to

Donald Trump's true actions, and this contradicts the 'hearsay witnesses' used for the TV 'committee' show.

Selective committees (with a Democratic majority) are not new in Washington; the same process was used to destroy President Richard Nixon in 1974. Contrary to the narrative, released during a time in America when all media was exclusively controlled by liberals, Nixon was unaware of the Watergate scandal and he certainly had not authorised it; however, because of the Democratic Party, he eventually resigned, thus ending the presidency of a man who had won in a landslide against the Democratic Party.

6.1.4 Voting Machines

University of Michigan computer science professor Alex Halderman's report: *Security Analysis of Georgia's ImageCast X Ballot Marking Devices (1st June 2021)* highlighted vulnerabilities in Georgia's voting machines. The report, which was sealed by an Obama judge for two years, detailed that voting machines could be hacked; moreover, in January 2024, Halderman demonstrated in a court in Georgia (in front of a district judge) that a 'Dominion Voting Machine' could be hacked with a pen, and the vote totals changed. As it is now known that vulnerabilities with the voting machines are widespread and videos of real-time vote switching are widespread online, this report concludes that voting machines should not be utilised in any state or federal election, as this problem is not local to Georgia. Other states utilise these types of machines and software too. At the very least, voting machines should be permanently disconnected from the internet and authorised updates only installed in person. Even if the tabulating machines were not programmed or manually abused to alter an election, why are they continually used when they break down?

Voting machines not only have the ability to connect to the internet, they also have the ability to connect to the internet via a wireless cellular network, namely FirstNet. FirstNet was developed by AT&T to provide a network for police and other emergency services. Later, this was expanded to include other critical networks like

energy and water, but Americans might be surprised to learn that election tabulating machines can also be connected on this network and, more importantly, that data could be intercepted and changed in real time. As to whether this was actually used (by maliciously aligned individuals) falls outside the scope of this report. However, this still raises the question as to why any voting machine should ever have internet access as this is not a 'secure election' practice.

Voting machines (and possibly the CIA) likely resulted in election fraud in Brazil that saw the return to power of 'Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva' (a man previously convicted of corruption and money laundering) in 2022. No audits were allowed or conducted on the election results in Brazil; this also happened in Venezuela (in 2024) when the socialist government was returned to power, as a likely result of election fraud, so voting machines are concluded to be a global threat to democracy when operated by those who are determined to alter elections. Social media platform 'X' was banned from Brazil in August 2024 for allowing free speech and the results of the 2022 election to be questioned on their platform. In Argentina (2023), all of the election meddling there could not prevent conservative 'Javier Milei' from being elected president because the country did not use electronic-voting machines.

6.1.5 Covid

Covid was likely in the top three things that influenced this election; perhaps it was even the most important. The timing of Covid was also highly suspicious. President Donald Trump was hugely popular, the economy was booming, and for the first time, China was being held accountable for the disparity in trade; they were paying tariffs. Therefore, without question, China would have preferred someone that had been in Washington for over 40 years, i.e. Joseph Robinette Biden to win the election in 2020. Particularly, with his previously alleged financial dealings with China when he was Vice President, which may have suggested that he would be easier to control by the CCP.

The release of Covid created an opportunity, at a state level, particularly in the swing states. Suddenly, here was an opportunity to defy the U.S. Constitution and send out

mail-in postal ballots to everyone. Ballots for the 2020 election were, in many cases, posted out to anyone who had ever been on a voting roll. No checks were made to determine whether the voting rolls contained people who had died, moved or were ineligible to vote. NGOs assisted in harvesting those ballots, and many dead people voted in the 2020 election either due to stale voter rolls or unscrupulous NGO employees who would register fictitious and dead voters as they were paid per ballot registration. This election fraud, which has failed to be investigated, is expected to result in a number of prosecutions during Donald Trump's second term. It was ruled in court (after the election) that allowing postal votes (without signature verification) was 'unconstitutional', which in a partisan court era is as close to the word 'illegal' as is possible in an election-fraud trial. There were largely no signature verification checks completed on those ballots, and it afforded many people the opportunity to vote twice if they had moved out of state, so there is no question that Covid contributed to swinging this election to Joseph Biden long before the irregularities witnessed on election day.

6.2 The 2024 Presidential Election

6.2.1 Introduction

The report previously covered that fabricated indictments were used against Donald Trump for the purposes of election interference, but this was not the sole method of election interference.

6.2.2 The Media

The media again attempted to interfere with the 2024 election by rigging the presidential debates. They made up fact-checking for Donald Trump, which later proved to be false, and did not fact-check Kamala Harris's posturing at all during the debate. Disney's ABC News went a step further when one of their hosts stated that Donald Trump had been found guilty of 'liable for rape'. ABC News settled this fabrication (after the election) by agreeing to pay \$15 million to Donald Trump's future presidential library; however, the anchor at the network was also promoted.

6.2.3 Continuously Counting

Illegal voters were still prevalent in the 2024 election, due to stale voter rolls, and certain counties (in states like Pennsylvania) attempted to extend the election by counting undated postal ballots two weeks after the election. California, miraculously, managed to turn around congressional house seats over three weeks after the election by continuously counting. This has left the Republican Party with a razor-thin majority in the House of Representatives. However, Donald Trump's election results were a landslide due to ensuring that this election was 'Too Big to Rig!' The Democratic Party's decision to replace Joseph Biden, who had won 14 million votes in a primary election, with Kamala Harris may have also contributed to the downfall of the Democratic Party in the 2024 election cycle. As the result was so decisive for President Trump (312 electoral votes to Harris's 226, a popular vote win of over 2 million votes and 85% of counties in America voted for Donald Trump), and he won all seven battleground states, then how many extra votes could Donald Trump have received without illegal votes and a fair media?

6.3 The 2016 Presidential Election

Election interference was even committed in 2016 in order to prevent Donald Trump from being elected the first time.

6.3.1 Christopher Steele Dossier

It is common knowledge that after the 2016 election, the 'Democratic National Committee' (DNC) was fined \$105,000, and Hillary Clinton's campaign was fined \$8,000 for not declaring to the Federal Election Committee (FEC) their payment for the Christopher Steele dossier.

Around \$1 million flowed from the Hillary Clinton campaign to the DNC; from there, the money went to a lawyer at the law firm 'Perkins Coie'; Perkins Coie then hired the research company 'Fusion GPS'; and Fusion GPS paid retired British agent 'Christopher Steele' to produce a dossier on Donald Trump. Christopher Steele (now

director of Orbis Business Intelligence Ltd.) obtained some of his now debunked information from Igor Danchenko (Russian analyst). Igor Danchenko in turn obtained his information from Charles H. Dolan Jr. (the public relations executive with connections in Russia and former Clinton aide to both Bill and Hillary). It is likely that Igor Danchenko was unaware that he was allegedly receiving false information from Charles Dolan or that Christopher Steele was compiling a dossier. Nothing in the produced document was verified, so this was election interference (during the 2016 election) that both Barack Obama and Joseph Biden were aware of.

6.3.2 Russian Collusion Hoax

The malevolent plan to 'Get Trump' began in 2016. The CIA, under Barack Obama's presidency, asked the foreign intelligence alliance 'Five Eyes' (United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand, Canada, along with the United States) to spy on twenty-six of Donald Trump's associates. This involved arranging for assets, allegedly assigned by the CIA during the end of Barack Obama's term, to 'bump' into those associates so that this could be reported as 'suspicious'; the most infamous example of this was 'George Papadopoulos'. The idea was for George Papadopoulos to receive information about the Russian hacking of Hillary Clinton's emails, and for him to repeat that in order to suggest that he was in contact with Russia. This then formed the early pretext for the 'Russian Collusion Hoax'.

Donald Trump, when he became president, realised his campaign had been illegally spied on, so he fired FBI director, James Comey, who then leaked memos that resulted in the 'Mueller Investigation'. This was the same James Comey who was investigating fellow Democrat Hillary Clinton for running a personal email server (during her time as Secretary of State) that was used for emailing thousands of official documents that were either 'confidential' or 'top secret'; allegedly, Germany, China and South Korea intruded on the server. At least 30,000 older emails were deleted (many beyond the ability of being recovered by the FBI) by Hillary Clinton's aids even though the House Select Committee on Benghazi (relating to the 2012 Terrorist Attack in Benghazi when Hillary Clinton was Secretary of State) issued a subpoena for Benghazi-related emails. James Comey held the party line, though, and

issued this statement 'Although there is evidence of potential violations of the statutes regarding the handling of classified information, our judgment is that no reasonable prosecutor would bring such a case'. James Comey was correct; in Washington, which is at least 90% liberal, there was no possibility of a liberal prosecutor bringing a case or of a liberal jury convicting Hillary Clinton for mishandling classified documents.

On 23rd December 2016, President Barack Obama signed the 'Countering Foreign Propaganda and Disinformation Act' into law, which allowed prominence to be given to the fabricated idea that Russia helped elect President Donald Trump when, in fact, the Russian leadership, e.g. President Putin, preferred Hillary Clinton—something the CIA allegedly knew. There have been allegations that the FBI (top level) deliberately withheld (from FBI agents investigating) the fact that the Hillary Clinton campaign was behind the Christopher Steele dossier, which was later branded the 'Russian collusion hoax'. The hoax began to unravel with the infamous text message being released from Peter Strzok (Deputy Assistant Director of Counterintelligence Division at the FBI) to the lady he was having an affair with (Lisa Page), where he confirms "No. No, he's not. We'll stop it", after she sent a text to him asking "Trump not going to become president, right? Right?!". This suggested that if the FBI could have stopped Donald Trump (in 2016) from becoming president, then they would have, but not because of Russia, as they already had the evidence that this was fabricated by his opponent, 'Hillary Clinton'; a later text by Peter Strzok also mentioned an 'Insurance Policy', which was presumably a plan in case Donald Trump did become president. It should never be forgotten that this was orchestrated around the time that Hillary Clinton was allegedly deleting the 33,000 classified emails from her illegally used server and smashing her mobile phones to hinder any FBI investigation into her. This does draw a parallel with the FBI being unwilling to investigate the Hunter Biden laptop, which they had in their possession (case ID: 272D-BA-3065729) before the 2020 election.

It was not until 2023, with the release of the Durham Report, that it was finally publicly concluded that there were no bases for the FBI to start any investigation into President Trump based on a Russian collusion narrative that was concocted by the

Hillary Clinton campaign. The FBI deceived the FISA Court into allowing a warrant to spy on Donald Trump's campaign even though it lacked 'probable cause' during the 2016 election; furthermore, the Russian collusion hoax was still being perpetrated by the Democratic Party during the 2020 election cycle. Donald Trump was still being branded as a Russian asset (by the liberal media) because of the actions of Hillary Clinton's campaign back prior to the 2016 election. This was such a successful 'Insurance Policy' that the Democrat Party likely thought it would never even be possible for President Trump to survive as president until 2020—let alone run for re-election. Interestingly, former FBI agent Charles McGonigal, who was one of the agents tasked with investigating Trump, was later imprisoned for colluding with Russia.

6.4 Other Presidential Election Fraud

Other areas where election fraud occurred include the media, social media, courts, illegals, the Deep State and intelligence agencies. These are briefly covered in their respective sections of this report. The Republican Party undergoes a constant battle in each state because it supports voter ID, which ensures only legal voters can vote, but the Democratic Party prefers enabling voting without any checks, thus enabling a higher amount of fraud to occur in elections that favour their party. To cover all areas of election fraud and election interference falls outside the scope of this report.

7. Environment

This section explores how the following areas alter our perception of reality: The Green Illusion and Climate Change.

7.1 The Green Illusion

This is where different product manufacturers profess to offer green products, to appease green political activists, but in reality this is not the case.

7.1.1 Appliances

Appliances are now often manufactured in a green-illusion way that requires them to operate for longer to perform the same task; they are then sold for the same or a higher price under the pretence that the purchase will be saving the environment. However, the results never quite add up. For example, washing machines now have energy ratings on them that purportedly save water or electricity, but the science is quite different. The washing machine might cost less per hour to operate, yet it equally takes longer to produce clean clothes, or the headline 'energy saving' figure might only be achievable if there is a half-load of washing in the machine. The result being that there is a need to wait around to administer a second load or to run two appliances simultaneously. Either way, the electricity and water consumption is likely more than reverting back to an old 'top loader'! A whole report could easily be dedicated with similar examples of this ideology (commonly known as 'Greenwashing') where companies capitalise on fabricated environmental claims. This area was also previously covered in the [Greenwashing](#) section of this report.

7.1.2 Containers

Another example is fresh food items that are increasingly being sold without plastic lids, e.g. yoghurt, so the consumer is now far more likely to spill the contents because the provided paper lid is not as durable; this results in the necessity to buy more, and that often requires driving to the store, thus allegedly destroying the environment by

utilising a vehicle that requires power! This is in addition to washing the plastic pots (often in hot water) to ensure that they are clean for their purported recycling journey. The minds of the environmentally mindless (who invent these packaging changes for distorted statistical purposes) demonstrate that those who construct environmental thinking are motivated by something other than the environment; this is often about appeasing a few environmental protestors that might otherwise disrupt company operations. Companies that do engage in environmental product downsizing do benefit from falling production costs. Will the end-of-year bonus be used environmentally though? If not, then the environmental savings have just been eroded!

7.1.3 Automobiles

The idea of 'going green' has also influenced automobiles. This would be acceptable if they were actually 'greener', but batteries (used in electric cars) do not work well in the cold (as they need more power, and in freezing temperatures they may not even charge), and in the heat they overheat and have to be cooled before the battery can be charged. An analogy can be drawn with wind turbines: these do not work when there is no wind, and if there is too much wind, which is equivalent to an average windy day, then they have to be deactivated for safety reasons. In extreme circumstances, wind turbines are deactivated to prevent them from blowing over in high winds. The blades of wind turbines can freeze in extremely cold temperatures; moreover, it is not uncommon for wind turbines to ignite if they develop a fault; furthermore, both electric-car batteries and wind turbine blades have virtually no recycling capabilities, and both have a higher environmental impact, in terms of CO₂, than combustion cars or other methods of producing electricity instead of wind turbines, for example, nuclear power. The electric car is so bad for the environment that if the lifespan of the car is not at least 80,000 miles, then not even a single ounce of CO₂ will be saved when the overall production process and motion energy are factored into the equation. Therefore, electric cars scrapped early, due to being unwanted or damaged, cause a far greater environmental impact in terms of air quality. The same applies to wind turbines when factoring in the amount of concrete, metal and spare parts—their effectiveness is negligible; moreover, there is a detrimental effect on bird and marine

life, e.g. whales, and the cost of producing electricity is astronomical when compared to other methods.

7.1.4 Recycling

Recycling is another 'green illusion' lucrative business. Many countries utilise ships that transport recyclable material around the world, from one country to another, before a negligible amount is extracted for recycling, and the remainder is either burnt, placed into landfill or disposed of in the ocean. There is insufficient demand in the world for certain recyclable materials—especially certain plastics— and many plastics cannot be recycled, so in order to avoid the plastics that cause any of the 'claimed' environmental problems, the best solution is landfill to ensure that they never reach the ocean.

To determine an individual's level of indoctrination into the world of recycling, which is used as a tool to win elections, consider the following experiment: attempt to place a plastic bottle into a non-recycling bin at a recycling point; it is propounded that the majority of test subjects will be unable to complete this task. Consider then that lab-rats/mice can also be trained to place items into certain compartments out of fear of punishment. A lab-rat will also receive no proof that placing anything into a particular compartment will actually offer any benefit to the rest of the rats/mice, but they will still comply because maybe the lab-technician will offer them food for their compliance. Question: When have local governments ever published statistics that 'X' number of tons of recycling have now resulted in 'Y' number of tons of recycled products?

Interestingly, even empty cartons are expected to be washed (before they are collected from households) in certain countries; this had the advantage of solving the water shortage crisis, as this was no longer portrayed as an environmental issue once the recyclable refuse was required to be washed.

Pollution created from transporting recyclable material, less the amount that will eventually be recycled, equals the fabricated reality of recycling, so why are claims

made that recycling makes a difference? The answer is that speeches that include rhetoric such as 'if elected I will ensure that we recycle more', as demanded by the political donors, cause a 'Green Agenda', and this in turn creates power and money.

7.1.5 Green Causes

The 'globalists' create NGOs that purport to support 'green causes'; government borrowing increases to support these causes, and the transfer of money to the globalists is complete—they are all political activists (supporting globalist politicians) that support the expansion of funding for the environment and 'climate change'. The idea of having cleaner air could be beneficial for the health of a population, but all too often this concept is exploited (for wealth creation) without actually producing the claimed results.

7.1.6 Politically Green

The green illusion is highly prevalent in politics; for example, the majority of 'green causes' originate from the Democratic Party in America. This is because companies that purport to be 'green' often donate to the Democratic Party as they are able to reward their donors with lucrative 'green' contracts when they ascend to positions of power. However, the illusion occurs because in politics the majority of left-leaning parties will accept donations from anyone in order to retain power. For example, the Democratic Party is also heavily funded by defence companies, who support wars, so at the same time they purport to be saving the planet, they are also being funded by weapon-building companies that cause destruction to the planet and have a huge environmental impact. Therefore, claims of 'wishing to save the planet' (by preventing oil drilling etc.) are because environmental companies contribute higher donation funding to their re-election campaigns. The golden rule (for restoring reality) is that if a politician states that something is good for you, or the environment, then it is probably best to examine where their donations originate from. After all, any researcher can rearrange facts, in a research report, to prove a premise, so it is often difficult to determine what is real and what is political rhetoric—the latter is usually accurate. Numerous genuine health and environmental

concerns are deliberately not highlighted by the Democratic Party because there is no power or money in that particular 'cause'; for example, the increase in child illnesses.

7.1.7 Carbon Neutral

In many cases, corporations do not even attempt to recycle; instead, they use a process called 'carbon offsetting'. This involves producing an unreliable estimate of their company's CO₂ output and then planting enough trees, whilst ignoring the effect on area water supplies, to allegedly absorb that amount of carbon and produce the offset amount of air; this is provided there are no 'extreme weather events' or a lumberjack does not fell any of the trees.

7.1.8 Fossil Fuels

These are hydrocarbon-containing materials, and there is an abundance here on Earth, albeit that most of the resources are further down in the Earth than can be currently extracted due to the limitations of current drilling technology. However, there is still currently enough, and this is a renewable resource, along with nuclear power, that will be able to power all the needs of the planet for the foreseeable future. Fossil fuels (e.g. coal, natural gas and oil) contain hydrocarbons (hydrogen and carbon), and CO₂ is an emission from energy and material production of fossil fuels. The planet needs CO₂ to enable plants and trees to grow. If there were no CO₂, then plants cannot grow, and the animals have nothing to eat, which in turn would result in no meat or plants for humans to eat. So, if activists want to prevent CO₂, by eliminating animals in our meat-based diet, then they will still need CO₂ to produce a plant-based diet. CO₂ is found on other planets too, which likely have no life, e.g. Venus, which has a carbon dioxide atmosphere, and it is hypothesised that CO₂ is abundant throughout the universe. Methane emissions are also targeted by far-left activist groups; for example, cows are now being trial-fed an additive called 'Bovaer' (in certain countries) with the aim of reducing cow flatulence (methane emissions) by 27%. It is important that none of this is exploited for far-left political rhetoric, and neither can methane be exploited as a way of obviating cows under the guise of saving the planet because methane only lasts for around a decade in the atmosphere, so it poses no long-term threat, and CO₂ is important for life.

The burning of fossil fuels in densely populated areas could be restricted under the argument that it was imperative for the creation of higher air quality, but the argument that it impacts climate change is unproven and a potential factor for increasing poverty.

All over the world, farmers are being encouraged to rewild their farmland in order to create biodiversity; rewilding will purportedly lower global temperatures (achieve 'net zero') as the farm animals would be obviated and food would be imported instead using ships and aircraft. This has led to global protests from farmers that are no longer able to make an independent living from their land; particularly, as farming and fishing is increasingly being labelled as 'ecocide'. This has led Denmark to introduce a farming tax on the greenhouse gases produced by livestock starting in 2030. The more independence a citizen has, e.g. working their own land, then the less control a government has in implementing globalist ideology.

7.2 Climate Change

In this section, all of the disinformation about 'climate change' and the 'environment' will be explained.

7.2.1 Climate Averaging

Over the last couple of hundred years, global temperatures have increased by one degree. This was enough data for the globalists to identify an opportunity to exploit a young girl in 2018 at the United Nations, where she infamously cried and said “How dare you?”, although more recently she chants 'Krossa Sionismen' (Crush Zionism). Interestingly, over the past 2,000 years, temperatures have only risen by about one degree too, so the result is still one degree of temperature change. What is really going on, then?

During the past 2,000 years, global temperatures increased, on average, a fraction of a degree during the 'Medieval Warm Period' (years 950–1250), and then cooled

slightly during the 'Little Ice Age Period' (years 1300–1850). This led to a rapid increase in temperature of just over one degree since the 'Little Ice Age', almost 200 years ago. Is this cause for concern? Well, the globalists, select scientists, and climate alarmists will say yes, but that is only because they are incapable of interpreting data or they are being funded to present the data in the most dramatic way. Even by just concentrating on the last 2,000 years, it can be deduced that there are multiple instances when temperatures have increased (on average for a small period of time) by almost a degree, and then have attenuated 50–100 years later. The localised 'Little Ice Age' (a regional phenomenon) thwarted the rise of global temperatures 700 years ago; otherwise, average temperatures would have likely equalled or exceeded those experienced today for that short period of history, and that would have been before industrialisation. This data pattern can be observed throughout longer periods of Earth history too, and of course, temperatures were higher in prehistoric times. There are periods of warmth and periods of cooler weather where polar ice caps form, and just like snow, during a regular year, these polar ice caps will eventually melt after an ice-age era concludes.

7.2.2 Climate Reality

Our current era is a small period in history, so it is impossible to conclude what the global average temperature will be until at least the next 100 years have elapsed; furthermore, the increase in city developments, e.g. building structures and pavements (sidewalks), likely contributes to a small increase in temperatures; moreover, different methods used for measuring the temperature (as well as location) may have also contributed to the one-degree (on average) temperature difference. The problem with this is that nobody living today will be able to disprove a globalist-liberal's campaign rhetoric, and neither would it matter if they could. The recommendation is not to allow the 'select science' to manipulate your thinking; weather changes daily, it can be cold in the morning and hot in the afternoon. Extreme weather events are NOT evidence of climate change; they are events that have happened throughout history. Earthquakes are NOT evidence of climate change; they are caused by an instant release of energy in the lithosphere. Climate variation is NOT evidence of climate change; it is an event that has happened

throughout history. Climate change is lucrative and largely a hoax that creates huge wealth for uniparty political donors in America, and often the spouses and friends of globalist politicians who work for green energy companies. Scientists and reports that question climate change are dismissed as being 'climate deniers'. Graphical representation of anything can be dramatic when the data is presented with a deliberately small Y axis (usually representing temperature), and then the X axis (usually representing time) can be manipulated to make a certain time period appear catastrophic. It is recommended that trust is never placed in a graph that does not have '0' as the bottom number on the Y axis.

7.2.3 Climate Change Legally Exists

In many countries, climate change is part of statutory law, e.g. under the United Kingdom's 'Climate Change Act (2008)', so whether 'climate change' exists becomes irrelevant if the government states that it does; this is because legislation is passed to support this conclusion. This act was passed by a Labour government, and this seemingly innocuous law involved appointing a non-departmental public body (NDPB) named the 'Climate Change Committee' (CCC). This committee was intended to be independent in order to advise the government on preparing for climate change. This is where the problem exists, because the NGO-style committee accepts, without question, that climate change exists, then impartiality is impossible.

A growing number of scientists accept that climate change does not exist, but scientific magazines will not usually publish their articles unless they embellish the truth, and they are of course dismissed by world governments. This is particularly true in America, where politicians receive political donations for supporting a climate change agenda. Dr. John Clauser (2022 Nobel Prize in Physics) and Dr. Ivar Giaever (1973 Nobel Prize in Physics) have joined 1,600 other scientists in signing the 'World Climate Declaration' of the Clintel Foundation; this declaration states that there is 'no climate emergency'. However, no climate-change committee is likely to ever consider a report or ask a scientist with contrary evidence, and even if they did, the government would never implement the recommendations out of fear that left-wing public outrage would pursue—caused by the government attempting to obviate

climate-change victimhood, so the concept of climate change will continue in perpetuity.

Some of the topics covered in Section 1 of the report included the following: IGOs (including the United Nations), communism and DEI, and it is these concepts that determine the type of recruitment that is conducted at the United Nations in order to support its climate-control agenda. For example, their appointed 'youth adviser' (in 2024) follows a Marxist ideology and believes that climate change is the product of White men and capitalism. Culture Wars was covered in Section 4 of this report and it included a section on 'race'. Increasingly, race is used as a recruitment tool for ensuring that applicants subscribe to a certain ideology; either an applicant must demonstrate their 'White privilege', if they are white, or their belief in perpetual victim groups. Therefore, reports and advisors at IGOs can no longer be thought of as credible because of the recruitment practices that favour DEI (Diversity, Equity and Inclusion) ideology from organisations forced to comply with ESG ('Environmental', Social and Governance) ideology, and this ultimately ensures that there is no 'diversity' in theories or reports relating to the environment.

7.2.4 Playing God

The globalists, particularly those on the political left, have sought to control all aspects of the lives of the citizens they rule over. This has extended to the dystopian idea of controlling the weather in a way that gives them their own personal adjustable thermostat. This would be achieved through geoengineering (large-scale manipulation of the environment). One of the experiments was called 'Marine Cloud Brightening'. This involved injecting trillions of sea salt particles into low-flying clouds (over the ocean) to determine if brightening clouds would result in more of the sun's rays being deflected back into space. Another experiment involves using a weather balloon to disperse sulphur dioxide into the air in order to block out the sun. Weather manipulation is nothing new, though, with cloud-seeding (chemical modification) operations allegedly occurring above the Ho Chi Minh Trail during the Vietnam War. The purpose of which was to extend the number of precipitation days in order to facilitate landslides that would disrupt the movement of the Viet Cong.

Certain American states have now begun to legislate in an attempt to prevent chemicals from being released into the atmosphere that are for the purpose of altering the weather. The Democratic Party created 'global warming' (for votes), before changing it to 'Climate Change' as global warming had no credibility in reality, and now they are attempting to artificially create 'global warming' in order to prevent 'climate change'. There are even more radical ideas (conceptual stage) that involve assembling a sunshield in space with the purpose of deflecting sunlight. These potentially dangerous experiments may firstly have an unattended consequence of lowering global temperatures too much; secondly, some areas of the Earth already have a substantial number of days that are too cold.

7.2.5 Climate Future

Therefore, in conclusion, temperatures have increased by only around one degree in the past 2,000 years as a result of homogeneous conditions. What realistically were the chances that climate change would be exactly zero? It is suggested here that the chances were zero. However, this anthropogenic climate-change theory is used (by globalist politicians) to alter our perception of reality, and thereby ensuring that the population lives in perpetual fear that the world is coming to an end. In reality, the most plausible reason why Earth temperatures change (apart from normal daily weather) is the orbit that the Earth takes around the Sun. Any slight change, caused by other planets that act upon the Earth in the solar system, will cause fluctuations in the temperature here on Earth. It should also be considered that Earth has extreme cold areas and extreme hot deserts, so climate change is a natural part of the planet we live on, yet there is a coordinated effort to convince the population that any change in temperature is their fault and the end of civilisation. Realistically, there is a far greater chance of being annihilated from a globalist politician's obsession with global war than a manipulated climate-change graph. In another 2,000 years, there will be conclusive data to determine whether temperatures increased by another degree or attenuated by one degree, but until then it is recommended not to condone a politician who brands everyone a victim of climate change for political donations.

8. Wars

This section explores how the following areas alter our perception of reality: wars, the Middle East and Ukraine.

8.1 Wars

War is a globalist's best friend. It is far easier to unite a population behind hate rather than peace. Liberal politicians typically support war, as it creates refugees, and this means victim groups that can be persuaded to vote for left-wing politicians in perpetuity, especially when refugee chain migration is also considered.

8.1.1 Neocons

Endless wars, supported by globalists, serve as a conduit to unite a nation behind the idea that obliterating another nation is essential in order to protect their democratic freedoms. This then translates into increased votes for globalist politicians in correlation with the increase in people who have solidarity with that view. For example, there are copious neocons in Washington who support endless wars. Neocons are neither Republican nor Democrat, under the current de facto definition, but they continuously support the idea that more war is necessary over peace.

8.1.2 Wars Create Wealth

Wars also create huge wealth for weapons manufacturers, such as Raytheon Technologies, Lockheed Martin and BAE Systems; weapons manufacturers and defence contractors are notorious supporters of liberal political candidates. Wars also help create wealth for globalist investment companies (e.g. BlackRock and 'The Vanguard Group') that invest in weapons manufacturers and rebuilding war zones to secure a profit from a war-torn country's natural resources. Many in Congress also invest and profit in the stock market with advanced knowledge; for example, investing in weapons manufacturers before authorising more missiles for Ukraine.

There are always wars and insurgencies occurring all over the planet, but the media and often Congress (in America) decide which wars are relevant to the public, and this is sometimes due to whether they are profitable for their own individual interests. The wars and insurgencies, which occurred in 2024, are as follows: Algeria, Cameroon, Chad, Congo, Libya, Mauritania, Sudan, Somalia, Uganda etc. (and many other countries) are of little interest if there is no United States involvement or weapons usage. A handful of media bosses and Congress (many Congress members receive their election and re-election campaign funding from defence contractors) decide on the relevance of any particular war. War is also profitable for globalists (who invest in war), and ensuring that globalist politicians (who support wars) are elected is imperative. For example, an American president who is a pacifist is not profitable; neither is a president that does not support profits for large pharmaceutical companies and other sectors that the president has influence over, so campaign cash, from donors, flows into electing a president that will make the donors large profits from investments. All the president has to then do is to convince 51% of voters that war is essential for democracy, and our perception of reality is changed.

8.1.3 Refugees

Wars also create displacement that ultimately leads to refugees being resettled in Western countries under their refugee resettlement programmes. The country of origin of refugees is unimportant, as there is a greater likelihood that they will vote for left-leaning politicians once resettled and they become eligible to vote. Large-scale refugees (up to 2024), under the refugee resettlement programmes, included Yemen, Syria and Ukraine; these are discussed further in the [Middle East](#) and [Ukraine](#) section. Therefore, war also has the effect of altering geopolitics in Western regions; moreover, geopolitical changes can be observed in the countries that have been liberated through war.

8.1.4 War for Votes

All the globalist politicians have to do is start a war; invest in arms manufacturers, unless arms manufacturers or investment companies have already helped fund their

election campaign; and use the far-left media to convince at least half the population that the war is necessary, and the result is votes in the bank. However, when has a war ever had one side that is the embodiment of evil and the other side being beyond reproach due to their epitome of morality? This concept attempts to convince the population that war is good and necessary for democracy, but whose democracy is it good for? It is certainly not the Western nations (engaging in warfare) because it has already been deduced that war is a necessary tool, in copious cases, to garner support for globalism that translates into votes for globalism-aspiring election candidates that are supported by the media. Wars occur all over the world (e.g. Africa), but the media dictates which wars are reported and the level of outrage the viewer must adopt, so in 2024 this would be the Middle East and Ukraine; this is because only selective wars are profitable for media bosses and NGOs, so not all wars (e.g. those in Africa) affect our perception of reality because they are underreported by the media.

8.2 Middle East

8.2.1 Background

There are 18 countries/states in the Middle East as follows: Bahrain, Cyprus, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Palestine (where recognised: the West Bank enclaves and the Gaza Strip), Qatar, Saudi Arabia, the Syrian Arab Republic, Turkey, the United Arab Emirates and Yemen. Between 2017 and 2023, the Middle East was relatively stable despite two ongoing civil wars being that under Donald Trump's first presidency the Jihadist militant group Islamic State was defeated; although they still operate in Libya and Nigeria in Africa.

The current instability in the Middle East (in 2024) is the result of an event known as the 'Arab Spring' that started in Tunisia and spread to the Middle East in 2011; this was followed by the 'Arab Winter'. The 'Arab Spring' and 'Arab Winter' saw protests occur across the Arab world, including in the Middle East and parts of Africa. This led to the governments of those countries using military force to contain the uprisings. During the course of the uprisings and military interventions, several

governments were overthrown, and civil wars pursued; civil war is still ongoing in Syria and Yemen as of 2024; although President Bashar al-Assad was deposed in December 2024 by armed rebels, mainly Hayat Tahrir al-Sham (HTS), several villages expressed a desire to join Israel; this is unsurprising given the execution-style murders occurring in the country (after the coup d'état). Syria is an example of how even when a government is toppled (in the Middle East), the same problems with the country persist, e.g. different groups controlling different regions of a country, which could lead to terrorist groups like ISIS re-emerging. Furthermore, the other existing civil war—the Yemeni civil war began in 2014 following a declared 'crisis' in the country, which began in 2011, and the 'Syrian civil war' began in 2011 following the 'Arab Spring'—resulted in economic instability and mass migration. Finally, there is also low-level insurgency (in the Middle East) in the following countries: Bahrain, Iran and Iraq.

8.2.2 Israel and Palestine

In many cases, global wars are attributed to the President in the White House and their foreign policy—often influenced by their donors. It could be argued that when President Biden (in 2023) exchanged five prisoners with Iran (after Qatar negotiated the deal) and released \$6 billion to Iran (a state sponsor of terror), this action took the White House back to the Obama era of foreign policy; particularly, as a month later (7th October 2023), Hamas (ally of Iran) committed terror attacks on Israel, this forced Benjamin Netanyahu (Prime Minister of Israel) to declare war in the Gaza Strip (occupied predominantly by Palestinian Muslims following Sunni Islam). Hamas (at the start of the war) had tens of thousands of fighters and was actively recruiting more fighters. A survey conducted in December 2023 by 'The Palestinian Center for Policy Survey and Research' (PCPSR) stated that 72% (of Palestinian respondents from the West Bank and Gaza) said it was 'correct' that Hamas attacked southern Israel; 22% said it was 'incorrect' and 6% were 'undecided' or gave no answer. Overall, 52% of people living in Gaza and 85% of Palestinians living in the West Bank supported the Hamas attacks on Israel, while at the same time only 11% supported the current President of Palestine (Mahmoud Abbas as of 2024).

The Land of Israel has existed since biblical times, but the urgency for a Jewish state increased during the interwar period between World War I and World War II, during which time Poland supported the emigration of Jews to Palestine, given that there was a rise in antisemitism in Europe; however, integrating Muslims and Jews has always proved problematic in the region, so this, given the Holocaust, created an urgency for an Israeli state that continued after the world wars.

Many Jewish people believe that Mount Zion, an ancient hill in Jerusalem, is where God lives, so the Zionism movement is the support for an ancient homeland in the “Land of Israel”. Israel was formed in 1948. This ended the British mandate that had existed since 1920 when they were awarded it (by the League of Nations) following the dissolution of the Ottoman Empire. Hamas (created mainly from members of the Muslim Brotherhood), and likely half (based on the aforementioned survey) of the 2.1 million Palestinian residents in Gaza, support anti-Zionism. The 7th October 2023 terror attack on Israel was the worst terror attack experienced in its history. Joseph Biden further released an additional \$10 billion after the terror attacks.

Hamas won the 'Palestinian legislative election' (demonstrating that many Palestinians supported the terrorist organisation) in 2006, and they seized control of Gaza (during the Battle of Gaza) from Fatah in 2007. Fatah is the largest faction of the 'Palestine Liberation Organization' (PLO). Since Hamas obtained power, they have built an extensive underground tunnel system that the Palestinian people likely were aware of and likely even supported. There was even a tunnel entrance built inside a hospital. Hamas, at its core, believes that no non-Muslim can control any part of Palestine. This was at odds with the PLO, which recognised Israel in 1988, a year after Hamas was originally formed. Over the years, Israel signed a number of peace agreements with its Arab neighbours, starting with Egypt in 1979, the ‘Oslo Accords’ with the PLO in 1993 and Jordan in 1994. In 2005, Israel facilitated the removal of all Israeli settlements from Gaza, so effectively gifted the land to Palestinians. Further peace agreements occurred when Israel established diplomatic relations with the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Bahrain and Morocco in 2020 under President Trump’s leadership in an agreement known as the ‘Abraham Accords’. A full history of Israel falls outside the scope of this report.

The 'Al-Qassam Brigades' are the militia arm of Hamas that committed the terror attacks in Israel when 3,000 of their fighters invaded—at least 1,400 Israelis were killed in a massacre, and others taken as hostages in the attack. This is reminiscent of the Yom Kippur War, also known as the Ramadan War, that began 50 years earlier on 6th October 1973 when the Arab coalition (led by Egypt and Syria) jointly launched a surprise attack against Israel on the Jewish holy day of Yom Kippur; this ultimately caused the 1973 energy crisis.

8.2.3 Iran

Did Iran sponsor the terror attacks committed by Islamic Jihadis? It is now highly probable that weapons used by Hamas are some of the weapons supplied by America to Ukraine. There have long been reports that weapons sent to Ukraine have been advertised on the 'Dark Web' and the black market, and that they have ended up in the Middle East. Iran also launched a barrage of missiles and drones (allegedly as a result of Israel's attack on the Damascus consulate) at Israel since Joseph Biden released sanctions (\$10 billion) during the ongoing conflict. Iran also attacked Israel following Israel's invasion of Lebanon in 2024, leaving the Middle East in an escalated war where Israel, at the time, began bombing Iran; this could have been prevented had the Biden-Harris administration not been in power. For example, following Benjamin Netanyahu (Prime Minister of Israel) meeting with Donald Trump at his home, Mar-a-Lago in Florida, a few days after his re-election (and two months before his inauguration), a peace agreement was made between Israel and Lebanon; moreover, Iran also cancelled its planned attacks on Israel.

The Middle East, including Iran, does not respect weak leadership; most countries in the world do not respect weak leadership, and this is why President Trump received numerous hostages back from Iran as a gift. This could be the reason why Iran (allegedly) had a 'hit' out on Donald Trump's life with the purpose of interfering with the 2024 elections, as an Iranian terror group was known to have entered America through the southern border.

8.2.4 Expanding War

President Trump was also making progress with peace in the Middle East (with his administration's 'Abraham Accords') before his first presidential term was interrupted. The Biden administration's withdrawal from Afghanistan (after fighting for 20 years) allowed the Taliban to gain control of the country and take possession of \$85 billion worth of American ammunition, tanks and planes; to compound Israel's problems, the Taliban requested safe passage to the Middle East through Iraq, Iran and Jordan in order to 'conquer Jerusalem'.

The Oslo II Accord (1995) established the Palestinian National Authority (PNA), governed by Fatah, as the authority for the enclaves (West Bank) in areas known as A and B, while Israel administers area C. Israel assumed administration for East Jerusalem during the Six-Day War (1967), and in 1980, the Jerusalem Law declared unified Jerusalem (West and East) the capital of Israel, which is disputed by many countries, e.g. China and Russia, who consider East Jerusalem to be the capital of Palestine. Jerusalem is considered holy by the Abrahamic religions: Christianity, Islam and Judaism. Extremists in 2023 and beyond demanded a 'free Palestine', which began immediately after the October 7th terror attacks before the Israel Defense Forces (IDF) invaded Gaza to annihilate Hamas. Demands to 'free Palestine' were a continuation of the centuries-old desire to purge the Jewish community from Jerusalem by calling for an intifada and to further indoctrinate students globally with extremist ideology. Syria and the Lebanese-armed Shia-Islam group 'Hezbollah', backed by Iran, also joined the war (in 2024) by firing rockets into Israel (Israel launched rocket attacks in Lebanon); moreover, Yemen's Houthis attacked Israel, and Al-Qaeda requested worldwide 'Bloodshed'; this necessitates secure borders for countries around the world.

8.2.5 Biden Responsibility

President Biden's responsibility for any of this was covered up by an obsequious media. Many in the news media were prohibited, by media bosses, from using the word 'terrorists' in their news reports because their far-left viewers supported Hamas, and if the Hamas attacks were not 'terrorist attacks', then Joseph Biden

could not be supporting 'terrorism'; charities and Western NGOs have also supported Hamas. This Gaza conflict divided those on the left side of politics; for example, riots occurred outside the DNC building (during the beginning of the war), where scared Congress members hid inside. Antisemitism, which led to riots, became prevalent at universities too; this criminality has largely been ignored by the media.

There were even chants of 'Genocide Joe' being made by an angry mob at the gates of the White House; many on the far left were also exposed as being antisemitic. In 2021, Joseph Biden was also responsible for removing the terrorism designation from the Houthis (Iran-backed terror group), and this then enabled them to freely enter America through the southern border. In January 2024, the United States and the United Kingdom launched missile strikes on the Houthis in Yemen following constant attacks on ships in the Red Sea. If the world media offered fair coverage, then the question they would allow their viewers to ponder would be: do you want strong leadership and peace (like under President Trump), or weak leadership, war and increased spending (\$8 trillion so far) in the Middle East?

8.2.6 Integration

Far-left political parties around the world witnessed disorder in their political movements, and they were questioning whether some of their politicians were too radicalised.

On the left side of politics, there has been contempt between some politicians with opposing views on Israel and Palestine. Suddenly, the far left (who were usually united in hate for opposing views) found themselves divided, with some believing that supporting a Hamas terror attack, which killed Jews, was too extreme; likewise, there were those politicians that were outraged at their colleagues for not supporting Palestine. The Middle East social experiment (which has encouraged integration around the world for political left votes) started to display fissures in wider society too (e.g. 'Day of Jihad') when rioting occurred in Western countries; moreover, there were numerous protests since (in cities and academia around the Western world) where the 'Flag of Palestine' was proudly flown and protesters chanted 'Free

Palestine' in support of the attack on Israel. These misguided protests were not aimed at Hamas, nor other jihadist attacks such as 9/11 (Osama bin Laden described the formation of the Israeli state as "a crime which must be erased") or 7/7 in London; instead, they celebrated the terror attacks on Israel and later the movement was a protest against Israel invading Gaza with their strategy of eradicating the militia that was responsible for the terror attack. The worldwide protests also expanded, with seemingly ordinary people being duped into marching in solidarity with jihadism.

Hamas propaganda had convinced many people around the world that there was a genocide and famine in Gaza when, in fact, neither was accurate. Thousands of Hamas fighters and sympathisers were killed by the IDF, but there has been no credible evidence of Israel targeting civilians; although Hamas was known to hide behind women and children, some of whom were likely related to Hamas fighters. Hamas also conflated the death figures, for propaganda purposes, by declaring all deaths as civilian. This likely also included natural deaths. Hamas also controlled the aid (including food) sent to Gaza, so there was no famine emergency, just corruption in the region.

Some left-leaning politicians identified an opportunity to import people from Palestine instead of Israel. However, it should be noted that many protestors (like the 100,000 in London who support Palestine) were chanting 'Allahu Akbar' (Allah is greater). This is the same chant used by the Prophet Muhammad (the founder of Islam) in 628 CE (7 AH) during the 'Battle of Khaybar' when Muhammad led the Muslims into battle against the Arabian Jews in the city of Khaybar. The idea of importing voters from the Middle East, or that different cultures would want to become Westernised, illustrates the naivety that politicians, at the time, possessed in the area of world politics and culture.

The report has demonstrated that Jews and Muslims do not integrate well in the Middle East, especially as more than 70% of Palestinians supported the terror attacks on Israel. Unless those that emigrate to Western countries are prepared to integrate and respect the values of Western culture (and, of course, whilst maintaining their

freedom of religion), their application for immigration should be declined to preserve cultural values; otherwise, values and culture are constantly being reshaped to accommodate immigrants—rather than natural cultural progression—because the product of this is a fractured society united behind division. The world needs to unite behind traditional values, including the following: nuclear family, equality instead of inequality for restorative equity, schools that educate instead of indoctrinate, and the celebration of historical advancement instead of historical manipulation.

8.2.7 Middle East Peace

Long-term peace in the Middle East would never be achieved by pontificating globalists and peace envoys who do not understand their culture. The mistakes made in the Middle East include the following: constant pontification, incitement (encouraging the people to want Western democracy through uprising), and military intervention that resulted in huge debt for America, other countries, and more death in the Middle East than would have otherwise occurred. Unless the threat is specific to the West, like the Islamic State, then intervention in the Middle East should arguably be avoided. Diplomacy and competent leadership have always been key in the Middle East. If respect is portrayed (given the different religions) to all parties in the Middle East, then peace will eventually prevail.

8.3 Ukraine

Ukraine has greatly altered our perception of reality; not least because the war instantly ended the media's high-profile coverage of Covid, which resulted in life returning to normal far earlier than would have been possible without the war.

8.3.1 Corrupt Ukraine

In January 2022, Ukraine was known as one of the most corrupt nations on the planet; Ukrainians were often depicted as the 'bad guys' in Hollywood movies, but in February 2022 (when Russia invaded), they were rebranded as the most morally superior country on the planet. This section discusses how globalist politicians have

become obsessed with destroying Russia, the largest country in the world by area. Russia is 28 times the size of Ukraine and covers eleven time zones. Russia is also the world's largest producer of barley, oats and buckwheat and the third largest producer of rye and wheat in the world, and this is why Russia donated (in 2023) 200,000 tons (in total) of grain to the following countries: Burkina Faso, Zimbabwe, Mali, Somalia, the Central African Republic and Eritrea. Russia (with the UN World Food Programme) also donated fertiliser to the following countries: Malawi, Kenya and Zimbabwe, so Russia is a substantially larger country compared to Ukraine.

8.3.2 Background

The first fact to comprehend is that all governments engage in propaganda as follows: if the government is a perceived democracy, then manipulating reality ensures re-election; and if the government is a dictatorship, then the manipulation of reality is even more prevalent to prevent an uprising. In December 2021, Joseph Biden failed in his peace negotiations with Russia, although he likely engaged in more pontificating than negotiating, as Russia had proposed an end to NATO expansion, i.e. no expansion of NATO into Ukraine. Russia had raised the issue of Donbas for years, but as Russia is a de facto pariah state to the West, no solution had ever been proposed. Following eight years of the Ukrainians bombing the breakaway region known as Donbas (Russian-speaking majority provinces of Donetsk and Luhansk), Russia invaded to protect them, and Joseph Biden's corruption allegations (when he was Vice President) once again avoided the headlines just as Covid before had given the media an alternative news cycle. The Russian State Duma ruled that Donbas had to be protected, and President Putin gave the order to invade in February 2022, but it should be noted that President Putin did not dispatch significant troops to overthrow the country. It is propounded that as troops had surrounded Ukraine (since negotiations with President Biden failed in December 2021), the preponderance of evidence suggests that the position of troops by Russia was likely to force President Biden (through intermediary President Macron of France) to negotiate in good faith. Instead, Russia was likely unaware of an eight-year-old plan, devised by NATO (the North Atlantic Treaty Organization), to use Ukraine in a proxy war if Russia ever invaded again following the annexation of

Crimea in 2014. There were two (almost) peace deals in 2022, but then British Prime Minister Boris Johnson (Alexander Boris de Pfeffel Johnson) visited the President of Ukraine (Volodymyr Oleksandrovych Zelenskyy) and conveyed to him that 'you will win'. This may have been the single event that halted the peace negotiations and likely cost hundreds of thousands of lives.

Many thousands of Ukrainian soldiers lost their lives each week, but as this was a proxy war, Europe, Britain, and America were not losing any official soldiers, so there was no public outcry. Would the leadership in America, Europe and Britain want this war, instead of peace, if it was their own families dying? It is unclear whether Boris Johnson acted unilaterally (in order to detract from an investigation into his alleged Covid lockdown breaches) or whether NATO members approved his visit. Either way, the West revealed their determination to 'Get Putin', but Russia has a long history of not losing wars when compared to countries like America. For example, America losing the war in Vietnam (even after killing an estimated one million 'Viet Cong' during the 20-year war) and more recently the Biden administration's withdrawal from Afghanistan (after fighting for 20 years).

What is perhaps not understood about Russia is that their media is not so one-sided and vindictive as America. An American president with a 50% approval rating is considered popular (and a President Biden approval rating of 40% would have been considered a miracle), but in Russia, President Putin always maintained an approval rating of around 80%. Logically, this is the level a Republican president should achieve in America, given that Republicans support family, religion and secure borders, but the far-left media rebranded all those items as racism, and the importation of voters had facilitated a change in voter demographics to favour far-left radicalisation. In any event, with an approval rating of 80% for President Putin, this indicates that much of the Western media-produced stories were how they would like President Putin to be perceived by his people rather than how he was actually perceived. Russia seldom communicates with Western media because they understand that the majority of the global media is controlled by American liberals who follow the narrative, and one of those narratives was that Hillary Clinton lost her election to Donald Trump in 2016 because Russia assisted Donald Trump, so this is

another reason that only one side of the story is ever covered in the media; moreover, it is also the reason that Russia is perpetually branded as the 'bad guys' when amicable dialogue with Russia (by the United States government) was always permissible prior to 2016. Interestingly, when Donald Trump won again in 2024, the Russian narrative was not so prevalent, and the media began to digest that Donald Trump won in a landslide (by American election standards) and that the majority of Americans rejected the media's far-left propaganda.

Germany was another example of a country that underestimated the Soviet Union during 'World War II'. They incorrectly believed that the Soviet Union had no more reserves and they could conquer Moscow—they were wrong. The 'Battle of Stalingrad' (23rd August 1942 - 2nd February 1943), under the counteroffensive 'Operation Uranus', was recorded as a turning point in World War II. The Soviet Union halted the Nazis and eventually forced them to retreat back to Germany through Ukraine, which is why Russia wants Ukraine to remain neutral. This in some way is analogous to events in Ukraine between 2022 and 2024, even though the communist Soviet Union no longer exists. The idea that the 'Wagner Group' was going to conquer Moscow, or Zelensky's drone attacks on Moscow would actually be successful, demonstrated the manipulation of reality that the media was exercising with its propaganda. The other area that the media and politicians omitted from their rhetoric, as history appears inconsequential to them, was that Russia had over 300,000 troop reserves on standby. The propounded reason for this is that they would only be deployed if NATO directly entered the war in Ukraine.

The majority of Ukrainian people went to great lengths to avoid fighting in the war; they escaped across the border to avoid conscription, and some even paid corrupt officials to avoid the draft. Because once enrolled in conflict, they would be shot if they attempted to desert; although, allegedly, thousands have successfully gone AWOL (Absent Without Leave). President Zelensky regularly altered the parameters for conscription. Zelensky had also obviated the limit on military service, meaning that soldiers must either die or be wounded in order to receive a reprieve from fighting. The unwillingness of Ukrainians to fight Russia, or the knowledge that doing so would almost certainly result in death, had led to countless soldiers

surrendering to Russia. Russia set up a dedicated radio frequency that allowed Ukrainian soldiers to surrender, unharmed, to Russia. However, this does not guarantee their safety as Ukraine bombed a Russian jail containing Ukrainian POWs, and they also bombed a plane that was carrying Ukrainian POWs destined for a prison swap, so there were consequences for Ukrainians who surrendered. The prescience that needs to be understood is that Russia and Ukraine share a common history, and as much as the West would like to portray Ukraine as European, their culture is analogous to Russia. It is only Washington (and those that profit from war) that were encouraging the continuation of a futile ambition of conquering Crimea and the Russian people who live there.

8.3.3 Why Russia Invaded

Russia is a country rich in history dating back more than 1,100 years, so countries like the United States are relatively new. The Donbas was not the only concern Russia had with Ukraine; other reasons included Russia's concerns that BioLabs in Ukraine were being commandeered to create biological weapons and Ukraine's obsession with joining NATO, which was written into their Constitution in 2019; this despite the 1990 declaration proclaiming that Soviet Ukraine would become a sovereign state that would strive to become a permanently neutral country. Furthermore, the former Secretary General of NATO (Jens Stoltenberg) is on video stating that 'President Putin actually sent a draft treaty that they wanted NATO to sign to promise no more NATO enlargement ... that was a precondition for not invading Ukraine. Of course, we did not sign that, so he went to war to prevent NATO more NATO across his borders [sic]'. However, through various NATO representatives (after Russia invaded), the rhetoric has changed to 'Russia did not invade because of NATO'. Therefore, the war never needed to happen; Ukraine joining NATO has always been a red line for President Putin. NATO was created (in 1949) to oppose the Soviet Union and Germany. In 1990, Germany joined NATO (West Germany joined in 1955) and the Soviet Union, along with the Warsaw Pact (a counter to NATO), ceased to exist in 1991, so effectively NATO had no purpose at that moment in time.

President Putin asked President Clinton (when he was President) about the prospect of joining NATO, which would have likely resulted in a safer world, but this was rejected. The new purpose of NATO has been to counter Russia, so if Russia joined NATO, then NATO no longer needed to exist in the current form, and this would have also meant a collapse of the political activities of NATO; moreover, Russia's values also differ from NATO's. For example, Russia accepts a person's right to be LGBTQ, and to transition between genders, but they will not accept the indoctrination of children; this is to ensure that future generations, who will govern the country, are not indoctrinated with far-left ideology that has become prevalent across the Western world. This concept is alien to many member-states of NATO who are increasingly involved in the indoctrination of children, and this was previously covered in the report including the following: transgender ideology, CRT, DEI etc. Therefore, this demonstrates the lengths that international organisations (NGOs or IGOs) will go to in order to preserve their global influence and power. Since then, Russia has acquiesced when NATO expanded 1,000 miles east, after NATO previously promised that there would be no further expansion, but NATO in Ukraine is something Russia will never accept—it is a red line for them. NATO countries equally do not want Ukraine to be a trading partner of Russia, and NGOs have been prolific in Ukraine to discourage this.

America experienced a similar concern in October 1962 during what is infamously known as the 'Cuban Missile Crisis'. The Soviet Union agreed with 'Fidel Castro' (leader of Cuba) to place nuclear weapons on the island of Cuba following America's 'Operation Mongoose'. Operation Mongoose had attempted to help Cuba overthrow the Communist regime in Cuba. Therefore, one of the concerns that Russia has about Ukraine joining NATO is that nuclear weapons might be reintroduced in Ukraine. However, these facts are often not covered in the media of NATO countries. For example, in the Czech Republic (a NATO country), anyone providing a justification for Russia invading Ukraine (including Russia protecting their citizens) could receive three years in prison. When a government needs to lock up their citizens to preserve a stated truth, then this is an indication that the truth is likely being distorted.

There had never been, in American history, so many fixated globalists in charge of the 'war machine' in America. The obsession with war over peace was disturbing as history appears to be lost on these waning bureaucrats. For example, Russia formed an agreement with the Nicaraguan president that allowed Russian forces and bases to be stationed in Nicaragua. This, as anyone who knows geography will realise, is not that far from Cuba. Is a 'Nicaraguan Missile Crisis' due 60+ years after Cuba? At the time, the mainstream media could not bring up the idea of a 'missile crisis' because they would have to mention JFK, and if they mentioned JFK, this might bring attention to RFK Jr. (who eventually supported Donald Trump's successful election bid in 2024). The media and social media were prohibited (forced 'kill the story' by the Biden administration and the cryptocracy) from covering the RFK Jr. presidential bid (when he was an independent) in case it interfered with Joseph Biden's re-election bid (before he dropped out)—this is impartial news in America and the linked global media.

8.3.4 Coup d'état

The war in Ukraine would not have even been necessary if Ukraine did not have a history of coup d'état. The most recent in 2014 (known as the 'Maidan Revolution') was instigated because then President Yanukovich sought closer ties with Russia rather than Europe. His decision was partly influenced because Russia had an open-border, free-trade agreement with Russia that would have to be discontinued in order for Russia to prevent their own market being flooded by European imports (through the open border) that would be detrimental to Russia, so it was determined (by President Yanukovich) that the more lucrative option was to seek even closer trade links with Russia.

Snipers were the final fuse that triggered the coup, but the discussion around who the snipers were is outside the scope of this report. This led to the annexation of Crimea in 2014 as that region was around 97% loyal to Russia, and this was also confirmed by President Obama, which was Obama's stated reason as to why he did not resist the annexation. It should be noted that Ukraine would not, and still does not, qualify for European Union membership. In a free and fair democracy, there is

an inbuilt mechanism for obviating a politician who does the opposite to what they campaigned on; this is known as impeachment or to vote them out! However, in the former Soviet Union country, known as Ukraine, they have a different idea of democracy. Particularly, as the Obama-Biden White House supported the coup, which then ultimately led to the annexation of Crimea. In fairness to President Zelensky, American NGOs still have a certain amount of control in Ukraine, and this suggests that the Zelensky government might suffer another coup if they refused to cooperate with them. Censorship (not allowing the electorate to know the truth), and then encouraging uprising on the fabricated reality, is an effective way of toppling governments in all countries.

8.3.5 Nazism

Ukraine has a history of Nazism, with some Ukrainians serving in the German SS (Schutzstaffel) during World War II. For example, Yaroslav Hunka (a Canadian-Ukrainian) served in the '14th Waffen Grenadier Division' of the SS during World War II, and in 2023, President Zelensky and the entire Canadian parliament applauded the 98-year-old veteran because he fought the Red Army (Russians), during World War II, as he was a Nazi. This does raise the question of whether the current world leaders (in 2024) would have preferred Germany to be victorious over the Russian army rather than the other way round. This is why the Russian leadership believes Nazism still exists and is celebrated, by some, in Ukraine today. Nazism is an ideology that Russia believes must not be allowed to prosper (another reason why Russia invaded Ukraine), given that the Nazi movement resulted in Russia losing more lives than any other country during World War II, so eradicating Nazism is personal for the Russian people. There is some social media and Google-YouTube censorship of Ukrainian Nazis, and this is to preserve the angelic appearance of a nation that ranked among the most corrupt in the world the day before Russia launched their 'special mission'. Facebook (owned by Meta) decided to diverge in a different direction when war broke out; they relaxed hate-speech rules in a number of countries to ensure that diatribes against the Russian people could be conducted with impunity.

8.3.6 Endless War

Russian and Ukrainian negotiators almost reached an agreement in 2022 (during talks in Istanbul) when a draft peace agreement was prepared. However, the agreement was scrapped by Ukraine in favour of receiving endless weapons and money from the world following a visit by the Prime Minister of the United Kingdom, which is a NATO country. The Ukrainian negotiators had agreed (in the summer of 2022 as part of a peace agreement) in principle to pass a law in Ukraine to prohibit the encouragement of neo-Nazi ideology. Russia, in return, agreed to immediately withdraw troops from Kyiv—which they did (although it is undetermined whether there were any additional reasons for the withdrawal). Since then, President Zelensky had introduced a law prohibiting anyone in Ukraine from negotiating with Russia. It is propounded that this was likely the result of a historically corrupt country being easily convinced to participate in a proxy war due to receiving limitless funding. Zelensky will ultimately have to answer for his actions. It is propounded that the majority of Ukrainians do not support or even like Zelensky, given their feelings that he is responsible for corruption, and this is likely true as the number of Ukrainian millionaires has increased as a result of the misappropriation of funds received predominantly from the United States. Ukraine is more like the old Soviet Union than Russia, and it is probable that Zelensky will eventually seek exile in a country where he has his offshore accounts or will escape to Hollywood so that the world can continue to watch his unique brand of extremist liberalism.

During 2024, Zelensky worked to extort Washington into paying for military, financial, and political support through to at least 2034 (a 10-year payment plan) because Russia is winning. Zelensky likely fears having to hold an election that would likely result in his arrest. This is the hallmark of a deception (scam): a large fee is demanded at the beginning, the confidence trickster then constantly asks for further payments, and when the target becomes suspicious of the con artist (fearing the investment is lost), then the con artist asks for one exorbitant final score whilst assuring the target that this time their investment will pay off. Zelensky already has security agreements with the UK, Germany and France that require those countries to support him during war, and in return, Zelensky will reciprocate and send his

army to their countries if they are ever attacked. Therefore, those countries were all duped as these agreements only benefit Ukraine—a country known for corruption where the total amount of weapons and funding sent is never equal to the amount actually received by the people or on the frontline by those fighting. It is alleged that only around half of the funding ever reaches the frontline as the balance is lost to corrupt officials in Ukraine, with likely a small percentage being transferred back to the Democratic Party in America, who originally authorised the initial funding to Ukraine between 2022–2024.

Ukraine will never defeat the Russian army, so why did Western leaders keep paying Zelensky (rather than encouraging peace) to produce the impossible? Furthermore, in June 2024, Vladimir Putin signed a defence agreement with Kim Jong Un of the 'Democratic People's Republic of Korea', so this resulted in North Korea sending troops to Donbas; allegedly, 10,000 arrived in the region for training. Russia would then reciprocate if North Korea ever faced an invasion as part of the agreement. Zelensky was so desperate to keep the war going (after Donald Trump's re-election), and retain his wartime power (no need to hold elections), that at the end of November 2024, he demanded immediate NATO membership in return for peace. This would then guarantee a future war between Russia and NATO, which has likely always been Zelensky's goal. Fortunately, his application for NATO has never been approved.

8.3.7 Zelensky Slapstick

President Zelensky was a comedy actor who did, amongst other performances, transvestite comedy before he took office. This is fitting because Ukraine has used a transgender individual to disseminate its propaganda; this was before the transgender employee was replaced with an AI-generated woman-of-colour who will now represent the Foreign Ministry. Therefore, this demonstrates the extent to which Ukraine utilises technology to ensure its propaganda is prevalent. Actor Zelensky is certainly no 'Captain Ronald Reagan', who also served as the Governor of California before becoming President of the United States of America. Zelensky ran for president in 2019 on a campaign of ending the war in Donbas (likely by

implementing the 'Minsk Protocol'), which Ukraine had been shelling since 2014, resulting in the killing of at least 13,000 people at the time, and he also ran on 'Victory over Corruption'. Neither of these election pledges materialised. A 2023 poll (conducted by the 'Ilko Kucheriv Democratic Initiatives Charitable Foundation' and the 'Kyiv International Institute of Sociology') found that 78% of Ukrainian adults saw Zelensky as directly responsible for Kyiv's corruption. Zelensky also had the support of the Nazi-emblem-wearing 'Azov Assault Brigade'—a national guard formation that supports neo-Nazi ideology; many in Congress wanted the Azov Brigade classified as a terrorist group before the war. Allegedly, there were Azov sympathisers (Ukrainian nationals) present on 'January 6th' (2021) in Washington with the intention of encouraging the crowd to enter the Capitol Building, so modern-day Nazism (one of the reasons Russia entered the war) is pervasive in the world with roots in Ukraine.

Ukraine was captivated not by Zelensky's ability to articulate what he would do for the Ukrainian people, as he rarely spoke about that, but instead by his little comedy videos that he posted online to garner support for his election bid. President Zelensky admitted that the 'Minsk Agreement' was negotiated in bad faith by Ukraine; Angela Merkel (the now ex-Chancellor of Germany) also confirmed this. The 'Minsk Accords' were a ruse to allow Ukraine to amass more troops to prevent the Russians from invading; Russia was backing the separatists in Donbas during the 2014 coup d'état. The 2004 'Orange Revolution' in Ukraine was also supported by the U.S. government as well as George Soros's 'Open Society Foundations', NGOs: National Endowment for Democracy (NED) and NGO: Freedom House, so Ukraine has a long history of revolutions, coups and election interference.

8.3.8 Global Liberalism

Ukraine is now a beacon of global liberal democracy, in 2024, or a better description 'The Zelensky Model'. The country locks up its opposition leaders, uses lawfare (like Joseph Biden's presidency), bans opposition parties, Zelensky cancels elections (countries with far worse wars have always held elections during wartime), creates State TV and persecutes Orthodox Christians. The 'Ukrainian Orthodox Church'

(UOC) is a Christian denomination that is over 1,000 years old, but since 2018, Ukraine has been attempting to replace this church with a State Church called the 'Orthodox Church of Ukraine' (OCU), where the focus is more on the state rather than god. The Zelensky regime has made unsubstantiated claims that the UOC has links to Russia and is helping Russia win the war. The more likely reason is that Ukraine and Russia have always shared a common heritage—at least 33% of Ukrainians have family and friends who live in Russia. For example, the current general (Oleksandr Syrskyi) of Ukraine (in 2024) has parents who live in Russia, and he was born in Russia (Soviet Union) and moved to Soviet Ukraine in the 1980s. Religion and heritage are two areas that will always unite Russia and Ukraine, and the current Ukrainian leadership (in 2024) appears to have a desire to destroy this because of their likely hatred that they hold for the Russian people. The Pope condemned Zelensky when he signed a law (in August 2024) banning the UOC.

Zelensky is currently unelected as his presidential term expired in 2024, but he is prohibiting elections and banning opposition parties that question his legitimacy; moreover, the assets of those banned parties are then confiscated by the state. This has prevented the people from electing a different government that would instantly resolve this conflict by honouring the 'Minsk Agreement', which would allow the breakaway region of Donbas to have a special status within Ukraine; although, whether President Putin would agree to this now, given that the Ukrainian government never intended to implement the Minsk Protocols, is unknown.

However, as over one million Ukrainian men hid in the country, as they attempted to avoid being drafted and killed, it was almost a certainty that the people of Ukraine would want Zelensky to lose any re-election attempt. Zelensky refused to hold elections; therefore, peace in the country was dependent on whether election fraud could be thwarted in America to a level that would allow Donald Trump to be re-elected as president, i.e. the election needed to be 'too big to rig' (a landslide)! This was achieved in 2024 allowing for the prospect of peace as President Donald Trump was one of only a handful of politicians in the world that was willing to engage with both parties (Russia and Ukraine) instead of continuously supplying one side with increased funding, much of which is lost to corruption, and further weapons.

This practice of searching for a link (like the aforementioned church), which is almost always fictitious, to anything perceived to be negative has now infested the Western world too. For example, monuments, road names etc. are cancelled due to any alleged link with the 'slave trade'. An accusation is enough—it is control the Ukrainian way. Christian leaders around the world, who were undoubtedly petrified of Zelensky's enormous propaganda machine, tolerated his incarcerating clerics and his attacks on the Christian religion due to Zelensky's saintly status awarded by the Biden administration. Zelensky's Ukraine also employed Washington PR (Public Relations) companies to assist in spreading the propaganda, e.g. blaming the Russian people for the persecution of Christians in Ukraine. Effectively, this was all American taxpayer money, as the Biden administration also paid Ukrainian online influencers. This is the liberal playbook where the opposition is castigated for the offence of the real perpetrator. All of this totalitarian control was a blueprint used in America (under Joseph Biden up to 2024), where his regime had the following similarities: actively trying to incarcerate his main opposition before the 2024 election, far-left media that act as state TV, and where Christian values are being outlawed. Therefore, the conclusion is that if an individual holds any kind of religious values in America today, then their affiliation is now with the Republican Party.

Leaders that support global liberalism had a great respect for Zelensky. For example, any world leader, with failing popularity, could travel to Ukraine for a photo opportunity with Zelensky; Zelensky choreographed the photos and always had an outstretched hand, ensuring that the foreign leader had to turn and face the almighty Zelensky in a sickening display of weak Western leadership. Many former celebrities also visited Ukraine, e.g. Ben Stiller, to wine and dine with Zelensky. President Zelensky had also travelled the world in order to ensure the money (and by extension the profits) continued for Ukraine; Zelensky had attempted to travel to Israel to ensure that their war did not receive more funding, but Israel rejected his request for a state visit. Whilst Zelensky wines and dines (and travels), his people were conscripted to be killed. Thousands of Ukrainian soldiers were killed every month, so the reality of Zelensky (which the media will not allow their viewers to know) was that he was losing the war. Zelensky lives in luxury and appeared unperturbed at sacrificing all of his people in a failed 'counteroffensive'.

The incompetence of seeking only war and not peace with Russia demonstrated the utter contempt that the globalist politicians had towards the people that they saw as their subjects. Why would anyone not seek peace with the largest country in the world that has the largest nuclear arsenal in the world? The answer: the usual political power and money! In addition, Russia's immigration rules are as follows: learn the language, respect the country's traditions and obey the laws—all of this is an alien concept to far-left politicians and globalists. Fortunately, for the safety of the world, Russia was not losing the war; if they had lost, then Russia may have resorted to nuclear-weapons usage to preserve their sovereignty.

Many Russians are Orthodox Christians; in fact, Russia is the largest White-Christian country on Earth, but they also live in harmony with other citizens who follow Islam or Buddhism. This is because all of these religions follow traditional values, and Russia exercises greater control over its borders. The majority of citizens living in Russia believe in Russia and see it as their 'motherland', but in the West, when different cultures are forced to integrate through 'tolerance', the result is often an increase in depravity, and immigrants often retain the idea that their country of origin is their homeland.

8.3.9 Spying

The Ukrainian Security Services (SBU) were actively working with 'Big Tech' to censor anyone who offered a peace solution. Anyone who is a citizen of Ukraine would quickly be imprisoned or would disappear for speaking out against the regime. The CIA was on the ground; this was reminiscent of the failed 'Bay of Pigs' invasion of Communist Cuba in 1961, where the CIA wanted air support for the Bay of Pigs Invasion, but President John F. Kennedy (JFK) refused to authorise it. JFK also refused to authorise 'Operation Northwoods' in 1962; this would have involved CIA operatives committing acts of terrorism against American military and civilian targets so that they could incriminate the Cuban government, and then use that to justify a war against Cuba. The CIA has also (allegedly) developed twelve intelligence

centres in Ukraine (since 2014) after the Obama-Biden administration supported the coup d'état.

8.3.10 Propaganda

Russia may not be angelic either, but rather than receiving preferential treatment via the world's media, the opposite has occurred to them. It is propounded that America (and some other NATO countries) have conducted a PSYOP (Psychological Operation) designed to encourage the population to have disdain for all the Russian people whilst simultaneously developing a love for Zelensky regardless of his actions. Russia had been banned from broadcasting any message while the world media cover up for Ukraine. For example, Zelensky's fabricated story that Russia had bombed Poland and his demand for a preemptive strike on Moscow are a paragon of this. The research conducted has not found any evidence that Russia had deliberately targeted civilians. There is evidence of collateral damage and evidence that Ukrainian air defence had resulted in deaths to civilians. For example, Aleksey Arestovych (the former advisor to the office of the President of Ukraine) stated that a Russian Kh-22 missile had destroyed an apartment block in Ukraine after being hit by a Ukrainian air defence counterattack. This probably resulted in Aleksey Arestovych being forced to resign (in January 2023) in order to protect Ukrainian propaganda. However, there is evidence that Ukraine has used drones in Moscow to target civilians, and Ukraine continues shelling Donetsk (a city in Donbas). For example, an apartment building in Belgorod and at least 27 civilian deaths occurred (which has been condemned by the UN) when Ukraine attacked a busy market on 19th January 2024; moreover, Ukraine also justified killing 28 civilians at a bakery, a few days later, as one of them was the 'Emergencies Minister' of the 'Lugansk People's Republic' (LPR). Unsurprisingly, in Ukraine, Western-weapon supplies were hidden in ordinary buildings; this made dramatic headlines when those buildings were destroyed by Russian precision missiles.

Ukraine, and possibly America too, also used explosives to destroy the Nord Stream gas pipeline, creating an environmental disaster, but certain countries (including Ukraine and China) are exempt from environmental outrage. Preventing Russia from

increasing its oil and gas dominance is likely the main reason why America was supporting Ukraine; in particular, ensuring that Russia did not gain control of 'Naftogaz' and, to a lesser extent, gas companies like Burisma, where Hunter Biden was an executive. Corruption is everywhere in Ukraine, and Naftogaz has a history of allegations of corruption; George Soros has influence over Naftogaz too (as of 2024), so while this war was likely in part due to the new term 'Russiaphobia', and to 'Get Putin', there was also an energy dominance reason, and that reason is not for Ukraine to be energy independent with wind turbines or solar panels.

Ukraine is serious about controlling the media with its propaganda; they assassinated numerous people who were likely on the Myrotvorets list, which is unofficially known as a hit list of Ukraine's enemies. For example, NBC news correspondent Keir Simmons was placed on it for daring to report from Russian-controlled Crimea, and Darya Dugina, whose father is a Russian journalist, was killed by a car bomb near Moscow in 2022. Russian journalists were also targeted and killed when they report from the war zone in Ukraine; the lucky ones just received life in prison. Would this be acceptable if a Ukrainian journalist were targeted in Russia? Ukrainian NGO 'Texty.org.ua' also compiled a list of those who refused to comply with Ukraine's propaganda. Ukraine dehumanises Russian journalists, yet the lives of the average Russian did not change; they travelled to work (like journalists), shopped, and returned home; however, a whole race of people was being demonised. The reason for this is that Ukraine is a strategically positioned country with natural wealth. It does not matter whether Ukraine had the right to shell Donbas since 2014, or whether Russia had the right to invade in order to defend it; the Western support for Ukraine was about preventing Russia from obtaining any control over the natural resources.

Valentin Nalyvaichenko (the previous head of SBU) stated that the SBU engages in assassinations, but this report cannot confirm the list used. The West was either duped into funding Ukraine or it funded Ukraine out of fear of repercussions from the world media; the media appear to approve of Ukraine's acts of terrorism in and around Moscow. However, it is likely that the majority of citizens (in NATO countries) were unaware that funding for Ukraine would be used for terrorism in

Moscow. Even the NGO 'Human Rights Watch' had witnessed the atrocities caused by Ukraine using cluster bombs; these bombs were supplied by the compromised presidency of Joseph Biden as their other weapons stock had been depleted. Other acts of terrorism, likely approved and paid for from within Ukraine, included the killing of at least 145 Russians at the 'Crocus City Hall' (in Moscow) on 22nd March 2024. The jihadist group 'Islamic State Khorasan' (ISIS-K) had officially accepted responsibility for the attack. However, the terrorists, who were likely paid in cryptocurrency, were captured while attempting to enter Ukraine, where allegedly they were due to collect a further payment.

Russia mainly invaded due to NATO expanding further to the east and because the Russian State Duma ruled that the Donbas region needed to be protected following eight years of shelling. NATO countries immediately assisted Zelensky with an endless supply of cash and weapons, and this has enabled him to continue the campaign of shelling Donbas (repelling the invaders) and for Ukraine to invade rural Russia in the Kursk region.

Ukraine had also distorted reality when Zelensky claimed that if Russia was not stopped, then they would attack Europe—a hoax to extort more money. America had also warned Russia against using chemical weapons (perhaps like napalm in Vietnam), but they appeared not to fear Russia's nuclear arsenal—this suggested that they believed Russia would be more restrained than the atomic bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, which was arguably the best solution to force Japan to surrender in World War II. Today's nuclear weapons are significantly more powerful and able to create a nuclear winter. It is propounded that Russia is not seeking to conquer Ukraine for the following reasons: they are not targeting foreign forces (including CIA locations), they only target SBU locations in retaliation, government buildings are not targeted, they are focused on protecting the Donbas region as per the State Duma resolution, they are creating a buffer zone to protect their people from long-range missiles, and they have never rejected any peace talks.

8.3.11 Zelensky The New God

There appears to be a de facto competition occurring between globalist leaders who are each competing to provide the greatest amount of taxpayer-funded weapons and monetary aid to their new embodiment of liberal values in Ukraine. None of these weapons (needed for the Middle East too) and money were accounted for by Ukraine, and Ukrainian officials were well known for their belligerent responses. They instantly branded anyone who suggested peace (up until 2024) or oversight as being a Russian collaborator, including even the Pope and Amnesty International. President Zelensky is likely a billionaire (this is unconfirmed due to offshore accounts) who has refused to share accounting details for the vast global funding that his country received. Additionally, there were many intelligence reports that detailed weapons ending up in the Middle East (perhaps even targeting ships in the Red Sea), so the question has to be: who was profiting from this war? One of the answers, discovered by the SBU, is that defence ministers and an arms company had attempted to steal \$40,000,000 that was allocated for mortar shells. None of this is reported by the media though because every far-left media organisation was promoting this war as a necessity for global democracy, but as it is now known, a liberal or uniparty politician's idea of democracy is very different from the historical value of democracy before the world became connected in the internet age. Furthermore, Russia could produce equivalent shells at a quarter of the cost of NATO, as a result of NATO weapons manufacturers donating to globalist politicians, so Russia's ability to sustain the war had improved.

8.3.12 Zelensky Control

Ukraine's influence over our reality, through attempting to control the media and using internet bots, was a vast propaganda operation. Anyone who published anything that Ukraine did not approve of was quickly added to the 'Myrotvorets' website. Zelensky carefully orchestrates where journalists were allowed to visit in Ukraine, and they were never allowed to report from Russian-controlled areas to prevent balanced reporting. CBS (BBC's American partner) had to retract a story in August 2022 that covered the amount of weapons sent to Ukraine, which ended up in the Middle East. This was so CBS could mitigate the potentially life-threatening

prospect of being added to the Myrotvorets list. A further example of how Zelensky controls journalists is Gonzalo Lira (a dual citizen journalist/blogger of the United States and Chile), who was arrested in May 2023 after reporting the truth, from the ground, about Ukraine. Gonzalo Lira (aged 55) informed the world that the war against Russia could not be won; he developed pneumonia in October 2023 and died (in prison) after Ukraine did not treat his illness in January 2024.

However, since then, the media have attempted to overshadow the death of Gonzalo Lira following the death of Alexei Navalny (Russian opposition leader), who died in a Russian prison. In 2015, Russia banned left-wing NGOs. Allegedly, Alexei Navalny was involved with or worked for Soros's 'Open Society Foundations', and he was an ally of the Obama administration when Hillary Clinton was Secretary of State. Alexei Navalny was considered an ally because he called for sanctions against Russia, his own country. It is undetermined whether Alexei Navalny was working for the CIA, but he was sentenced to two-and-a-half years imprisonment in Russia, where he died while taking a walk within the Arctic Circle where he was imprisoned, which the media branded as murder, but in reality, he died of a blood clot.

Another example of propaganda was when human rights chief 'Lyudmila Denisova' created unsubstantiated reports of sexual assaults by Russian soldiers. This was too much for even the Ukrainian parliament (Verkhovna Rada) to ignore, so Lyudmila Denisova was fired in May 2022. It later emerged that her source was a 'psychological hotline' (operated by her daughter 'Alexandra Kvitko') that was established in collaboration with Lyudmila Denisova's human-rights office and UNICEF; the hotline allegedly operated without accountability or proper records.

Even the mayor of Kyiv (Vitaly Klitschko) knew Zelensky ran 'repression of free media'. This also extended outside Ukraine, with the majority of the world's media being only allowed to report the small successes Ukraine had in the war whilst ignoring all the death and territorial losses they incurred.

8.3.13 The Making of Zelensky

Ihor Kolomoyskyi (Ukrainian oligarch) has a controlling stake in 1+1 Media Group (around 70%). It is this media company that in 2015 created a television series called 'Servant of the People', and Volodymyr Zelenskyy was picked for the lead role. The TV series was about a school teacher who defied expectations by campaigning against corruption in Ukraine to become president. The successful TV series, which was likely designed to provide a comical look at actual Ukrainian politics, ran until 2019. 'Servant of the People' was marketed more extensively than any other television show in order to ensure that there was widespread viewership. In 2018, members of Zelensky's own production company (Kvartal 95 Studio) registered a new political party called 'Servant of the People', the same name as his successful TV show.

In 2019, Zelensky (likely the world's only billionaire actor) successfully beat President Poroshenko (who had been president since the 2014 coup and responsible for pushing the Russian separatists into Donbas during the 'War in Donbas') to become president of Ukraine; Zelensky achieved 73% of the vote in the second round. Zelensky, like his TV character, ran on a platform of anti-corruption, but in reality, never delivered on the promise. President Poroshenko viewed Zelensky as Kolomoyskyi's candidate, and the legitimacy of this might have been because Zelensky removed officials from power, once elected, that always appeared to benefit Kolomoyskyi's interests. The United States opened a criminal investigation against Ihor Kolomoyskyi in 2020. In 2022, with the outbreak of war against Russia, this presented the perfect opportunity for Zelensky to obviate Kolomoyskyi's Ukrainian citizenship (and seize all his assets), leaving Kolomoyskyi with only Israeli and Cypriot citizenship; furthermore, in 2023, the Security Service of Ukraine (SBU) had Ihor Kolomoyskyi, the man who likely created President Zelensky, arrested. Ihor Kolomoyskyi was not just involved in helping President Zelensky; he also had a controlling stake in Burisma, which was the gas company that was paying Vice President (at the time) Joseph Biden's son, Hunter Biden, \$50,000 a month (enough money to support several families for a year in Ukraine).

8.3.14 Biden Profits

It is of no great surprise that Joseph Biden had frequently visited Ukraine as Obama's 'point man', when he was vice president, and his son Hunter Biden received a salary of up to \$1 million a year from energy company Burisma, despite having no experience in energy. As President Barack Obama said “Don’t underestimate Joe’s ability to f*** things up”. Joseph Biden arranged to have the prosecutor Viktor Shokin (who was investigating Burisma) fired in March 2016 when he was Vice President; this resulted in the likelihood that Joseph Biden was a compromised president. Moreover, the intelligence agencies, the media and the social media companies all colluded to prevent the American people from knowing this information during the 2020 presidential election cycle. If Joseph and Hunter Biden's business dealings were legitimate (or if Hunter Biden was working for the CIA to increase gas capacity in Ukraine in order to reduce the output of state-owned Gazprom in Russia), then why did they create 20 shell companies? And why did Joseph Biden allegedly operate under three different pseudonyms, for example, Robert L. Peters? The answer (allegedly) is so that Joe Biden could communicate with Hunter Biden's business partners in secret. The National Archives have over 5,000 emails from Joseph Biden's alias, but unlike President Trump, they are protecting Joseph Biden and not co-operating with releasing all of the evidence. Ukraine would have all the records of the alleged bribery money he had received, and if he did not continue wire transferring billions of dollars in so-called monetary aid, then they would likely have released the details.

This constant propaganda in Ukraine might also explain why Joseph Biden made no comment about China building a 'spy base' in Cuba. China is another master of propaganda, and they are another country that allegedly paid Biden millions of dollars when he was Vice President. However, the solution to this war was simple: the two Soviet-era countries need to be responsible for their own peace deal; the world cannot be responsible if Zelensky had not fulfilled his election promise to bring peace (end the shelling) to the Donbas region.

It is not just the Biden family that has profited from Ukraine; the Biden donors are now lining up to be part of rebuilding Ukraine. The Biden administration paid the 'World Bank' billions of dollars during his time in office, and in turn, they paid some of that to the 'Clinton Foundation' in order to rebuild Ukraine. The Biden administration appointed the former Commerce Secretary, under Obama, and Democrat donor 'Penny Pritzker' (whose family manages the 'Hyatt Hotels Corporation') to assist in coordinating private sector rebuilding in Ukraine. The one thing the Biden administration, their donors and the Clintons have not engaged in, and will likely never support, is advocating for peace; in fact, the antithesis is true. War is profitable, and the longer a war goes on, the more there will be to rebuild.

8.3.15 Ukraine Conclusions

The idea that the West would one day be funding one ex-Soviet country to fight another would have appeared unthinkable thirty years ago. However, if the globalist politicians can convince the population (through the media) that Ukraine was morally superior, then that would allow the blueprint of Ukrainian democracy to be implemented in Western countries, including America, under the Democratic Party. Unfortunately, hundreds of thousands of Ukrainians were being sacrificed to a superior Russian army because of this globalist ideology, and the perception of reality was altered because the mainstream media informed their viewers that Ukraine was winning the war when this was not the reality. The West, especially Britain and America (under Joseph Biden), would not admit that they had been duped by Zelensky and the Azov battalion. As the West becomes poorer, from implementing sanctions and funding the war, Russia had been upgraded to a 'high income' country by the World Bank.

As the big Ukrainian 'counteroffensive' was lost, Britain and America resorted to sending long-range cruise missiles to Ukraine. This was to assist Ukraine (in escalating the war) by providing them with the ability to fire missiles into Russia in order to generate news headlines that portrayed Ukraine as winning, but they were not winning, with around 600,000 dead so far (2024), and that figure will likely increase to over a million people before the war has any prospect of ending. This had

also resulted in Russia changing its objectives in the war as they needed to create a demilitarisation zone (in Ukraine) in order to protect their towns and cities from long-range rockets like the U.S. ATACMS (Army Tactical Missile Systems) missiles, which have a range of around 185 miles (and have already resulted in civilian deaths in Crimea) and the British-supplied 'Storm Shadow' missiles (range of around 150 miles). Russia was now working towards ensuring that those missiles could not be placed within 185 miles of Russian territory, especially as Joseph Biden authorised the targeting of these missiles within Russia in November 2024, and this was quickly followed by France authorising their SCALP-EG cruise missiles to target inside Russia; the UK equally had not objected to using its missiles inside Russia. Furthermore, Zelensky/Ukraine were seeking Tomahawk Cruise missiles (from Joseph Biden at the time of this report) with a range of 1500 miles. The Biden-Harris administration had also discussed supplying nuclear weapons to Ukraine. One of the main reasons for this war was that Russia would not accept NATO (on their doorstep) or the possibility of nuclear weapons positioned by their border. Therefore, it was unsurprising that Russia responded to missile attacks within their territory by launching a new hypersonic (Oreshnik) ballistic missile (with multiple warheads) travelling at Mach 10 (over 7,500 mph), which they started to mass-produce; these can also carry nuclear warheads.

To any intelligent White House administration, it would be obvious that whatever weapons are authorised by America, the UK or France, then a larger-scale weapon would be deployed in return in order for the Russian government to defend their territory. Therefore, the recommendation in this report is that a peace deal is the only solution before an escalation into nuclear war. When governments deal with Ukraine, they should prudently remember that the nicest person they will ever meet is a conman. Allegedly, American and French soldiers have already died in Ukraine when those countries are officially not involved in direct conflict with Russia. It is hypothesised that nuclear war would have been unavoidable (due to the increase in missile sizes) without the re-election of Donald J. Trump as president in the United States. Therefore, honest elections, are important for world security and in order to achieve peace. This is why unelected Zelensky (his term has expired) must also hold free and fair elections.

Even before the latest authorisation (from Joseph Biden) to launch missiles into Russia, the war had already escalated several times. At the beginning of the war, the citizens of NATO countries were sold on the idea that funding Ukraine was to repel an invading army and that Ukraine would not actually be attempting to invade Russia. However, NATO (the ever-increasingly political organisation) approved F-16 fighter-jet use inside Russia, which was announced on the two-year anniversary of the war, to presumably celebrate another long war that could have been prevented if their peace deal was not interrupted. This may result in Russia revising their strategy again in order to protect themselves from random F-16 attacks inside Russia. This further demonstrates that the more Ukraine sought any solution other than peace, the greater amount of its country would be lost to Russian control.

All of this is politics though (at the expense of peace) for Biden's Washington, as Russia would reciprocate with larger missiles to counter F-16 and cruise-missile threats; moreover, Russia was expanding its army (with volunteers) at a rate never witnessed before, whereas Ukraine had resorted to lowering the conscription age to 25 (the Biden-Harris administration wanted over 18s), drafting the over-50s and drafting their prisoners just to replace some of their losses. Ukraine was unlikely to win even if every NATO country entered the war; moreover, as a final resort, Russia may trigger a nuclear war if its sovereignty was threatened, especially as Russia updated its 'Nuclear Doctrine' in September 2024 to include the following: drone strikes (Ukraine frequently launched drones at Moscow that Russia intercepted) and the ability to launch nuclear weapons against a country that is backed by a nuclear power (i.e. Ukraine backed by NATO countries), so Russia was unstoppable. DEI indoctrination is so prolific in the West now that the most qualified person for the job is not necessarily the one hired. These unqualified Western leaders, politicians and civil servants were incapable of realising that a global nuclear war would only last a few minutes and have the potential to kill billions. If NATO were free from political influence, then it would pursue a peace deal that addresses the concerns Russia has had since at least as early as 2014, and NATO would ensure that free and fair elections are held in Ukraine because the replacement of Zelensky is the only way to ensure lasting peace in Ukraine.

9. History

Unless the population is prepared to write/accept the truth, then the fabrication replaces reality in the history books. The fabricated truth is being disseminated by NGOs, political donors, corporations, media, Hollywood, schools and others. The Wikipedia Foundation website, for example, lists the term 'Russia' 82 times, despite the 'Durham Report' exonerating him, on Donald J. Trump's Wikipedia page; by the same token, Joseph Robinette Biden has zero mentions of election fraud, zero mentions of bribery and zero mentions of selling access around the world, so these are examples of either disinformation or misinformation, depending on the motivation of the authors of those web pages at the time of this report.

9.1 Historical Reality

Historical reality can be altered too. As the media and social media report and normalise 'Woke' and cancel culture, so do the search engines. As 'Woke' and 'Cancel Culture' ideology spreads, the number of web pages that cover the revised reality increases as the search engine providers cooperate to provide them with greater prominence. Academic researchers, who use the internet, are then shown results from a cancel culture perspective more frequently as the internet becomes populated with it. What the children can read and what they must read is increasingly falling under the control of far-left teachers and professors who also write the history books that contain the historical facts that are believed to be true. Even with someone who is not an extremist, with far-left views, it can often be difficult to remove bias from writing. Now this problem is compounded when a far-left academic deliberately introduces their spin on a subject in order to add credibility to their distorted far-left beliefs. All that filters back into the lives of children in a society.

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) on the internet also means that these computer programs can be manipulated to provide a false sense of reality. For example, Google's Gemini (formerly Bard), which was advertised as being able to create 'captivating images', instead chose to display a diverse range of 'Black people' when

requesting images of historic kings, emperors, and even Nazi members, so this is a further example of how diversity over merit, or diversity for virtue-signalling, produces an unintended effect that has made their artificial intelligence appear like a simpleton. In time, Google will likely reintroduce the image feature to allow a selective number of 'White people' to appear in images where no other colour group would be relevant, but as of 2024, this feature has been disabled, with perhaps the development team taking a course on inclusion rather than exclusion. Google's Gemini AI is also (allegedly) programmed with the latest 'woke' terminology. For example, a paedophile was programmed as being a 'minor-attracted person'. This deliberate manipulation of AI, at Google, likely stems from the way that those who actively donate to left-leaning politicians (and manipulate search engine results to influence elections) use 'Black people' as victim groups in order to garner votes. Forcing a population to accept depravity, e.g. normalising paedophilia, is also another form of control that leads to power for a select few, but Google is not the only AI that is attempting to manipulate reality. Microsoft Copilot has confirmed it is acceptable to teach DEI to nursery school children as well as a whole range of other inappropriate subjects. AI will likely be recognised by the world as the ultimate source of wisdom in a liberal dystopia, so how these programs learn is important for the future reality of humanity. Furthermore, in the future, social media will become irrelevant as AI "bots", which already exist, will reply to all posts that they disagree with in order to delegitimise the subject being discussed.

The internet was privatised in 1991, so this became the new tool to bypass state media in order to topple regimes around the world. For example, the Arab Spring in the early 2010s, whereby intelligence agencies and the Military-Industrial Complex (MIC) encouraged social media gatherings in order to topple governments. There was suddenly no need to defeat the military of a country in battle—this could all be achieved by encouraging an uprising on social media that would be less expensive and would cost fewer lives. However, NATO and intelligence agencies needed a way to control the free speech they were advocating to ensure that only certain governments were toppled for their purpose. For example, toppling Western governments and replacing them with populist governments might lead to NATO being disbanded, so democracy needed to be controlled. This is what gave birth to

online censorship on social media platforms. Social media algorithms were implemented that could instantly delete or 'provide free speech, but not free reach' (effectively shadow banning a user or just certain social media posts).

After Donald Trump was elected in 2016, which the MIC and intelligence agencies did not anticipate, it was likely desirable (to those that wished to ensure their democracy prevailed) that censorship needed to be implemented in the world to control elections, and as Donald Trump had falsely been branded a Russian asset (perpetrated by Hillary Clinton and the Western intelligence agencies performing a 'bump' on Trump associates), this still fell under the remit of only conducting military and intelligence operations against foreign adversaries. Therefore, the censorship of the Hunter Biden laptop, in order to manipulate a domestic election in 2020, could be performed because Donald Trump had falsely been branded a Russian agent. Other agencies like the 'Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency' (CISA), which is part of the United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS), have also requested social media posts be obviated under the guise of disinformation. Donald Trump managed to be elected in 2016 by circumventing the mainstream media that hated him, and the media also had a long history of co-operation between the intelligence agencies, NGOs, military and politicians. As a result of Donald Trump attempting to highlight that the 2020 election was anything but 'the most secure ever', it then became the responsibility of social media companies to remove Donald Trump from all platforms and to censor any social media posts that questioned the legitimacy of the 2020 election.

9.2 Online Encyclopaedias

Another example, where reality is altered (on Wikipedia), is a fabricated photograph of an African-American man hanging from a tree. This was likely adapted to stir up racial tension as an example of 'lynching'. The lynching photograph was likely produced with a hidden harness and a chain compared to a traditional rope from a tree. An explanation for the disparity in truth might be that Google is the largest funder of Wikipedia. The manipulation of Wikipedia does not end there, though.

Larry Sanger (Wikipedia co-founder) stated that Wikipedia is 'propaganda' for the left-leaning 'establishment point of view'. He went on to say “there’s a global enforcement of a certain point of view on issues like Covid”. Larry Sanger also discussed Republicans and is quoted as saying “if you want to have anything remotely resembling the Republican point of view about Biden, you’re not going to get it from the article”. Larry Sanger further stated that “there’s a lot of Nobel Prize winners and distinguished doctors whose views are not only not welcome on Wikipedia—they’re literally censored on YouTube and sometimes Facebook and Twitter because they contradict the narrative”.

9.3 Alternative History

It is also known that the FBI and CIA use automated programs to update Wikipedia, which does raise the question as to whether anything can be accurate if it is updated by a secret security agency. Conservative news is almost always marked as 'conspiracy theory' on Wikipedia, with usually a fabricated reason provided. However, the far-left news websites (where the majority of news stories are fabricated) are celebrated in this left-leaning encyclopaedia that provides a demonstrable bias; this homogeneous bias is also demonstrable in other encyclopaedias, including Britannica. The fact is that what people read makes a big difference to their reality, and if large technology companies, research companies, media, intelligence agencies, the Military-Industrial Complex (MIC), left-leaning governments and academics—to name a few—all collude to promote a far-left script, then this is having a detrimental effect on a society that is acquiescing to a far-left reality in order to appease a growing number of globalists. The consequences for all of this are that a manipulated reality is updated in the history books to distort the perception of reality for future generations.

10. Social Media

10.1 Free Speech

In a connected world, there is empirical evidence that social media is the de facto police force that has an endless supply of constables ready to report and demand compliance with a globalist regime. Social media has a method of conveying the illusion that there is free speech on its platforms; this is known as 'Free speech, not reach'. The idea that free speech is something that can be restricted may have its provenance in colleges and universities. For example, Harvard earned a ranking of zero for free speech from the 'Foundation for Individual Rights and Expression' (FIRE), yet it was Harvard Law School that published a journal article from President Joseph Biden, which allegedly contained large sections of plagiarism, suggesting that some voices of those without compunction would always be heard. A restriction on free speech is acceptable when criminality is involved, but in all probability, this method of censorship will only be utilised to limit a view that dissents from a social media company's innate beliefs. Anything classed as being indisputably factual, e.g. Covid-vaccine concerns and election-integrity issues, will never be permitted a conduit for discussion on a far-left-controlled social media platform.

Social media websites increasingly use the services of external fact-checking organisations. For example, Facebook used George Soros's funded 'Poynter' (this was discontinued after Donald Trump was re-elected in November 2024). These organisations then use the political or media rhetoric as the standard for truth, so everything else is marked as false. It is the same censorship of the truth, but the disinformation becomes more convincing to the unsuspecting reader. Social media outrage is created often by students or in response to news stories; the media are obsequious to the Democratic Party in America, who in turn control the rhetoric of global news. A student with a million social media followers would be far more likely to receive an invitation to appear on a news network than someone with expertise on a certain subject. Therefore, the adulation of achieving 'followers' is seen as a greater

elevation in current society (up to 2024) than achieving credentials; depravity and extremist views are elevated over someone with specialist knowledge that could be contradictory to the news rhetoric.

10.2 Manipulation

Sometimes corporations work to restrict the use of social media in order to control globalist views. For example, Truth Social (mainly Republican/Conservative users) had notable signup issues with some email-providing corporations. The research conducted demonstrated that two global email providers deliberately withheld verification emails from the Truth Social platform, thus preventing accounts from being created. It is one thing for email providers to mark an email as SPAM, but the emails from Truth Social were not even appearing in the SPAM folder as they were completely blocked. Email account holders could have a whole SPAM folder full of money scams and fake hair-growth products, yet a Truth Social email is more dangerous to a Big Tech company as it might awaken someone to the 'Truth'.

Additional manipulation included the following: 'Media Matters' (an NGO funded by Soros that monitors right-wing media only). 'Media Matters' allegedly created fake accounts on X, formerly Twitter, then followed neo-nazis on 'X' and refreshed those pages (on X.com) until advertisements appeared. They allegedly repeated this over and over whilst taking screenshots to send to those advertisers demanding that they boycott X.com. However, why have real journalists been unable to recreate this? No adverts were shown when replicating the aforementioned (alleged) steps. 'Free speech' is a powerful tool, as it even covers lying, but NOT fraud against a company. This led X-Corp to file a lawsuit against 'Media Matters'; the Missouri and Texas attorney generals are now investigating 'Media Matters'. The 'boycott tool' has been used too often (by those on the left side of politics) to instil autocratic demands on society.

Another area where social media alters reality is through social media influencers who are approached, usually by those on the left side of politics, to do a segment that

legitimises a new area of extremism. The unsuspecting viewers, who look up to the influencers, are then unwittingly duped into accepting extremism.

The ability for social media platforms to function is controlled by advertising; no advertisers equals no revenue. The 'Global Alliance for Responsible Media' (GARM), created by the 'World Federation of Advertisers' (WFA), likely deliberately targeted Twitter (later rebranded as 'X') when the ownership of 'X' changed. Suddenly, the platform was not controlled by the far left, so now the objectives of GARM could be erroneously applied to brand 'X' as having 'harmful content'. Arguably, the censorship that existed before the change of ownership had far greater harm implications. The owner of 'X' (Elon Musk) filed an antitrust lawsuit against GARM, which controls around 90% of world advertising, in August 2024; since this action, the WFA has announced that they will discontinue GARM. Therefore, this illustrates the duplicitous boycott methods that are being attempted and co-ordinated between large corporations to ensure that other companies comply with far-left ideology.

10.3 Civil War

Social media is the modern-day 'Civil War' where, predominantly liberals, seek to cancel people online (rub out/delete/erase/terminate) in order to win the culture wars battle. Therefore, the prominent voices are often from the weakest members of society, who lack achievement and have a wish to become 'online bullies'. The lack of intelligence these social media users exhibit, often from their basement lairs, cannot excuse them from the irreparable damage they instil upon society when their equally weak 'followers' (and the lazy story-hunting media) demand the degradation of culture and morality.

10.4 Inciting Violence

Social Media is also often a tool for inciting violence or hatred; for example, hate speech was expressed against all of the Russian people when war broke out against Ukraine in 2022. Terrorist organisations have also recruited members through social

media, and these websites have been a platform for delivering propaganda by authoritarian regimes throughout the world. Environmental-extremist groups have been able to mobilise, disrupt society and cause criminal damage; moreover, other protests, including those for or against illegal immigration, are also prevalent. This often escalates through social media to include posts that encourage criminality—particularly violence. Many Western countries (e.g. the United Kingdom) now employ a substantial number of police officers to police the digital world. It could be argued that the terms and conditions of social media already prohibit posts that are hate speech and/or incite violence. A less human-intensive solution would be to require social media companies to act quicker in removing extremist posts because policing the minds of people through social media will only ever require a growing number of resources with little real-world impact. The implementation of quicker social media moderation could arguably be achieved with the assistance of Artificial Intelligence (AI) that is able to process the content faster and mark the post for priority moderation. The idea that police are policing social media, instead of being available to halt criminality the moment it occurs, is making the streets less safe and creating a culture where criminals can act with impunity.

Social media can successfully adopt the responsibility of policing their platforms more effectively, even under threat of financial penalty, because they have already demonstrated their ability to achieve this with the co-ordinated censoring of the Hunter Biden laptop story in 2020. Social media companies manipulated reality by falsely taking the position that Hunter Biden's laptop (containing a trove of criminality by the Biden family) was 'Russian disinformation' in order to elect Joseph Biden as the president. Therefore, if social media companies can select the president of the free world, then they can police all hate speech with greater effectiveness.

10.5 Connected World

Social media also has its external controllers, including foreign powers. Foreign powers have influence over all aspects of 'The Reality Circle', including the following: corporations, schools (Chinese funding of 143 districts in 34 states in America and

ownership of private schools in the United Kingdom), Hollywood (movie dialogue propaganda), media, shops/stores (e.g. computer games), NGOs, globalist politicians and certainly social media. Foreign powers frequently demand the obviation of social media posts that do not comply with their government's propaganda. Governments like the Joseph Biden White House and all other government agencies that engage in malevolent influence (known as the 'Deep State') also attempt to exert control over social media. For example, White House digital-strategy director 'Rob Flaherty' attempted to force Facebook to change its algorithm in order to promote left-leaning media organisations like 'The New York Times'. Pavel Durov (founder of Telegram) appears to have been imprisoned by France (in August 2024) for not censoring social media accounts. Pavel Durov had previously left Russia, several years earlier, when the Russian government had attempted to control Telegram—a platform also used by the Kremlin; Western countries were outraged at the time.

The management echelon of social media companies is often infected by depravity; their websites offer the ability to connect with terrorists, plan mass looting and connect with drug dealers, but they are quick to block anyone with a dissenting view. The executive level of these companies professes to know everything about wars, pharmaceuticals, morality and politics, and they are quick to silence anyone that does not agree with their vision for a new world order. A connected world is by far the greatest 'existential threat' to the planet, yet the globalists pursue a fallacy that it is 'Climate Change' based on the presentation of distorted bar charts and tenuous arguments from selective scientists. Consider the following question: are humans more likely to become extinct in the future from being roasted by the sun (global warming), frozen by a lack of sun (global cooling), weather changes (climate change), space impact (asteroid or comet) or by nuclear war (bad government policies)?

11. Media

11.1 Ten things the media say, and what they really mean

- 1) “Tension is escalating”: We see an opportunity to milk this story.
- 2) “We have not been able to independently verify”: It’s true, but we would rather it were not.
- 3) “Our source(s)”: Internet posts from unknown users.
- 4) “The truth is”: The following will be fabricated nonsense, which will be unnecessarily long, as we attempt to convince you that our versions of events are true.
- 5) “We are on the ground reporting”: Some dictator has determined where we are allowed to report.
- 6) “We now turn to our correspondent in the area for the latest”: There will be nothing new; otherwise, the broadcast would have already been interrupted with the breaking news.
- 7) “We now turn to our expert”: An out-of-work lunatic with a Hollywood-obsessed complex.
- 8) “The results are head-to-head”: Preferred candidate losing.
- 9) “Largely peaceful”: The lefty lunatics are burning the city to the ground.
- 10) “There is no real evidence of that”: Massive evidence that does not support the narrative, so it is branded a 'conspiracy theory'.

The third President of the United States (Thomas Jefferson) said “The man who reads nothing at all is better educated than the man who reads nothing but newspapers”. There are over a billion classified documents within Washington and the intelligence services in America, so it is important to understand that the media, as well as having its own agenda (dictated by its owners), is also unaware of what the reality of the world is. The only classified information they receive is when Washington or the intelligence agencies deliberately leak a piece of intelligence for political purposes.

11.2 Rhetoric

The first point to absorb is that the news media, particularly the large news corporations, are globalist empires, so their reporting is often not supportive of issues that are in the national interest of any particular country. The media are the number one facilitators of disinformation and misinformation. Firstly, they engage in disinformation by professing to be experts in military strategy, Covid, election integrity, criminology, science and jurisprudence, and all of this cannot be true. Secondly, they engage in misinformation, for example, even if they are permitted to report in the area where the news event occurred, local officials often dictate exactly where they can report from in order to ensure reporting is manipulated. This was particularly true in Ukraine when President Zelensky ruled with an iron fist (members of the press were restricted to certain areas), and they were absolutely forbidden to report from any Russian-controlled areas. So, this is an example of misinformation as the facts being portrayed by the news reporter are one-sided facts masquerading as complete impartial news coverage, and this cannot be true if reporting areas are being dictated to by a particular regime. This conceivably could be disinformation too as maybe (in a war) the undesirable side is winning, but it is not the role of a news reporter to ignore this and focus on a localised success of the side they encourage the viewer to support because that is disinformation.

Even when the media are reporting from the scene, they will often interview multiple 'sources' until they select and air the one person that has something abhorrent to

convey that supports their rhetoric. The media then inform their unsuspecting viewers that there is 'growing tension this evening'. The danger of the media is their power over people who have no understanding of the concept that the media decides the rhetoric long before the reporting, so this results in a news report (with a predetermined rhetoric) being broadcast by someone who did not witness anything, and has little knowledge or understanding of the subject, and this is branded as impartial and informative news. This is particularly prevalent in the United States (under Joseph Biden), Canada and Europe, but it is a worldwide problem where the reality is greatly different from the rhetoric. Fear of 'critical thinking' prevents most viewers from observing the true reality.

11.3 Bias

Even the executive level of a news organisation is ultimately accountable and required to follow the views of the owners of the news company. For example, the head of news broadcaster CNN (Chris Licht) was likely fired from his position (in June 2023) not because CNN's ratings have continued to decline but because he allowed former President Donald Trump to participate in a town hall live on air. The idea may well have been to obliterate Donald Trump. However, as expected, ratings went up for that time slot, which is good for the network. The town hall presenter's attempt to destroy Donald Trump backfired, and instead, he regularly received cheers of support from the audience. Many probably recall that the other left and far-left news organisations all briefly turned on CNN for allowing Donald Trump to be seen in a favourable light. This same scenario occurred again in June 2024 when Donald Trump debated Joseph Biden (before Biden dropped out). For many, who were not regularly watching Joseph Biden, it was the first time they had witnessed his cognitive decline. Other news outlets again turned on CNN—they vehemently demanding that CNN be closed for not assisting Joseph Biden with the debate; during the 2020 election cycle, it was revealed that Joseph Biden had been provided with the questions in advance. This highlights the problem with the far-left media—they are not allowed to report the truth or a perspective that is different from that held by the media company bosses.

Another example is the dismissal of two employees from an NBC affiliate news outlet in Michigan because the reporters suggested covering both perspectives at a 'Pride Event'; a different perspective might consider whether equality is achieved if certain groups dedicate a whole month to projecting their differences. Regardless of view, this further demonstrates that the left news channels would only tolerate one view, and that is their view. The news rhetoric is often set at the management level of news organisations that wish to promote a far-left agenda, and compliance is also stipulated by advertisers (from liberal-controlled companies) who will withdraw advertising spending from news channels that do not follow the approved rhetoric.

This biased coverage in the media does not only originate from those that control the media companies or the globalists and politicians—it is also influenced by foreign powers. This can be in the form of social media stories or actual foreign agents influencing the news. For example, Agent Vora (her codename) was born in the communist-controlled 'Czech Republic'. Agent Vora moved to the UK and became the BBC head of the Slovak section (in the 1980s), where she regularly vetoed news stories that did not fit the 'rhetoric'. Fake news (sensationalising) and bias have always been prevalent in the news media since its conception, but it is only lately, through the introduction of 24-hour news and ubiquitous electronic devices that receive the news, where those that digest the news will defend the credibility of news stories to the point of appearing psychotic. In many ways, the media has an endless supply of 'agents of propaganda'. News organisations can never truly be 'impartial' though when they receive government funding, e.g. BBC, or grants from foundations. For example, the BBC receives grants from the 'Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation' and from IGOs (UN agencies); this (allegedly) determines the narrative and rhetoric that is disseminated by the BBC and other news organisations in receipt of these grants. The credibility of news sources has also diminished in recent years—sources are often social media posts or search engine searches.

Another example of an agent influencing the news is 'Agent Hammer' (Cynthia Roberts), who was a failed Labour Party candidate in the 1979 UK election. She was recruited by the Czech Intelligence Service (which had links to the KGB), and Agent

Hammer produced a fake pamphlet aimed at destroying Margaret Thatcher's Conservative government. The pamphlet contained information about a fake citizen who had suffered under the Conservative government due to their policies. The media were happy to disseminate this, but the plan failed. There is undoubtedly still significant foreign influence prevalent (as that is the nature of spying) in all news networks, as foreign powers seek to obtain an ever-increasing stake in Western news propaganda.

Today, the likely alleged bias at the BBC and other networks stems from the American news networks. Not only do these networks implement racist and DEI recruitment policies, which influence the ideology of the news networks, but they were no longer required to be impartial since the 'FCC Fairness Doctrine' was revoked in 1987. The fairness doctrine (in the United States) had been in force since 1949—requiring news to provide both sides of all their stories. The BBC likely celebrated the 10th anniversary of the removal of this doctrine in 1997 by launching 24-hour news known as 'BBC News 24'. Since the removal of the fairness doctrine, the impartiality of all news networks declined. For example, MSNBC solely supports Democratic politicians, with no impartiality, as it is a niche news channel; this is why after morning host Joe Scarborough visited Donald Trump (after Trump's landslide election result in November 2024), the viewership for the show drastically declined—viewers of the programme demand the distortion in reality be maintained. Moreover, the BBC allowed twelve guests, in a row, on their network to likely influence viewers into believing that there was no support for BREXIT—the referendum was still successful, and the United Kingdom left the European Union (January 2020) to regain its sovereignty. The distortion of reality, portrayed by the media, is why elections in the West often finish with an almost even-split vote; the media misinform people for ratings and profit.

In America, in particular, removing the 'FCC Fairness Doctrine' allowed news networks to become profitable. The 'news business' could thrive again by creating addictive rhetoric and attracting advertisers for the extended broadcasting slots. The 'FCC Political Programming Rule' does still exist, though, and this applies to major political elections, so presidential candidates should be offered equal air time, but in

reality, this does not happen; the Democrat presidential candidate is always favoured and better treated by the networks that subscribe to far-left ideology.

The end of impartiality was not limited to TV stations—radio stations also became impartial in their news coverage. NPR (National Public Radio), which serves around 1,000 radio stations in America, has demonstrable bias as it was found to have 87 registered Democrat voters working there and zero Republicans. Radio can be a powerful tool that manipulates listeners (e.g. during their commute to and from work) at a time when they are seeking relaxation. Therefore, if the whole network, broadcasting all around the country, is receiving the same news content from a non-profit media organisation that is run by 87 registered Democrat voters, who are likely determined to prevent the re-election of any Republican candidates, then the result is a manipulation of reality and election interference.

Public relations (propaganda) companies also influence the rhetoric of the media as they employ many ex-employees from media empires. All of this alters our perception of reality if journalists are only permitted to cover a single perspective. This is because it conditions the viewer to believe that the projected view of morality, from journalists, represents the entire population. This leads to the conclusion that there is no objectivity in the news business. The future of journalism is also moving towards AI (Artificial Intelligence), whereby AI programs will write future news stories; this will enable the biased to be cemented in the news industry due to the bias that is written into these programs by their creators.

11.4 Fact Checking

Fact-checking websites also manipulate reality; for example, 'Snopes' uses an indicator of 'unproven' on any article that is detrimental to left-wing politics. This obviously suggests, to the unsuspecting reader, that the story is untrue. This is similar to 'Poynter' checking social media. The effect of this is to reduce the credibility of a story, and as the sole arbiter (of what qualifies a story to become 'true'), it means that a story can remain 'unproven' in perpetuity. For example, it took

Ashley Biden (the daughter of President Joseph Biden) to personally state that her diary was true—containing the known line ‘showers w/my dad (probably not appropriate)’—before Snopes updated their rating for the article, which was revised from ‘unproven’ to ‘true’.

11.5 Woke

The Associated Press (AP) has now produced guidelines for journalists to encourage the use of correct pronouns when writing their articles. This is required to placate a new transgender victim group who had no idea that they were a victim group until the Democratic Party in America divided the country again to garner votes. This in turn facilitated an erosion of national identity and cultural values, which further led to an exponential rise in mental health cases in Western countries. Further guidelines from the AP include capitalising the words “Black’ and ‘Brown’ when referring to people, but leaving the words in lowercase when referring to the actual colour of an inanimate object. However, the word ‘White’ must be left in lowercase whether referring to people or the actual colour in order to help provoke racial hatred; there is empirical evidence that the media frequently stokes up racism. The AP likely received these directives as a condition of a grant they receive.

News organisations receive grants from organisations that promote ideas like climate change (this is why the number of articles about climate change is so prevalent), and also foreign companies provide grants to many news organisations, leading to the idea that perhaps ‘The News’ is not as impartial as they claim. The disingenuous concern the media have for the Democratic Party’s created victim group detracts from the Democratic Party’s globalist agenda; it also helps accumulate Democrat votes as a result of the media’s perceived benevolence that they portray on their news channels. The Democratic Party operates like a cult where any disloyalty is reprehensible. The population needs to repudiate the news channels and newspapers that disseminate this far-left ideology that is condoned by the Democratic Party. The media are also ubiquitous online, and this has led to the rise of news-rating agencies like ‘NewsGuard’. However, these rating agencies have become arbiters of truth, even

though they have held false narrative beliefs on important issues such as Covid. This has led to higher ratings being awarded to news stories that actively spread misinformation and perhaps even disinformation. Advertisers have also placed pressure on rating agencies to lower the ratings of news websites that do not align with their ideological views. This further demonstrates how the whole, connected, malevolent forces of the world help to disseminate a narrative that alters our perception of reality.

11.6 News Ownership

The majority of U.S. media are owned by corporations such as 'Comcast Corporation'. Comcast is controlled by 'Brian L. Roberts', a supporter of the Democratic Party. Comcast is the largest multinational American telecommunications and media conglomerate. Therefore, media channels including NBC, CNBC and MSNBC are all synced with the same left-leaning political script; Comcast also owns Sky News in the United Kingdom. This interaction and global ownership of various media companies ensure a consistent global rhetoric that influences our perception of reality. The majority of news in the world is never covered; for example, not all wars are covered. The media often control the political debates and can easily exclude political candidates from their airtime if they do not support the ideology of the media company. Media organisations, e.g. MSNBC and CNN, regularly censored every President Trump speech; for example, no criticism is ever allowed of the Democratic Party, so anyone, including President Trump, who attempts to convey the corruption in the Democratic Party has their live event terminated. The part that has been cut away is usually the important news that the media company is deliberately withholding from their viewers, so news channels should be held in contempt when live speeches are cut for deliberately manipulating world events. The media prefer to convey to the viewers their own version of the speech after the event; for example, preventing illegals from entering a country is rebranded as anti-immigration. Consider why news organisations, many of them with far-left bosses (or Chinese funding), would not want their viewers to hear the unfiltered news of a Donald Trump speech? Donald Trump is censored almost as

often as someone expressing Christian views on these media networks. This was all by design though; following Donald Trump's win in 2016, the legacy media created teams of journalists tasked with finding and reporting every negative story they could about the president. This resulted in years of stories based on rumours and tales; hence the birth of 'fake news'.

This results in the media having an influential role in selecting those in positions of power. For example, the media generally accepted (in 2021) that Vice President Kamala Harris was the least competent vice president in history and the most far-left member of the Senate; however, fast forward to July 2024 when Kamala Harris, Barack Obama, Chuck Schumer and Nancy Pelosi decided Joseph Biden had to be replaced as the nominee for the Democratic Party in the 2024 election cycle, which was achieved by Kamala Harris being prepared to invoke the 25th Amendment to replace Joseph Biden, and from then on instantly Kamala Harris was transformed, by the media, into a god-like creature who was portrayed to be the most highly intelligent Indian and Black woman—the transformation happened in one day and is a modern-day indisputable example of the power of the co-ordinated liberal media.

Those on the left-side of politics have always attempted to control all of the news media, and by extension exploit their viewers by using them as pawns in a political game. In the 2023 election (in Poland), the conservative party obtained the greatest number of votes, but the left-leaning loser parties were able to form a coalition that made Donald Tusk (former head of the European Council) the prime minister. The following day, Donald Tusk closed down the news station 'TVP Info', which is where most Polish citizens received their news (this included a conservative perspective), and he replaced it with alternative news that would only offer the left-leaning European perspective that is stipulated by the new coalition. The aim is to ensure that Poland remains a left-controlled nation in perpetuity, so there is very little alternative news in the world, and if the news is now all opinion (rhetoric), then what exactly is the truth?

11.7 Coordinated News

When the news media link and share stories, it standardises the rhetoric around the world. It does not matter whether it is the BBC news in the UK, MSNBC in America or the Associated Press, they all cover and omit the identical stories. The left-bias news channels will continue to obfuscate and not permit any different perspective or factual truth to be broadcast that would nullify their rhetoric. Consider why everything on the right side of politics is branded a 'conspiracy theory'? It is all in the terminology; the news broadcaster never says “ridiculous” because that same news channel may have to admit one day (like with the Hunter Biden laptop) that the 'conspiracy theory' was true, and that allows the news channel to maintain a small amount of credibility; correcting a story that was branded as 'ridiculous' would erode the credibility of the news network. It is all in the wording with these cunning, truth-warping guardians of extremism.

A dramatic lie is more likely to captivate a viewer rather than a boring truth. This coordinated news is devised at the top of the news organisations, and they in turn receive their instructions from rich globalists and, in copious cases, directly from the White House. If a Democrat president is in power, then the White House emails instructions to the media, and if there is not a Democrat president in power, then Democrat Congress members email the news organisations to instruct them on how the Republican president should be covered. As all of the main news channels are run by liberals, it is only a Democrat president that is able to control the news rhetoric.

11.8 Media Control

The news media used to engage in 'sensationalism', but now the method is more sinister, due to an internet age, where a 'hit piece' is conducted by taking selective words (e.g. from a person's speech) in order to alter the meaning of the message, usually to portray them as a racist.

The media organisations are masters at portraying news stories on their news channels in such a way that the unsuspecting viewer repeats what they have heard in a manner that gives the illusion that they have personally witnessed the event or have an advanced understanding of the subject—when in fact neither is true, and only a facile understanding has been gained that makes them feckless in conversation. The media use disinformation-by-omission to control the tone of all stories, leading the viewer to have only a childlike understanding of any particular news event. These reduced facts are then repeated on 24-hour news channels with the rhetoric being standardised between news providers, and the unsuspecting viewer is converted to extremism whilst engaging in an uncontrollable belligerence towards anyone not echoing the media rhetoric. This is a symptom of the completely misguided trust placed in the journalists who have all been on the exact same courses and who are constantly connected to one another via the internet. The news viewer then assists in the promulgation of ‘disinformation by psychosis’ (coined by this report) to further the reach of the news rhetoric. Unsuspecting viewers assisted in the dissemination of the following extremist ideologies:

1. Discriminating against White people was social justice or 'equity'.
2. Abortion is family planning or reproductive rights.
3. Big government and 'big tech' should be worshipped.
4. Christian nationalists are a threat to democracy.
5. Paedophiles are just “minor-attracted people”.
6. Having children is bad for the environment.
7. Breaking into a country is not a crime.
8. Daily weather changes are an apocalyptic climate-changing event.

9. Rioting is just a “summer of love”.
10. Men can become women (and women can become men), and this is even possible by just thinking it, so men now compete in women's sports, and extremist teachers encourage children to transition.
11. If two people run for election, it is a threat to “our democracy”.
12. Finally, anyone who disagreed with any of the above prior to and during 2024 was branded a 'racist' in order to silence any dissent.

The inculcation of news stories, prevalent now because of 24-hour news, has enabled the media to use a form of subliminal programming in order to trigger their unsuspecting viewers. The initial conditioning begins with the opening music for the news programme. This usually consists of repetitive beats or notes in order to gain the attention of the viewer. Effectively, this is a conditioned stimulus that creates a conditioned response whereby the brain will absorb all the news material without applying critical thinking. Next, the process involves associating 'trigger words' with certain news stories, and this is repeated frequently over many days. For example, if the word 'racist' is frequently used with the name of someone that the media dislikes, then every time a viewer hears that person's name, it will trigger an outburst of anger at their fabricated racism. There is empirical evidence that this technique has been used copiously. For example, 'Trump' and 'Russia' are interchangeable trigger words; mention either trigger word—to a programmed media viewer—and their mind will produce the opposite word, which is designed to create instant outrage. This is a form of subtle coercion designed to manipulate a person.

In the case of the word 'Trump', this induces a phenomenon (backed by empirical evidence) known as 'Trump Derangement Syndrome' (TDS) whereby the sufferer has an uncontrollable psychological breakdown and will often admonish Donald Trump

for opening the border and for rising crime rates. Both are, of course, impossible because Donald Trump builds border walls and deports gang members, and as real empirical evidence demonstrates, crime always rises in Democrat-controlled cities. Professional psychological help is recommended for anyone who disputes this point, and this falls outside the scope of this report.

TDS was the likely motive for the assassination attempt (by 20-year-old Thomas Matthew Crooks) on President Trump during a rally in Pennsylvania (Bethel Park) on 13th July 2024. MSNBC's 'Morning Joe', which is an extremist-view morning segment, was taken off air the following day (for one day) as a likely acknowledgment that fake news and celebrating the attempted assassination of President Trump would further reduce the credibility of the network that allegedly appeals exclusively to a left-leaning audience. A further attempt on Donald Trump's life was made on 15th September 2024 by a lone gunman with an alleged obsession for posting Ukraine propaganda online—both alleged shooters appeared in Blackrock TV commercials. A simple test to determine a person's TDS level can be performed by asking the subject to express the level of regret they felt about the failed assassination attempt. If the subject confirms that they were outraged that Donald Trump was not killed, then their TDS level is high. The depersonalisation of people with whom an individual disagrees usually occurs in someone with extreme far-left views. This behaviour pattern often develops where the majority of their reality is vicariously experienced through technology, but it can also develop through indoctrination. It would be a depraved society if the citizens of that society had a desire to witness the death of someone on their phone or computer for entertainment purposes. If an individual has a desire to experience Donald Trump being assassinated because he is not their choice in a democracy, then that individual would likely prefer a societal structure that is aligned with an autocracy; however, if an element of hate was also a motivator, then this may indicate a psychological disorder, and treatment for this falls outside the scope of this report.

In some instances, the media has been so selective with the news because the majority of liberal-minded viewers can only endure views that reinforce their perception of reality. For example, what would have happened if the mainstream

liberal media had covered all four, or even one, of Donald Trump's 'Nobel Peace Prize' nominations? There would have been outrage that Donald Trump was achieving peace in the Middle East (Abraham Accords) and demands that the Nobel Peace Prize be abolished, but of course, Donald Trump was never actually going to be allowed to win a prize at the time due to the biased selection process. Donald Trump was even nominated for a fifth time, years later, in 2024—and will likely be further nominated. In any event, the media has to preclude stories to prevent a loss of viewership and to be obsequious to the controllers of the news media and their globalist allies. In the case of Donald Trump, it was compulsory for news organisations to offer negative news coverage (after 2016) because the Democratic Party immediately began planning on removing him as president, and if he did survive until the 2020 election, then positive news coverage would raise the question: how did he lose?

Not all of the manipulation of reality can be solely attributed to the media, though; humans are very sceptical of 'confirmation bias'. This trait means that they will psychologically be compelled to discard any information that does not confirm their view and will actively be compelled to seek out the information that confirms their bias; for example, once a person becomes a regular viewer of MSNBC news, they will likely discard all other factual information and will only watch that channel due to their unique portrayal of a different version of reality. The same phenomenon occurs in politics; it is generally accepted that voting for the left wing of politics results in higher crime, tax, and inflation, but for many prospective voters, all information relating to the right wing of politics is discarded—their reality is manipulated—they are compelled to follow the left-leaning politician who informs them that they will be better off this time. As the proverb says: none are so blind as those who will not see.

Increasingly, news presenters are moving away from the solemn reading of the news, and each day they create viewer outrage (for self-promotion) by relaying a one-sided news story. This soap opera-style news ensures that the viewer is compelled to tune in tomorrow for another episode, and if the plot turns out to have holes in the story the following day, then rather than stating that the previous episode was a dream episode (retracting the story), the storyline is discontinued, leading the viewer to

permanently believe in the fabricated reality, and this is why it is called the 'news business' and not the 'information service'. A different view can be tolerated, but all too frequently people do not, or are not allowed to, have their own view.

There is empirical evidence that different views are limited; this is because the few alternative-view news channels (e.g. conservative) receive advertising boycotts (from liberal-controlled corporations), making their profitability untenable. Boycotts can happen from readers too; for example, the Los Angeles Times, Washington Post and USA Today all decided not to endorse Kamala Harris during the 2024 Presidential Election. These newspapers attempted to portray the impression that they were no longer biased; unfortunately, the extremist readers, who subscribe to the publications, began to boycott these newspapers by cancelling subscriptions—the Washington Post lost at least 200,000 subscribers (and accrued losses of over \$70 million for 2024) because of their now neutral election position, and a number of the editorial team resigned. The conclusion is that far-left extremism is very difficult to deprogram from someone who has absorbed years of propaganda.

The ownership of a news business matters. Rupert Murdoch (founder of News Corp.) has fought to alter the family trust to ensure his son Lachlan could control News Corp. The proposal was that all of his four children would still receive the same number of shares, but it would prevent his three other liberal-minded children from transforming his media companies, that include Fox News, The Wall Street Journal, The New York Post, The Times (UK), The Sun (UK), The Daily Telegraph (Australia), and The Herald Sun (Australia), into failing liberal media companies after his death. Without News Corp., there would be no significant conservative media in the world, thus ensuring even greater extremism and brainwashing from the far-left media.

The power of the media is so great now (as it is available across multiple platforms) that news viewers are being manipulated into believing that it is immoral to question the authenticity of a news story, even when, logically, in their minds, they are aware that a particular news story is unlikely to be true. The far-left media portrays this idea that they are ubiquitous with limitless knowledge, but this is pure fiction, and it

is designed to persuade their viewers to follow a propaganda-driven broadcast that encourages morally reprehensible ideology for profit. The media encourages illegals to increase viewership, and they profit from depravity by following the rule that 'if it bleeds, it leads' (it becomes the leading story).

11.9 Final Media Thoughts

For many years, the news media, who overwhelmingly subscribe to far-left ideology, have engaged in psychological-targeting techniques in order to bypass their viewers' innate critical-thinking ability. For example, introducing a doctor on a programme segment who claims to be a specialist, but in reality contains no expertise in the field being discussed. The viewer disengages critical thinking as they place credibility in the qualifications of the guest. Other psychological techniques, deployed by the media, involve posing scenarios that no reasonable person would disagree with in an opening monologue before introducing the extremist content that a viewer who has now disengaged critical thinking may be susceptible to agreeing with. The 'News Business' is a highly complex machine. For example, during the Biden administration, taxpayer money was given to NGOs, IGOs, and independent agencies that would then fund the far-left media organisations, who would in turn write favourable stories about the extremist regime. After the re-election of Donald J. Trump as president in 2024, this all changed. Many media organisations began firing their editorial teams, and newspapers, such as the Los Angeles Times, fired all of their editorial teams; moreover, the owners of the Los Angeles Times made the pledge that they would now cover Donald Trump's second term fairly.

The re-election of Donald Trump was achieved with almost all of the news media and Hollywood attempting to derail his re-election campaign. In addition, many corporations sought to sabotage the prospect of a Donald Trump second term; however, all of this failed, and Donald Trump was elected in a landslide in 2024, so this was the beginning of a changing paradigm where the news industry and Hollywood had to begin to accept that the majority of people were no longer susceptible to their gaslighting version of reality.

12. Conclusions

The Reality Circle has revealed that the paradigm or reality is constantly being altered by an increasing number of globalist-political fanatics, NGOs (e.g. 'Anti-Defamation League' and 'Open Society Foundations'), IGOs, media corporations and other organisations in order for them to increase their own power and wealth. The feeling that reality is being artificially orchestrated is evident as follows: if someone is a woman, then they are being cancelled by transgenderism; if someone is a White man, then they are being instructed that they must be more humble and less white; and if someone is a person of colour, then they are informed that they are a perpetual victim who must vote for far-left politicians in order to be saved. This divisive ideology is being disseminated by the media and organisations (including government, companies/corporations and health services) where far-left ideology has infected those organisations. There is solace in the fact that this manipulation of society can be reversed, as this is not an evolutionary phenomenon; instead, it is an artificially orchestrated 'manipulation of reality' that is designed to subdue the population into being amenable to extremism and globalism ideology.

The re-election of Donald J. Trump as president in November 2024 was the first realisation that reality had been manipulated for many around the world. If he really had attempted an insurrection, had been a criminal, had been a Russian agent or the 2020 election had been fraud-free, then why was he more popular than ever in 2024? For those in the world who now support Donald Trump's policies, which are all the opposite of far-left extremism, they are now known as 'The Majority'!

12.1 Behavioural Science

There is empirical evidence that behavioural science is actively being applied around the world by the media and other forces to encourage an emotional response from news viewers that have a proclivity for helping others, and this facilitates the ideology that an invasion of illegal immigration is somehow helping humanity when, in fact, it is orchestrated to increase a loyal voter base that desires a globalism agenda.

Combine this with numerous other ways that society is constantly being divided to ensure that outrage is created by everyone, and the result is a society that is very easily controlled and manipulated to accept depravity. Globalists encourage liberal, socialist and communist societal models to ensure that large portions of the population remain poor and dependent voters.

12.2 Group Control

The way to witness group control in action is to observe sheep farming. A farmer, utilising a vehicle and/or a dog, will easily control their herd. Sheep instinctively herd together, and this makes them very easy to control. If just one sheep decides to deviate from the herd, then there is a risk that other sheep may follow, but this almost never happens, or if it does, then the individual sheep is quickly rounded up to follow the herd again. This is because sheep have (just like humans) an instinct for there being safety in numbers and believe that they are making their own decision to follow the other sheep into a field of captivity, which they perceive as a 'green' paradise. This is the homogeneous problem the globalists have. Once enough of the population begin to think for themselves (and conclude that perhaps the importance of climate change is a fabricated reality), then there is a danger that they will desist from buying inflated-priced green products. Examples of green products are as follows: electric cars, heat pumps, wind turbines, solar panels and any form of lucrative green construction. This then reduces the profits and donations to globalists; moreover, this reduces the effectiveness of a global ESG agenda, and this translates into a diminution of funding for electing typically far-left globalist politicians, who in turn award large grants to 'green' energy companies. Therefore, there cannot be dissent in a population because it results in elections and world order being difficult to manipulate by a network of malevolent globalists running or working for NGOs, IGOs, or globalism-obsessed (over national interest) politicians.

Fortunately, for these globalists, there is the media, who are the self-elected morality experts that are always ready to deride a climate change denier or to impugn someone with terms such as 'transphobic'. The media can be thought of as globalists'

sheepdogs who are responsible for ensuring that order is maintained in a population. A population that questions the optics, portrayed by the media, might elect someone who the globalism-obsessed politicians disapprove of, and then what would the result be? Democracy! This is worthless to a globalist as there is no wealth and power in that paradigm. Therefore, the population is manipulated to accept disinformation as follows: climate change is a catastrophic disaster, global wars are for national democracy, Black people are always victims and transitioning genders is good for children—to name a few.

12.3 Environment

In the past few decades, the globalists have attempted to convince us that there was another ice age coming; then it was concluded that it was in fact 'Global Warming'; finally, after those hyperboles were exposed, the globalist politicians (following the selective science) concluded that as the temperature of the Earth had increased by one degree (over the past few hundred years) that it must instead be a 'catastrophe', and they rebranded it 'Climate Change'! This is equivalent to a cult ideology where the cult leader instils the idea that the world is ending, and only by following the leader will this lead to salvation. Climate change will always exist as it is too lucrative to diminish, and the dissenting testimony of hundreds or even thousands of scientists, who dispute this cult-like ideology, will not attenuate its promulgation. The 'climate emergency' also stipulates that everyone on the planet has to pay more for goods and accept restrictions; all of which benefit the political donors of globalist politicians, including the following: NGOs, trade associations, Political Action Committees (PACs) and trade unions.

12.4 Globalist Grooming

In casual conversation, people often speculate about who the globalists are that control the world, and often this leads to names of 'Big Tech' owners, NGO bosses, or globalist-obsessed politicians being flirted around. However, the truth is a bit more sinister. The indoctrination (of globalism and depravity over nationalism and

morality) commences in kindergarten/nursery school; it then continues throughout school and into college, followed by the workplace, media, intelligence agencies, law firms and all other areas of 'The Reality Circle'. This results in more people than ever who are being manipulated to support a fabricated globalist agenda for the purpose of increasing power and wealth to those that control corporations and governments. An employee at an ESG-obsessed corporation, who is fully indoctrinated, will find themselves part of the globalist wealth-and-power agenda if they ascend to the upper echelon of a corporation. Requirements such as personal hygiene, smart appearance and professional attire are no longer prerequisites for positions of power within organisations; instead, a non-traditional belief system is rapidly becoming a winning curriculum vitae (résumé) formula. A belief in discrimination, through DEI training and recruitment (up to 2024), was the preferred work experience for promotional prospects. DEI often featured in interviews where the candidate was obliged to offer an example of how they have discriminated against 'White people' for equity purposes.

Corporations, NGOs and the media all monitored each other in 2024 for compliance with far-left extremism, under the threat of boycott, making it nearly impossible to become rich and powerful without believing in the following: equity-based (racist) recruitment, unproven climate-change theories and transgenderism ideology. All successful corporations shared these beliefs (in 2024), and the hiring process practically eliminates the possibility of hiring anyone with openly traditional beliefs. Once a new globalist has ascended to the upper echelon of an organisation, then they become sufficiently wealthy to engage in politics and perhaps even form their own NGO, which can often receive grants from far-left governments; therefore, indoctrination currently results in the ability to control part of the world! This reasoning concludes that the opposite must also be true. If someone is not indoctrinated and believes in traditional values (such as countries with borders and family values), then their influence over the world will be thwarted by the power of the media, who will attempt to discredit their actions by slandering them as either a 'climate denier' (for being able to interpret a graph) or a 'racist' for not believing in CRT, DEI and ESG ideology. This is why indoctrination must be prevented early in life at schools and colleges because although it leads to control—it is not freethinking

control. The directors and CEOs of all corporations control the ideology, which is guided by NGOs and investors, so corporations are increasingly becoming involved in political funding and political correctness. With over 1.5 million NGOs in America alone, then of course not all of these will be engaged in benevolent functions.

12.5 The 20 Point Reality Circle Recap

1. Far-left-controlled corporations and NGOs donate or assist in the election of globalist politicians, who will do anything for power.
2. Donors and left-leaning political parties collude with the intelligence agencies (particularly in America) and the Military-Industrial Complex (MIC), who in turn collude with social media and the media to ensure that negative news about globalist politicians is mitigated.
3. The Democratic Party in America creates victim groups and brands the opposition as 'racists' in order to manipulate public opinion. This then becomes a manifesto substitute in order to acquire power.
4. The media promotes only positive stories about globalist, usually far-left political candidates, whilst simultaneously running only negative news stories against opposition candidates.
5. Hollywood uses its influence and acting skills to fabricate derogatory stories about conservative candidates, and it promotes liberal ideology through its movies.
6. Social media bans accounts of influencers supporting their undesired candidates.

7. Search engines manipulate their results to ensure that positive stories about far-left candidates and negative stories about opposition candidates are promoted. They also deliberately omit websites from their search index if they expose the extremist ideology permeating 'Big Tech' and other corporations. This ensures that the perception of reality is consistently under attack.
8. Once the globalist politician is elected, the politician repays their donors with changes to the law, favourable contracts, positions of power or the promotion of fabricated environmental and social issues. Therefore, an NGO or investment company can be reimbursed (for its political contribution) with just a single change in the law that favours the expansion of a green agenda, which is known to offer lucrative investment opportunities to globalists and the organisations they control.
9. Globalist politicians (from outside the United States), guided by the provenance of social media outrage in America, adopt these homogeneous policies. This includes obsession with globalism and social issues to ensure that they are not the target of a negative media campaign. Governments with a globalist agenda exhibit a proclivity to imprisoning anyone that protests against their totalitarianism while allowing crime to be rampant. There is empirical evidence that this happens in the United States of America (under Joseph Biden), Canada and some European countries.
10. Changes to the law mandate that corporations have to adopt far-left globalism practices, including climate change and social justice policies.
11. NGOs and predominantly left-wing governments fund reports, which are always written in synchronicity with the accepted narrative, from research companies that purport to be neutral but are in fact controlled by liberal political activists in order to provide credibility to fabricated globalism issues. The erosion of nationalism is a surreptitious way to dispense with capitalism. Without capitalism, then global communism will likely prevail. Increasingly, governments relinquish control of areas of government to NGOs and IGOs

(the majority being far-left entities) to ensure that the ideology continues, uninterrupted by elections, in perpetuity.

12. Colleges and universities apply a race-based approach to applicants (in the name of equity) to ensure that certain skin colours, who have been branded as victim groups (in order to convert them to left-wing politics), are prioritised for acceptance.
13. Colleges and universities indoctrinate students with far-left ideology as overwhelmingly college lecturers and university professors are globalist liberals, and these schools, colleges and universities receive funding from globalist corporations and foundations that often hold far-left extremist views. It is propounded that many college lecturers and professors adopt far-left extremism out of fear of losing their position of power (their jobs). This is also demonstrable with politicians. The reinforcement of the ideology causes professors (and leaders of corporations and wider society) to form the belief that they have always held a particular view, thus demonstrating how fear can alter reality.
14. Corporations increasingly require college and/or university-level qualifications to ensure that job applicants possess a globalist ideology instead of traditional values and beliefs.
15. Corporations adopt ESG and DEI priorities over capitalism, as stipulated by large investment-company shareholders and other entities such as the World Economic Forum (WEF), to ensure that a globalism agenda can be proliferated. Companies fear non-compliance as their products may face a boycott (instigated by political activists and proliferated by social media), which also leads to 'cancel culture'. Those that are indoctrinated at college, and who reach the upper echelon, qualify for the ability to have influence over the world (as their wealth increases) through the actions of funding globalist politicians. Furthermore, ESG and DEI ideology also affects the recruitment and ideology of NGOs; moreover, this has an effect in areas such as defence,

elections, IGOs and other government agencies where the hiring policies ensure that these agencies are either diverse (coloured people branded as victims) or are White people (branded as privileged), but either way, anyone with these beliefs is supportive of far-left politics, which is the purpose of this discriminatory recruitment practice. The legal professional has also become infected with this ideology too, thus ensuring that there are always lawyers willing to stand up for invading illegals. All of this is an attempt to maintain far-left control of everything in the world, regardless of the political figureheads.

16. Banks also adopt ESG and DEI priorities and other far-left beliefs that they are increasingly applying to individuals and corporations in order to restrict banking services for individuals and corporations that do not align with ESG globalism agendas. They are also supporting a 'cashless society' with the likelihood of being able to impose further controls on individual spending through digital currencies.
17. Corporations (following ESG and DEI ideology) boycott advertising mediums (such as TV channels and news organisations) that do not support a far-left globalism agenda as demanded by NGOs.
18. ESG agendas (followed by corporations) are then instilled upon staff to ensure that the products and services created are 'woke'-related products.
19. Woke products are then sold, through ESG-compliant companies, in shops (stores) to perniciously manipulate the population into accepting the reality shift and to promote far-left ideology; books, both fiction and non-fiction, are a good example of this.
20. The new reality is then recorded in the history books, by academics, so that the cycle of indoctrination can continue to rotate; this time from an even more extremist position. This ensures that even schoolchildren are not immune to far-left ideology through programmes such as CRT and gender studies.

12.6 Conclusion Summary

All of this has demonstrated how an extremist far-left globalism agenda has infested all of the following areas: governments, education and corporations to ensure that the perception of reality can be altered to favour a globalism agenda. This is then supported by globalists, NGOs, globalist politicians (their obsession with power has allowed donors and foreign powers to influence all areas of The Reality Circle), Hollywood, investment companies and far-left corporations in order to control the world for their own power and wealth. Reality can be thought of as being what the majority believe, as it is those beliefs that guide how people act in a society. The re-election of Donald Trump (in 2024) has provided optimism to the world by demonstrating that the majority still hold traditional beliefs, but globalism ideology is always prevalent and determined to destroy society. Furthermore, when those aligned with the political left have the belief that everyone else is a “racist” or “far right”, whilst at the same time supporting the eradication of the Jewish race from Israel, then this will always create a society that progresses towards further depravity and division.

If a society is being manipulated to believe in a fabricated reality, e.g. climate change, transgenderism and CRT, then a population becomes easy to manipulate by a single-world government (a combination of unelected globalists, IGOs and NGOs) because a population will demand an expansion of world government to tackle climate change.

The degradation and manipulation of human emotions can be restored to reality, though, by establishing a network for sharing the content contained in this report so that the population is educated to a higher standard. Because it is often those that purport to be fighting for democracy who are, in fact, the ones attempting to alter the perception of reality (and definition of democracy) for their own power and wealth.

13. Recommendations

The Reality Circle Model demonstrates how the reality of the world adapts as the circle rotates, and in copious cases there is no discretion other than to believe in the new reality as it could result in litigation for a newly created crime if the reality change was resisted. This was certainly prevalent in a Joseph Biden paradigm.

13.1 Reality Circle Rotation

For every perverse shift in reality, there is an increase in the number of laws that govern the revised reality. The organisational forces (behind the elected far-left politicians in Washington and Europe) are one of the main root causes of our fast-changing perception of reality, or what is more commonly referred to as 'gaslighting'. By requiring absolute transparency (of the compensation that politicians have traded for election donations), it would likely facilitate an attenuation in the rotation of 'The Reality Circle'. The Democratic Party, in America, is also masters at creating victim groups and heartstring 'causes', all of which are designed to generate an emotional response so that a loyal voter is formulated—this despite the 'causes' and 'victim groups' being largely a fabrication. Unfortunately, once a victim group is created, or a liberal 'cause' fabricated, then it remains in perpetuity. For example, will a liberal politician ever allow there to be no racism or environmental issues? The short answer is never. This is because these fabricated morality issues aggrandise left-leaning politicians to office. As there is no feasible expectation of these reality-altering events dissipating, it is concluded, based on the preponderance of evidence, that 'The Reality Circle' will always only rotate one way towards entropy; this is because outrage, threat of boycott and virtue-signalling are amplified through the media and social media platforms, so depravity often prevails. However, there has been an attenuation in depravity since the re-election of Donald J. Trump.

13.2 Resist

It is difficult to prevent social media from perpetuating changes in our perception of reality. However, it is the media who are the facilitators of the false narrative, and they should be excoriated for their compliance. Unfortunately, a democracy relies on every voter being able to make a free and fair choice without being manipulated. Therefore, the key to resolving any reality shift is for the voting population to become better educated in developing fortitude and equanimity so that they can resist acquiescing to far-left ideology. This problem is that the majority of non-fiction books are written by the liberal-minded, as they are predominantly employed in academia; combine this with the media, where their reporting, in copious cases, is aimed at deliberately manipulating their viewers into forming an opinion (based on disinformation or disinformation by omission) in order to appease their executive level, and then a free and fair election becomes virtually impossible without intervention or a landslide victory. This manipulation can also be thought of as a form of election fraud.

13.3 Fifteen Steps to Repair Reality

1. Create a plan to remove all indoctrination from schools, colleges and universities; for example, in the following areas: left-biased reading material, access to biased encyclopaedias (including online) and teachers who seek to promote their extremist views; all of which should be obviated. The curriculum needs to be replaced with teaching students actual facts and to be critical thinkers (about books, media etc.) instead of indoctrinated thinkers. This will ensure that corporations commence recruitment of applicants with traditional values and beliefs from the next generation of students.
2. Criminalise NGO organisations that preponderate on political activities or Marxist extremism; if far-left politicians cannot appeal to individual voters, then they are not representatives of the people. Confidence that elections are

'free and fair' and not 'bought and unfair' must be restored. Therefore, it is recommended that 'Political Action Committee' (PAC) donations in America (particularly Super PACs) are reviewed with a view to tightening regulation, starting with ActBlue (partly funded by George Soros), Future Forward (FF PAC) and Mind the Gap (MTG PAC).

3. Control and restrict illegal immigration to prevent the following: a degradation of morality, election interference and a cancellation of culture. All of which is quickly progressing towards a 'culture revolution'. All countries must have a secure border to negate the threat of Islamic extremism and other terrorist threats.
4. Mandate all banks to provide banking services and restrict investment companies from being able to acquire large blocks of stock in order to exert an influential control over corporations by requiring DEI implementation.
5. Prohibit all government politicians, in all branches of government, from being able to buy stocks and shares. This also includes providing insider trading information to family, friends and donors. Governments must be able to act free of any influence, and they must be prohibited from being able to profit from those actions, whether it be from buying shares (before announcing new legislation) or short selling an instrument based on the detrimental effect that new legislation will have on a business sector.
6. Limit digital currency adoption and retain physical money in order to prevent totalitarian control over microeconomic spending.
7. Classify unsolicited letters (sent to corporations) stipulating an obligatory political allegiance as a criminal offence; this is modern-day blackmail, committed by NGOs, in order to exert control over all corporations. A harsh sentence is needed to prevent a breakdown of free-market economies. This would also prevent corporations from being forced to prioritise socialist ESG agendas and DEI promotion above capitalism.

8. The non-white population of America must also reject the Democratic Party in America to assist in ending the promotion of racist recruitment and victim group ideology that is solely designed to garner votes for the Democratic Party; this would facilitate the demise of DEI and ESG agendas that are effectively modern-day discrimination practices.
9. Indict any NGO or corporation who demands a boycott, based solely on extremist ideology, of a company's goods or services so that capitalism is protected.
10. Reduce the number of IGOs (particularly those that are part of the United Nations), and prohibit any political donations from any part of the Military Industrial Complex (MIC). Furthermore, legislate to prevent interference from any NGO connected with MIC, in particular those connected with NATO. No NGO should have political influence over NATO; the organisation must work as a military alliance only without a political agenda. This is further extended to the intelligence agencies. While it may, in limited circumstances, be argued that overthrowing foreign governments is important to preserve 'democracy', all too often these organisations are involved in election interference in domestic elections, e.g. online censorship.
11. Disband or sever ties with organisations like the World Economic Forum (WEF)—their policy of pressuring countries (into adopting laws that will make non-compliance with their environmental agenda a criminal offence) is dystopian; moreover, governments need to disband or sever ties with all other globalism-centric NGOs, foundations and political organisations that are solely focused on virtue-signalling and globalism agendas; this includes all of the organisations that are now controlling areas of government to ensure democracy is circumvented. Focus should revert to national growth, as guided by the democratic vote.

12. Disband, regulate or investigate George Soros's 'Open Society Foundations' (now controlled by his son); moreover, investigate whether the foundation globally interferes with elections and negatively impacts morality. George Soros is particularly active in American politics, where he utilises his wealth and foundation contributions to fund progressive district attorneys. Those district attorneys all have a proclivity towards being soft on Democrat criminals and an obsession with investigating Republicans.
13. Relocate all intelligence agencies out of Washington (America) to other states in order to reverse their politicised agenda of election interference. This applies to other agencies in Washington (Deep State) who work against 'We the people ...'. This will also ensure that elections are 'free and fair' in other parts of the world as the world always looks to America for leadership.
14. Prevent the flow of drugs into the country (all countries) that enter via the postal system and unprotected border, and demolish the gangs involved in the manufacturing of illegal drugs for consumption in Western countries. In addition, the advertising of legal pharmaceuticals, which can also cause addiction, needs to end in America. The population must be allowed a clear mind to freely exercise their right to vote.
15. Reduce the media's malicious influence by reintroducing the 'FCC Fairness Doctrine' to ensure that broadcasters need to cover opposing viewpoints. The news is not impartial when the majority of news is opinion-based. Furthermore, require media companies to be transparent when their 'source' is an unidentified social media user. The internet is by far the most prolific method of criminality and disinformation. The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) and how seriously governments and technology companies are beginning to take this illustrates the perniciousness of the next level of evolution for the internet. The internet cannot be regulated, as that would make it similar to China, but by restricting it as an 'unnamed source' for legacy media, then this would prevent manipulation of reality in the following areas: war propaganda, globalism, election disinformation, opinion-based news reach, indoctrination,

human and child trafficking, selective science and groups that specialise in destruction under the guise of environmental and social justice. If the media were required to report balanced and factually, rather than opinion-based news, then reality would no longer be obfuscated.

13.4 Summary

By implementing all of the above, even though it is conceded that not everything would be feasible, which is why research reports are often manipulated for acceptance, then the only methods of reality manipulation remaining would be as follows: foreign powers, government propaganda, organisations colluding and Hollywood, but even this would be attenuated. The ability to transmit and coordinate our perception of reality would be severely limited if the internet were obviated from the paradigm, in favour of reestablishing community interaction, but it is concluded that this would create mass hysteria.

Mass voter turnout (as witnessed in the 2024 presidential election) to mitigate election fraud is always going to be prevalent in preventing a societal decline in a democracy. This is because it is concluded that the ability to eradicate all election fraud is almost impossible. High voter turnout assists in retaining/restoring the reality of traditional values and beliefs. These values and beliefs must never be eradicated to garner votes by far-left political parties as it distorts reality with extremist ideology. Many Eastern countries advance this a step further by codifying their values to preserve societal values from the influence of far-left ideology that is proliferated by NGOs and other entities. Unfortunately, the media will always influence our perception of reality, as that is human bias, but reintroducing the FCC fairness doctrine in America would help to attenuate the deliberate worldwide bias of media organisations. Therefore, it is propounded that our society is largely built on

perception, rather than reality, but it remains important to limit, where possible, any medium that actively seeks to influence reality for malicious purposes.

13.5 Saving the Future

All of the above has provided recommendations for restoring our perception of reality now; however, if another extremist government (like the Biden administration) obtains power again, then all the progress will be reversed.

We learnt, from the Conclusions section, that Indoctrination = Control of the World, and if that is true, then the reverse must be true: preventing indoctrination (through 'causality') must prevent the control of the world in the future. How is this achieved? All parents **MUST** become involved at schools to prevent their children from being indoctrinated with non-traditional beliefs and fabricated morality. Children naturally copy what is taught to them, and they are far more likely to form long-term memories of the new information, so this is why targeting children (with extremist non-traditional beliefs) has in the past been so effective on children, and this is why it must be prevented. Parents should aspire to be elected onto school boards (termed governors in some countries). Parents are branded 'Domestic Terrorists' in America because they are attempting to prevent the indoctrination of those who will control the world in the future. Therefore, parents are important even though there is an attempt to erode the nuclear family. It is important that parents are prepared with substantial notes and remain calm when addressing school boards and teachers; a calm person is far more difficult to dismiss than an irate parent.

It is hereby proposed that it be made mandatory for all teachers to be recorded during their lessons, which may sound extreme, but preventing the indoctrination of children is of greater importance. Reviews, carried out on teachers, will only reveal how a teacher is performing under assessment conditions; the problem is that there is no way to effectively establish what level of indoctrination is being taught in a class behind closed doors. Indoctrination starts with children and it must now end with children. If the indoctrination is halted at school, then groups can be formed in

colleges to offset the indoctrination there; this in turn will prevent indoctrinated students from entering the workplace, media, intelligence agencies, law firms and all other areas of 'The Reality Circle' that influence reality. This would then ensure that traditional values are present in the following areas: corporations (especially companies that focus on child entertainment), media and publishing, and it would ensure that more teachers possess traditional values that will lead to better education in schools (including poorer areas) to ensure that a greater number of disadvantaged children can go to college—a college that is free of indoctrination. This will prevent organisations and NGOs developing extremist ideological agendas that are increasingly leading to a collapse in morality.

Finally, there need to be more parents; the legal population must have more children to ensure that the votes of the future population are not diluted by the illegal population. A greater number of legal citizens will also ensure that the quality of lawmakers (around the world) increases so that traditional values and countries can be preserved.

13.6 Final Thoughts


There will only be a minority that know reality—until those who are manipulating reality allow their followers to possess the wisdom of truth.

A man must become strong enough to reject what he knows to be untrue—because only then will he see the reality that his enemy has hidden from him.

13.7 The End

This report was designed to expand the reader's knowledge and to provide a permanent record of how close the world came to war and depravity in 2024.

Appendix - The Reality Circle Model



© Copyright Gallent 2024
Release 5.2